

West Virginia

Drinking Water Treatment Revolving Fund

FY2025 Intended Use Plan

Submitted to the
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region III
June 26, 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Glossary.....	3
SECTION I	
Introduction.....	4
SECTION II	
Funds Identification.....	4
SECTION III	
Goals.....	6
SECTION IV	
Project Priority List.....	7
SECTION V	
Fund Activities.....	8
SECTION VI	
Assurances.....	14
SECTION VII	
Public Participation.....	15

Appendices

A -	Comprehensive Project Priority List (Base, Emerging Contaminant, and Lead Projects)
B -	Fundable Project Priority List
C -	Public Meeting Summary
D -	Median Household Income by County and Magisterial District
D1 -	Median Household Income by Municipality
E -	Unemployment Data
F -	Population Data
G -	Potential Lead Service Line Projects
H -	Potential Emerging Contaminants Projects
I -	Sources and Uses Chart (for EPA use only)

Glossary

The following abbreviations are used throughout this document to denote the listed words, terms, and phrases:

ACT – WV Code Chapter 22, Article 36
BAN – Bond Anticipation Note
BCL – Binding Commitment Letter
BIL – 2022 Bipartisan Infrastructure Law
BPH – West Virginia Bureau for Public Health
CWSRF – Clean Water State Revolving Fund
DWTRF – Drinking Water Treatment Revolving Fund
DEP – West Virginia Department of Environmental Protection
DH – Department of Health
EPA – United States Environmental Protection Agency
ETT – Enforcement Targeting Tool
IJDC – West Virginia Infrastructure and Jobs Development Council
IUP – Intended Use Plan
LSL – Lead Service Line
MHI – Median Household Income
OA – Operating Agreement
OEHS – West Virginia Office of Environmental and Health Services
PFAS – Polyfluoroalkyl Substances
PPL – Project Priority List
PSC – Public Service Commission of West Virginia
PWS – Public Water System
PWSS – Public Water Supply Supervision Program
SDWA – Safe Drinking Water Act
USGS – U. S. Geological Survey
WDA – West Virginia Water Development Authority

SECTION I

Introduction

This document is the Drinking Water Treatment Revolving Fund's (DWTRF) Intended Use Plan (IUP) for state fiscal year 2025 (July 1, 2024 – June 30, 2025 (FY2025)).

The West Virginia Legislature, at the 2023 Regular Session, passed House Bill 561, which transferred administration of the DWTRF from BPH to the DEP effective July 1, 2023. The DEP will be working in collaboration with the Office of Environmental and Health Services (OEHS) to provide funding to necessary projects and to provide financial support to the OEHS to carry out set-aside activities funded under Sections 1452 (g)(2)(A), (B), (C), and (D) and Sections 1452 (k)(1)(B), (C), and (D) of the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act.

As a result, the DEP will be responsible for issuing and implementing the IUP and providing the necessary funding from the set-asides to fund all eligible expenditures in support of the OEHS Environmental Engineering Division.

The Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) amendments of 1996 authorized a DWTRF program to assist public water systems in financing the cost of the infrastructure needed to achieve or maintain compliance with SDWA requirements and protect public health. The WV Legislature created the DWTRF in 1997. In addition to federal capitalization grants and amendments awarded by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the State has provided, where required, the matching funds for each grant and amendment. Repayments of loans, dedicated settlements, and investment earnings are also available within the Drinking Water Treatment Revolving Fund to fund drinking water projects. Funds available during this fiscal year are listed in Section II.

SECTION II

Funds Identification

The chart on the next page identifies the sources that will be used for loans and other anticipated expenditure categories. The 2% Technical Assistance funding is used to contract for a Continuing Education Training program for water operator training and certification. The 10% Program Management funding is used for enhancing the Public Water Supply Supervision Program (PWSS). The 15% Local Assistance funding is used in implementing the state Source Water Protection Program, Operator Training and Certification Program, and public water system technical assistance, emergency response assistance, and Sanitary Surveys. Projects will be added to the IUP from the PPL in priority order, subject to readiness to proceed. The DWTRF is also reserving the authority to transfer to or receive funds from the Clean Water State Revolving Fund as needed to accomplish program objectives and demand.

WEST VIRGINIA DRINKING WATER TREATMENT REVOLVING FUND

Intended Use Plan – Sources and Uses of Funds

State FY2025 (July 1, 2024 – June 30, 2025)

Available funds as of March 31, 2024:

Cash balance in DWTRF account	\$ 50,158,195	
Federal funds accounts payable	\$ 75,278,736	
		<u>\$ 125,436,931</u>

New funds available during state FY2025:

Next Federal EPA Base Grant	\$ 4,661,000	
Next Base State Match	\$ 932,200	
Next Federal BIL Grant	\$ 22,985,000	
Next BIL State Match	\$ 4,597,000	
Emerging Contaminants Grant**	\$ 7,640,000	
Lead Service Line Replacement funds**	\$ 28,650,000	
Est. Repayments (principal) (to 6/30/25)*	\$ 9,048,766	
Est. Repayments (interest) (to 6/30/25)*	\$ 1,386,242	
Est. Investment Earnings (to 6/30/25)*	\$ 3,071,126	
		<u>\$ 82,971,334</u>

Less:

Existing project loans payables (3/31/24) =	\$ 11,170,730	
Existing binding commitments (3/31/24) =	\$ 96,161,672	
2 percent set-aside for Technical Assistance	\$ 1,032,700	
4 percent set-aside for Program Administration	\$ 0	
10 percent set-aside for State Program Management	\$ 2,328,012	
15 percent set-aside for Local Assistance	\$ 7,979,916	
		<u>\$118,673,030</u>

Net available funds during FY2025 = \$89,735,235

Notes:

The matches should be received from the IJDC by July 2024.

*These are estimates at this time. Project funding will be adjusted to accommodate the actual funds received.

** No match is required

SECTION III

Goals

A. Short Term Goals

1. Fill vacant staff positions within the OEHS, Environmental Engineering Division (EED) and the DEP's DWTRF. There are currently multiple positions vacant throughout the Division and within the DWTRF.
2. Work with appropriate agencies in the implementation of West Virginia's newly passed PFAS Protection Act.
3. Work with Public Water Systems (PWS) to reduce health-based violations.
4. DEP and OEHS will work together to implement House Bill 561 and implement the agreed upon Memorandum of Understanding.
5. Modify the WVDEP's Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) website to reflect both programs.
6. Coordinate with state and local ARPA (American Rescue Plan Act) projects to fully utilize all available funding.
7. Maintain a pace goal of at least 95%.

B. Long Term Goals

1. Assist with and fund the necessary infrastructure to upgrade water quality for existing public water customers and to provide water to individuals whose water currently does not comply with the SDWA or is unreliable.
2. Ensure the DWTRF program operates in perpetuity at its maximum level to provide financial assistance to qualified entities.
3. Continue implementation of the Capacity Development Strategy including assisting public water systems in acquiring and maintaining the technical, managerial, and financial capacity to comply with the SDWA. Provide assistance to ensure that all new community water supplies and new non-transient non-community supplies have the technical, managerial, and financial capacity to comply with current regulations and those regulations likely to be in effect, when the proposed systems initiate operations.
4. Funding will be provided to OEHS from available set-aside funding to continue development, enhancement, and improvement of the PWSS through improved

methodology and consistency of the sanitary surveys. This includes completion of a full complement of staffing in the district offices and implementation of an automated data collection system for laboratories to forward results to OEHS.

5. Funding will be provided to OEHS from available set-aside funding to protect source water from future contamination through Source Water Assessment and Protection (SWAP) and Well Head Protection (WHP) programs.
6. Funding will be provided to OEHS from available set-aside funding to continue to implement an operator training continuing education program focusing on training course/instructor criteria and operator training requirements.
7. Continue to strive to minimize unliquidated obligations (ULOs) by expeditiously disbursing both set-aside and construction funds in a timely manner. The goal is to attain a half year or less balance for the 2%, 10% and 15% set-asides at the time a new grant is awarded. Set-aside amounts requested in this IUP are supportive of minimizing ULOs.

SECTION IV

Project Priority List

Projects requesting DWTRF funding assistance are prioritized using the DWTRF Project Priority Ranking System. Three categories (public health, regulatory compliance, and affordability) are used to determine project scoring. Projects that apply for DWTRF funding are ranked on a PPL and are listed in the Comprehensive Project Priority List (Appendix A). The highest ranked projects on the PPL are contacted concerning their project status to determine if funding from the DWTRF is appropriate and the project is ready to proceed. The PPL ranking system allows for higher public health ranking for utilities that have multiple violations as reflected in the Enforcement Targeting Tool (ETT). The ranking system allows coordination of projects funded with consideration of the enforcement agency.

Projects expecting to receive assistance from the DWTRF are on the Fundable Project Priority List (Appendix B). These projects include distribution system upgrades, water treatment plant improvements, extensions to new customers, lead service line inventories, lead service line replacements, and emerging contaminant treatment. Projects solely for economic growth or projects solely for fire protection are not eligible for DWTRF assistance.

Projects that rank lower on the PPL may still receive funding should one or more of the higher ranked projects be bypassed using the bypass procedure described below.

A. Bypass Procedure

Prior to implementing the bypass procedure, projects listed on the PPL will be reviewed to determine their readiness to proceed. Based on the review, DWTRF staff will determine whether to bypass the project and select another project for funding commitment

consideration. A project will be bypassed based upon readiness to proceed and ability to receive a binding commitment prior to the end of the term of this IUP. To be considered ready to proceed and eligible to receive a BCL, the project must be able to meet all DWTRF programmatic requirements, have approved plans and specifications, have all funding sources identified, and be ready to proceed to construction within six months of receiving the BCL. If, during the year, a project that was anticipating funding based upon Appendix B, has a substantial scope change that would require it to be re-ranked below another project that is ready to proceed, this project will be re-evaluated for funding at a later date. Any projects with any technical, managerial, and financial capacity concerns that are not expected to be resolved by the proposed project will only be considered after the issues have been resolved.

When a project is bypassed, the project will remain on the PPL for consideration at a future time. DEP will provide technical assistance (as needed) with bypassed projects to assist them in being eligible for future funding.

If additional projects are developed during the fiscal year that do not appear on the list, but would like to be considered, they may be added to the list after adequate public notification procedures have been completed. This procedure generally takes 60 days.

B. Emergency Projects

Projects to remediate an imminent significant hazard to a community's public health may be considered an emergency project if approved by OEHS and DEP. An emergency project may not be required to be on the approved PPL if the evaluation by OEHS and DEP verifies the urgency of the project execution to avoid a major impact to the water system's customers. Emergency projects may be reviewed and approved by the IJDC.

C. Requirements for a Binding Commitment

Once it has been determined that a project can realistically proceed to construction within six months, a formal commitment of DWTRF funding will be made that may include such terms and conditions as deemed necessary. At a minimum, the plans and specifications must be approved, and consideration will be given to the status of rights-of-way and real estate obtainment and other items on the pre-bid checklist during this process.

SECTION V

Fund Activities

The SDWA regulations, 40CFR35.3525(a)(5), require that at least 15% of the funds in the DWTRF must go to systems serving less than 10,000 total persons. The SDWA allows banking the prior year's excess above the 15% toward meeting the current fiscal year's requirement. In accordance with the above regulation, the projects listed in the Funding List, Appendix B, will ensure that at least 15% of the funds will meet this requirement.

West Virginia legislation establishes the DWTRF requirement for disadvantaged communities. *“The Department of Environmental Protection shall, in accordance with the provisions of the federal Safe Drinking Water Act, establish a program for loan subsidies to disadvantaged communities. Thirty percent of the federal capitalization grants made to this state shall be dedicated to the funding of projects for disadvantaged communities”* (WV Code §22-36-2(d)). The 30% requirement will be calculated on a cumulative basis of awarded grants. The projects listed on the Fundable List, Appendix B, will ensure that this requirement has been met.

Disadvantaged communities are those that have a monthly water user rate equal to or greater than 1.5% of the MHI and/or receive principal forgiveness because of income, unemployment, population loss, providing service to failing and/or unserved areas, and poverty rates.

A. Interest rates on PWS loans

The eligibility criterion for low interest loan consideration will be based upon 3,400 gallons of monthly water usage. The DEP will use this criterion to determine the interest rate on loans. The maximum allowable term* of the loans will be determined using the following range of user rates and MHI data:

*Less than 1.5% MHI: Terms will be based upon the 25-Bond Revenue Index. At BCL issuance, the DWTRF will use the last published rate less 5 basis points (.05) for a 20-year term. At no point will the terms exceed 2.75% interest rate, .25% annual admin fee, 20-year term**

1.5% to 1.74% MHI: 1.75% interest rate, .25% annual admin fee, 21 - 30-year term

1.75% to 2.0% MHI: .75% interest rate, .25% annual admin fee, 21 - 30-year term

Greater than 2% MHI: .25% interest rate, .25% annual admin fee, 31 - 40-year term

The MHI data is derived from the 2020 census data published by the U.S. Department of Commerce, U.S. Census Bureau. Interest rates will not exceed 2.75%. For public service districts, the MHI to be used will be the lowest of either the county(s) or magisterial district(s) that is most appropriate for the project area. Magisterial district and county information can be found in Appendix D. Municipal MHI data is contained in Appendix D1.

Due to concerns over the 2020 Census data, the use of income surveys to verify the MHI of individual communities will be allowed. Please see the website for further guidance. <https://dep.wv.gov/WWE/Programs/SRF/Pages/default.aspx>

Should Congress amend the SDWA or pass reform legislation that affects small, disadvantaged communities, the DEP may revise this interest rate policy to consider other factors as required by federal law.

* The term of the loan will start on the date of the loan closing.

** For extension projects, a 30-year term will be considered if a substantial rate impact can be documented.

B. Additional subsidization for disadvantaged communities

This year's base funding allocation for West Virginia is \$4,661,000. A portion of each capitalization grant must be used for additional loan subsidization. Therefore, the DEP will be setting aside \$2,283,890 (14% - \$652,540 and SDWA - \$1,631,350) from the base capitalization grant to be used as principal forgiveness.

This year's BIL funding allocation for West Virginia is \$22,985,000. BIL requires 49 percent of the allotment (\$11,262,650) to be in the form of additional subsidy as a principal forgiveness loan.

Principal forgiveness of all or part of a loan will be the mechanism that will be used to supply the additional subsidization. Additional loan subsidization is a last resort for disadvantaged communities and will only be provided when other funding options within the DWTRF program are not practical to make the project financially affordable (i.e. 40-year loan terms, graduated principal repayments, adjusting debt service coverage, etc.).

Additional subsidization eligibility will be on the following basis:

Income based upon %MHI – Based upon the 2020 Census data for 3,400 gallons of water usage.

<u>MHI</u>	<u>Point</u>
1.25% - 1.74%	20
1.75% - 1.99%	30
2.0% - 2.4%	40
2.5% or greater	50

Unemployment Data – As published by WorkForce West Virginia, the State's average unemployment rate was 4.0% in 2023. See Appendix E.

<u>Locality's Unemployment Rate (UR)</u>	<u>Points</u>
UR < West Virginia's UR	0
UR 0% - 2% above West Virginia's UR	10
UR > 2% above West Virginia's UR	20

Population Trends – Based upon the percentage change for the period from 2015 to 2020 (calendar years) by county as published by the 2020 Census. See Appendix F.

<u>Change in Population</u>	<u>Points</u>
Greater than +2%	0
0 to +2%	10
Less than 0%	20

Consolidation and extensions to serve unserved areas and failing systems: 10 Points

Poverty Rate greater than or equal to 20% as found on the following Census site:
10 Points <https://data.census.gov/cedsci/>

For applicants that receive at least 40 points, the project is eligible for the lesser of 50% of the total eligible DWTRF project costs or \$1,000,000 in principal forgiveness.

For applicants that receive at least 70 points, the project is eligible for the lesser of 100% of the total eligible DWTRF project costs or \$1,500,000 in principal forgiveness.

The final amount of the subsidy will be determined after receipt of bids and after a formal application is submitted. Note: For wrap loans, as existing debt is retired, the dedicated revenue stream will rollover to pay the amount of any deferred loan.

C. Lead Service Line Replacement Loans

The DWTRF program will provide Lead Service Line Replacement Project loans at 0% interest for both disadvantaged and non-disadvantaged community water systems. Disadvantaged community water systems will also be eligible for principal forgiveness loans to complete service line inventories. These projects will be subject to the same loan term lengths as described previously. This year's BIL funding allocation for West Virginia is \$28,650,000. BIL requires 49 percent of the allotment (\$14,038,500) be in the form of additional subsidy as a principal forgiveness loan. Principal forgiveness will be distributed in the same method as described in paragraph B above. A list of potential lead service line projects is included in Appendix G of this document.

For a project to be eligible for this funding, it must meet the following definition: Any part of a service line made of lead, which connects the water main to the building inlet. A lead service line may be owned by the water system, owned by the property owner, or both. A galvanized service line is considered a lead service line if it ever was or is currently downstream of any lead service line or service line of unknown material. This can include the replacement of lead goosenecks, pigtails, and connectors as eligible expenses, whether standalone or connected to a lead service line. Lead service line inventories are also eligible for this funding.

For FFY 2024 LSL funding, galvanized lines that are currently or ever were downstream of known lead service lines or components are the only galvanized lines eligible for this funding. Therefore, if the galvanized lines are downstream of a service line of unknown material, these lines are not eligible for this funding from the FFY 2024 LSL allotment, but are still eligible for funding from the base or supplemental allotments.

To assist communities with projects, the DEP and DH used set-aside funds to hire two contractors to assist communities with completing their lead service line inventories. These services are free of charge to the communities.

- Systems with a service population of 1,000 or less, please reach out to Mary Hutson,

WV RCAP at mhutson@wvrcap.org if you are in the St. Albans and Beckley Districts. If you are in the Fairmont, Kearneysville, and Wheeling Districts, please contact Chris Hannah, Stantec, at chris.hannah@stantec.com or Richard Gaines, Stantec, at richard.gaines@stantec.com.

- Systems with a service population of 1,000 to 10,000, please reach out to the WV Rural Water Association at LSLI@wvrwa.org.
- Systems with a service population greater than 10,000, please contact Kathy Emery, DEP, at katheryn.d.emery@wv.gov if you need funding to hire a contractor to complete your inventory.

D. Emerging Contaminants

The BIL created a DWTRF funding stream for projects that address emerging contaminants. The funding must be in the form of additional subsidy as a principal forgiveness loan. West Virginia's allotment is \$7,640,000. This allotment requires that at least 25 percent of the grant be awarded to a disadvantaged community or a public utility serving a population less than 25,000. A list of potential emerging contaminants projects is included in Appendix H of this document.

For a project to be eligible for funding under this program, it must be DWTRF eligible, and the primary purpose must be to address emerging contaminants in drinking water with a focus on perfluoroalkyl and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS). Projects that address any contaminant listed on any of EPA's Contaminant Candidate Lists are eligible (i.e., CCL1 – CCL5). Projects for which the primary purpose is to address contaminant(s) with a National Primary Drinking Water Regulation (PFAS exception) are not eligible. Eligible applicants must be a PWS, and sampling is only eligible when used as part of project design and construction for eligible projects. Applicants do not need to be eligible for additional subsidization under Section V.B to be eligible for this funding.

E. Set-Aside Activities

In addition to the DWTRF construction fund, there are four “set-aside” or non-project accounts to be administered by DEP and OEHS. These separate accounts include Administration of the Loan Program, Technical Assistance, State Program Management, and Local Assistance. In addition to funding for staff expenses, there are also programs being undertaken to improve the health and safety of State water systems.

The goals, objectives, methods, outputs, and outcomes for these set-asides are located in the grant work plans.

Anticipated Set-Asides (Non-Project Funds)

Technical Assistance (up to 2%) BIL & LSLR	\$ 1,032,700
DWTRF Admin. Expenses (up to 4%)	\$ 0*
Drinking Water Program Support (up to 10%) Base & BIL	\$ 2,328,012
Local Assistance/State Activities (up to 15%) Base, BIL, LSLR	\$ 7,979,916

*DWTRF Admin. Expenses will be funded from the Administrative Fee account.

The State is reserving the amounts unused from this grant to be potentially used at a later date and will apply for it when needed.

F. Annual Administrative Fees on PWS loans

Administrative fees are charged on all loans as a means of supporting the administrative costs of operating the DWTRF in perpetuity. These fees are maintained in a separate account outside of the DWTRF. The annual administrative fee is initially calculated using the outstanding principal amount of the loan over its life but repaid over the term of loan in equal installments as contained in the loan amortization schedule. The chart in Section V(A) will be used to determine the annual administrative fee on each loan. This fund will also be used to support the Capacity Development Program staff and other administrative expenses.

G. Design Loans

The DWTRF can provide design loans to eligible public water systems for projects on the PPL. The loans will be offered at the terms for which the applicant is eligible at the time of the design loan closing. See Section V(A). Design loan repayment will begin within the first quarter after the design submittal date.

H. Cyber Security

Free vulnerability assessments are available through CISA at www.cisa.gov/water and EPA is providing additional resources at www.epa.gov/waterresilience/epa-cybersecurity-water-sector. Any necessary upgrades resulting from an assessment are eligible project expenses.

I. Federal Requirements

As a recipient of DWTRF funds, the DEP must apply federal requirements to loans equal to the amounts of all the federal capitalization grants. Recipients of Congressionally Directed Spending grants from Congress are required to meet these federal requirements for the entire project, including any DWTRF funds.

The projects listed in Appendix B that have been designated as equivalency projects are required to comply with federal requirements including, but not limited to, the Single Audit Act, DBE, FFATA, Buy America Build America Act (BABAA), EO 13690 Federal Flood Risk Management Standard, etc. These projects total more than the Base and BIL FFY 2023 capitalization grants.

J. Green Infrastructure (Green Project Reserve – GPR)

The Capitalization Grant does not require any of the funds provided for projects to be used for water efficiency, energy efficiency, green infrastructure, or other environmentally innovative activities. However, these projects are encouraged and are eligible for funding.

K. Asset Management Implementation Effect on Loan Rates

The DWTRF program has required loan recipients to implement an approved asset management plan (AMP) since 2009 to help ensure sustainability of water systems by properly managing their assets. The systems that have maintained, updated, and implemented their AMP are eligible for a reduction of the eligible loan rate by up to 0.5% on their next project.

L. Loan Prepayment

DWTRF loan prepayment may be allowed under certain conditions upon prior written approval from the DEP and the WDA. All requests will be evaluated against Program policy and will not be considered earlier than ten years from loan closing unless under special circumstances. Refinancing through the DWTRF will be the preferred option.

M. Financial Status

The DWTRF will be managed by DEP with assistance, through a contract agreement, from the West Virginia Water Development Authority (WDA). The WDA maintains the financial records and ensures bond conditions and audit requirements are met. DEP oversees OEHS's expenditure of the grant set-aside funds. The DWTRF program financial status is healthy with a principal and interest repayment stream from loans at approximately \$9,900,000 per year. The Administrative fee from loans has an annual income stream of approximately \$800,000 which will be used for the administrative costs of managing the Fund.

SECTION VI

Assurances

- Anticipated Cash Draw Ratio (Proportionality) – State match funds are disbursed prior to using capitalization grant funds.
- The DEP has agreed to provide EPA with information for the public health results for all loans closed during this fiscal year. This documentation is being requested by EPA to better ascertain the public health results of projects funded under the DWTRF program. The DEP also agrees to add any projects that count as a Green Project Reserve project into the database.
- Projects will be uploaded into EPA's SRF database at least quarterly.

SECTION VII

Public Participation

Comments will be received on the DWTRF IUP for FY2025 until June 21, 2024. A public meeting will be held at 9:30 am on June 13, 2024, at the WV DEP Headquarters in Charleston, WV. The option to attend virtually will also be offered. The notice will be legally advertised in newspapers throughout the State. In addition, the DEP issued a notice of the IUP comment period by sending a mass email directly to consulting engineers, regional councils, and other interested parties.

Appendix C will contain the public comment notice and a summary of the comments.

APPENDIX A

FISCAL YEAR 2025 COMPREHENSIVE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

FY2025 Priority List

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Alderson, Town of	22DWTRFA013	63	45.00
Anmoore Water Department, Town of	22DWTRFA030	64	45.00
Athens, Town of	D-144026	154	20.00
Beckley Water Company	D-144155	24	60.00
Belington, City of (Water Department)	D-144027	29	55.00
Belmont, City of	D-144028	123	30.00
Benwood, City of	D-144124	93	35.00
Berkeley County PSWD	22DWTRFA132	152	20.00
Beverly, Town of	D-144087	143	25.00
Big Bend PSD	D-144088	124	30.00
Birch River PSD	D-144089	81	40.00
Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Six Mile Creek)	D-144090	62	45.00
Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Trace Fork & West Hamlin)	D-144094	22	65.00
Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Two Mile Creek)	D-144091	44	50.00
Buffalo Creek PSD (Lorado)	D-144092	128	30.00
Buffalo Creek PSD (South Man)	D-144093	129	30.00
Burnsville Public Utility, Town of	D-144095	157	20.00
Cairo, Town of (Glendale Waterline Ext)	D-144125	59	45.00
Cameron, City of	D-144074	65	45.00
Canaan Valley PSD	D-144068	13	70.00
Century Volga PSD	D-144096	5	85.00
Clarksburg Water Board (Phase 3A)	22DWTRFA001LS	19	65.00
Clarksburg Water Board (Phase 3B)	D-144151	20	65.00
Clay-Roane PSD (Phase 1)	D-144126	36	55.00
Clinton Water Association	D-144127	49	45.00
Cool Ridge-Flat Top PSD (Ellison Ridge Rd)	D-144030	126	30.00
Cowen PSD (Phase III Rehab)	D-144029	28	55.00

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Cowen PSD (Route 82)	D-144128	106	35.00
Craigsville PSD	19DWTRFA018	40	50.00
Crum PSD	D-144097	101	35.00
Danese PSD	D-144013	120	30.00
Davis, Town of	D-144129	17	65.00
Davy, Town of (Phase I)	D-144063	25	60.00
Davy, Town of (Phase II)	D-144064	80	40.00
Elizabeth, Town of	D-144130	55	45.00
Fairview, Town of (Phase I)	22DWTRFA001	3	100.00
Fairview, Town of (Phase II)	22DWTRFA004	4	100.00
Farmington, Town of	D-144031	107	35.00
Flatwoods-Canoe Run PSD	D-144032	68	43.00
Fort Gay, Town of	D-144065	16	70.00
Fountain PSD	D-144006	122	30.00
Frankfort PSD	D-144003	94	35.00
Franklin, Town of	D-144131	121	30.00
Gary, City of	D-144005	102	35.00
Gauley River PSD (Critical Needs)	D-144002	82	40.00
Gauley River PSD (Route 39)	D-144033	108	35.00
Gilbert, Town of	D-144098	43	50.00
Glen Dale, City of	22DWTRFA002EC	8	75.00
Grant Town, Town of	D-144034	103	35.00
Grantsville, Town of	D-144035	118	30.00
Greater Harrison County PSD	D-144075	140	25.00
Greenbrier PSD No. 2 (Phase II Water Ext.)	D-144015	35	55.00
Hardy County PSD	D-144069	6	80.00
Harrisville, Town of	D-144132	90	35.00
Hodgesville PSD	D-144010	145	25.00

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Hughes River Water Board	D-144133	155	20.00
Ice's Run Route 250 PSD	D-144062	27	58.00
Jumping Branch-Nimitz PSD (Broomstraw Rd)	22DWTRFA027	39	53.00
Jumping Branch-Nimitz PSD (Madams Creek)	D-144024	48	48.00
Kanawha County Regional Development Authority	D-144156	69	43.00
Kanawha Falls PSD	D-144039	50	45.00
Keyser, City of	D-144134	7	75.00
Lashmeet PSD (Brick Yard)	D-144058	53	45.00
Lashmeet PSD (Hiawatha-Springton)	D-144154	34	55.00
Lashmeet PSD (Mary Branch)	19DWTRFA011	33	55.00
Lavalette PSD (Big Lynn)	D-144099	127	30.00
Lewis County Economic Development Authority	D-144135	14	70.00
Lincoln County PSD	D-144001	95	35.00
Logan County PSD (Big Ugly - Phase 1)	D-144100	158	20.00
Logan County PSD (Greenville WTP)	D-144101	149	25.00
Logan County PSD (Island Creek)	D-144102	136	25.00
Logan County PSD (Justice Addition)	D-144104	117	30.00
Logan County PSD (Northern Regional WTP)	D-144084	83	40.00
Logan County PSD (Stollings & McConnell)	D-144103	116	30.00
Lumberport, Town of	D-144070	42	50.00
Marlinton, Town of	D-144025	56	45.00
Marshall County PSD No. 1 (Water Meters)	D-144148	139	25.00
Marshall County PSD No. 1 (Waterlines)	D-144149	18	65.00
Marshall County PSD No. 4	D-144040	130	30.00
Mason County PSD (Crab Creek)	D-144041	142	25.00
Mason County PSD (Milton Interconnection)	D-144136	137	25.00
Mason County PSD (North Phase I)	D-144137	138	25.00
Mason, Town of	D-144042	97	35.00

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
McDowell County PSD (Anawalt - Phase 1)	D-144020	26	60.00
McDowell County PSD (Bradshaw)	D-144043	61	45.00
McDowell County PSD (Elkhorn Phase III)	D-144044	23	65.00
McDowell County PSD (Elkhorn Phase IV)	D-144105	58	45.00
McDowell County PSD (Jolo Phase V)	D-144106	45	50.00
McMechen, City of (Phase II)	D-144138	156	20.00
Meadow Bridge, Town of	D-144076	131	30.00
Meadow Creek PSD	D-144153	60	45.00
Mercer County PSD (McComas)	D-144045	12	70.00
Mercer County PSD (Pocahontas)	22DWTRFA135	147	25.00
Mercer County PSD (Route 19)	D-144107	88	35.00
Midland PSD	D-144019	54	45.00
Milton Municipal Utilities Commission	D-144139	119	30.00
Mingo County PSD (Hanover)	D-144085	115	30.00
Mingo County PSD (Ikes Fork Phase IV)	D-144108	51	45.00
Mingo County PSD (Little Huff Creek Phase II)	D-144109	70	40.00
Mingo County PSD (North Spring Phase III)	D-144110	89	35.00
Mingo County PSD (Rockhouse Branch Phase V)	D-144111	114	30.00
Mingo County PSD (Upper Gilbert Creek)	D-144112	71	40.00
Monumental PSD	D-144047	84	40.00
Nettie-Leivasy PSD	D-144014	76	40.00
New Haven PSD	18DWTRFB007	91	35.00
New Haven PSD (Contract 19)	18DWTRFB008	46	48.00
New Haven PSD (Lucas Rd)	D-144048	86	38.00
New Haven PSD (Old Gwinn Rd)	D-144049	47	48.00
New Haven PSD (White Rd)	D-144050	38	53.00
Norton-Harding-Jimtown PSD	D-144071	87	35.00
Nutter Fort, Town of	D-144140	144	25.00

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Oceana, Town of (Phase II)	D-144008	96	35.00
Parkersburg Utility Board	D-144051	113	30.00
Parsons, City of	D-144052	104	35.00
Paw Paw, Town of	D-144077	105	35.00
Pax, Town of	D-144086	57	45.00
Pennsboro, City of	D-144053	21	65.00
Piedmont, City of	D-144066	73	40.00
Pineville, Town of (Brenton-Baileysville-JPBS)	D-144141	10	70.00
Pineville, Town of (In-Town Final)	20DWTRFB010	11	70.00
Preston County PSD No. 1	D-144150	79	40.00
Raleigh County PSD	D-144113	141	25.00
Ravenclyff-Mcgraws-Saulsville PSD (Glen Rogers)	D-144078	148	25.00
Ravenclyff-Mcgraws-Saulsville PSD (Route 97)	D-144022	72	40.00
Ravenclyff-Mcgraws-Saulsville PSD (Water Plant)	D-144021	132	30.00
Richwood, City of	D-144079	15	70.00
Richwood, City of (WTP Intake)	21DWTRFA025	159	15.00
Romney, Town of	D-144142	52	45.00
Rupert, Town of	D-144114	98	35.00
Salem, City of (Phase IV)	22DWTRFA005	1	100.00
Short Line PSD	D-144055	150	25.00
Summersville, City of	D-144080	160	10.00
Summit Park PSD	D-144083	151	25.00
Sun Valley PSD	D-144081	85	38.00
Terra Alta, Town of	D-144143	109	35.00
Thomas, City of	D-144060	125	30.00
Tomlinson PSD (Newell)	D-144152	74	40.00
Tomlinson PSD (Phase I)	D-144007	77	40.00
Tomlinson PSD (Phase II)	D-144061	78	40.00

Project	SRF #	Ranking	PriorityPoints
Union, Town of (Waterline)	D-144072	110	35.00
Union, Town of (WTP)	D-144073	111	35.00
Valley Falls PSD	D-144056	75	40.00
Walton PSD (Camp Shepard)	D-144144	92	35.00
Wardensville, Town of	22DWTRFA003	2	100.00
Weirton Water Board (PFAS)	D-144145	9	70.00
Weirton Water Board (Pressure Alleviation)	D-144146	153	20.00
Welch, City of	D-144082	146	25.00
West Hamlin, Town of (Phase I)	D-144147	99	35.00
West Union, Town of	D-144011	133	30.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Lewisburg Connection)	D-144115	100	35.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Mapledale)	D-144116	30	55.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Ridges)	D-144117	66	45.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Villa Park)	D-144118	31	55.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Waterline Replacement)	D-144119	134	30.00
White Sulphur Springs, City of (WTP Improvements)	D-144120	112	35.00
Whitmer Water Association (Elkins)	D-144057	37	55.00
Wilderness PSD	D-144121	41	50.00
Williamson, City of (Water System Upgrades)	D-144122	32	55.00
Williamson, City of (Waterline Replacement Phase II)	D-144123	135	30.00
Worthington, Town of (Phase II)	D-144067	67	45.00

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Salem, City of (Phase IV)	\$1,295,800	\$5,881,500
1	SRF #: 22DWTRFA005 County: Harrison PERMIT #WV: 3301720 Binding Date: 9/30/2024	Needs Categories: Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design Other	Problem The City of Salem has been experiencing high percentages of water loss throughout their system and through this proposed project would like to alleviate some of the water loss in the particular areas. There is also known to be lead service lines on the customer side that are to be replaced as part of this proposed project. Solution The proposed City of Salem Phase IV Water System Improvements and Water Meter Replacements Project consists of replacing approximately 14,000 lineal feet of various sized water lines to replace deteriorated water lines along West Main St., East Main St., Patterson Fork Rd., Ford St., and Route 23. The approximately 10,500 lineal feet of water line along Main St., Patterson Fork Rd., and Ford St. is known to have lead service lines and is to be replaced. The Water Meter Replacements will include the replacement of approximately 775 water meters due to the number of outdated meters in their system.
Points	100.00		

Rank	Wardensville, Town of	\$4,744,838	\$6,894,577
2	SRF #: 22DWTRFA003 County: Hardy PERMIT #WV: 3301603 Binding Date: 9/30/2024	Needs Categories: Treatment Transmission & Distribution Storage Other	Problem The Town received a letter from the State of WV DHHR stating that the Town's public water supply is declared ground water under direct influence. In addition, the water treatment plant and storage tanks are in poor condition and need to be replaced in order to provide adequate water supply to the Town. Solution A new well will be developed at a new water treatment plant site, a new water treatment plant will be constructed, the Anderson Ridge and Warden Acres storage tanks will be replaced, a SCADA system will be installed, the meters throughout the system will be replaced, various service lines with lead components will be replaced, several sections of distribution line will be replaced, and a maintenance building will be constructed.
Points	100.00		

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Fairview, Town of (Phase I)	\$2,179,430	\$3,179,430
3			
	SRF #: 22DWTRFA001 County: Marion PERMIT #WV: 3302503 Binding Date: 9/30/2024	Needs Categories: Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design Storage	Problem The water treatment plant (WTP) was originally built in 1952 and received upgrades in 1985, 1994, and 1999. Recent Sanitary Surveys state that the overall condition of the WTP is extremely poor and the mechanical and electrical equipment within the WTP are nearing the point of failure. The Sanitary Survey also states that the 200,000 gallon water storage tank is in need of rehabilitation or replacement, the access roads to both water storage tanks are inaccessible, and the unaccounted for water loss is approximately 37% based on the 2022 PSC Annual Report. Solution The Phase I project will become a purchaser of a neighboring water utility. The water treatment plant and water wells will be decommissioned, the 200,000 gallon water storage tank will receive interior and exterior rehabilitations, both water storage tank access roads will be rehabilitated, a new booster station will be installed to convey water from the neighboring utility to their 200,000 gallon water storage tank. Also, new water meters and setters will be installed within the town to eliminate the existing manual read water meter reading process.
Points	100.00		

Rank	Fairview, Town of (Phase II)	\$1,175,000	\$2,175,000
4			
	SRF #: 22DWTRFA004 County: Marion PERMIT #WV: 3302503 Binding Date: 9/30/2024	Needs Categories: Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	Problem According to the 2022 PSC Annual Report, the Town of Fairview had an unaccounted for water loss of 37%. A majority of the water lines within the Town are the original water lines which the Town is continually fixing when a leak occurs. Solution The Phase II project will focus on the distribution system, installing new water line to replace the original within the Town. Approximately 9,000 LF of water line within Town will be replaced.
Points	100.00		

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Century Volga PSD	\$4,577,000	\$4,577,000
5			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144096	Transmission & Distribution	The existing system is old and deteriorating and experience problems in various areas.	
County:		Solution	
Barbour		The project includes the replacement/extensions of water line infrastructure along Audra Road, Acorn Lane, and Werner Road. The project also proposes to include meter replacements, emergency generator installation, Talbott PRV replacement, Stewarts Run PRV Replacement, Master Meter (Stewarts Run), 400,000 gallon water storage tank, existing tank demolition, telemetry upgrades, mower with trailer, and related appurtenances.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3300107			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
85.00			

Rank	Hardy County PSD	\$2,165,000	\$2,465,000
6			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144069	DW Source Change	The Parker Hollow Impoundment has proven to be susceptible to algae blooms, some of which testing has shown are toxic anabaena algae containing cyanotoxins. Should an algae bloom containing cyanotoxins occur in the area around the water intake, the Bureau for Public Health would require a 'do not use' advisory to be issued until the bloom passes. Without a secondary water source, such an advisory would leave PSD customers without access to a safe water supply.	
County:		Solution	
Hardy		This project proposes to develop a new secondary groundwater source on SCC property adjacent to the Baker water treatment plant. Up to three test wells would be drilled to evaluate their potential as a secondary source. The cumulative desired yield of the well(s) is 200 gallons per minute. Based on the test well analyses, one or more of the wells may be converted to a production well. The cost estimate includes the conversion of all three test wells to production wells, as well as the installation of the raw water line and all related appurtenances necessary for a complete and operable system.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3301613			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
80.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Keyser, City of	\$6,500,000	\$17,500,000
7			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144134	Treatment	The water treatment plant was originally constructed in the 1920's and has been upgraded throughout the years but was most recently upgraded in 2015. Even with the upgrades throughout the years, the water plant is still showing signs of aging and needing upgraded again, partially replaced, or totally replaced. Recently the water treatment plant had cryptosporidium samples collected and results of the highest arithmetic mean during 12 consecutive months of the 24 source water samples collected was 0.426 oocysts/L.	
County:		Solution	
Mineral		Keyser wishes to continue providing potable water service to existing customers through water treatment plant and system upgrades, proposing only partial replacement of the water treatment plant. The upgrades to the water treatment plant works remove the Cryptosporidium parasite from the treated water and bring the City into compliance with the West Virginia Bureau of Public Health. The City also proposes to upgrade the water distribution system by replacing and relocating the Old Orchard pump station. Telemetry will also be added at both the Old Orchard and Limestone pump stations/tanks.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302915			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
75.00			

Rank	Glen Dale, City of	\$3,286,000	\$3,286,000
8			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
22DWTRFA002EC	Treatment Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	The problem of high levels of PFAS contaminants in the water will be solved by both treatment and avoidance. Through treatment, the project will call for an onsite granular activated carbon filtration system. Through avoidance, the project will call for an emergency connector line between Glen Dale and Moundsville to allow the City the opportunity to simply source water elsewhere if needed.	
County:		Solution	
Marshall		Provide a filter system consisting of prefabricated steel tanks containing granular activated carbon will be installed in a new CMU building to be constructed at the site, as well as a 5,500 LF emergency 10-inch connector line between the City of Glen Dale WTP and the City of Moundsville WTP. The filter building will be located between the two existing buildings at the plant. Some of the existing underground piping and equipment located between these buildings will need to be relocated in order to accommodate the new structure.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302605			
Binding Date:			
3/31/2025			
Points			
75.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Weirton Water Board (PFAS)		\$10,550,000	\$10,550,000
9				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144145	Treatment	In March 2023, the United States Environmental Protection Agency published a draft national primary drinking water regulation for 6 per- and poly-fluoryl alkyl substances. PFAS cause a variety of adverse health effects when consumed by humans. Both Weirton's groundwater well and raw water supply are impacted by PFAS at levels which would violate the EPA's proposed NPDWR, according to testing conducted by the WV DHHR and the USGS.		
County:		Solution		
Brooke/Hancock		This project proposes to construct improvements to Weirton's WTP which would allow the treatment and removal of PFAS to levels which would comply with the EPA's proposed NPDWR. This will be accomplished by constructing a new treatment building to house several ion exchange contact vessels, which will be plumbed into Weirton's existing treatment process between its existing (and soon to be constructed) conventional gravity filters and its clearwell.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3300516				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
70.00				

Rank	Pineville, Town of (Brenton-Baileysville-JPBS)		\$1,912,371	\$9,498,871
10				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144141	Transmission & Distribution Restructuring	The Town of Pineville, in cooperation with the Region One Planning and Development Council, has been working for many years to provide water services to the Baileysville Elementary School and to the residents of Mariana, Brenton, and Doublecamp Branch Road. The current plan is to provide water to these areas by installing new distribution lines in the areas previously served by the Brenton Public Service District (PSD), Green Camp PSD, and Marianna Community Water, as well as areas not currently served by any public water source.		
County:		Solution		
Wyoming		This project will extend water service from the Town of Pineville to unserved customers including Baileysville Elementary School. The project will also replace existing Public Water Systems that have had reliability and quality issues in the past. The systems to be replaced are Brenton PSD, Green Camp PSD and Marianna Community Water.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3305517				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
70.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Pineville, Town of (In-Town Final)		\$1,550,000	\$8,487,000
11				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	20DWTRFB010	Treatment Transmission & Distribution	The Town of Pineville and the Region One Planning and Development Council have been working to provide its citizens with a more reliable domestic water supply, fire service, control water loss, and provide water services to unserved and under served residents of Brenton-Baileysville area. The current plan is to provide water to these areas by installing new distribution lines in these areas. In order to do this, upgrades will need to be made to the existing water treatment plant, as well as upgrading the storage tanks, lines, booster stations, and telemetry in the Town of Pineville.	
	County:		Solution	
	Wyoming		Project proposes; Replacement of all pneumatic valves with electric valves, Repair of cracks in clarifier tanks, Replacement of filter media, Installation of a new SCADA system, Generators to power the water system should electrical service be interrupted, Renovations to the Water Treatment Plant Building, Demolition of the old plant building, Construction of a new metal storage building, New air burst system, New electrical service at raw water, New jib crane for pump removal, New tank telemetry, New radio read meters, New booster station, Upgrade existing 6" line from downtown Pineville to new 8" line.	
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3305517			
	Binding Date:			
	12/31/2024			
Points				
70.00				

Rank	Mercer County PSD (McComas)		\$712,500	\$2,812,500
12				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144045	Transmission & Distribution	The existing community water system in place is antiquated and is experiencing issues.	
	County:		Solution	
	Mercer		The project proposes to construct and install approximately 15,075 linear feet (LF) of 6" waterline, 15,925 LF of 6" DIP waterline, 470 LF of 3" DIP waterline, 37 water valves, 55 water meters, 12 fire hydrants, and related appurtenances. The project will serve approximately 55 customers.	
	PERMIT #WV:			
	0000000			
	Binding Date:			
	6/30/2025			
Points				
70.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Canaan Valley PSD	\$1,000,000	\$11,000,000
13			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144068	Treatment DW Source Change	-The T4SU infrastructure is not currently meeting existing customer demand, and treatment times are continuing to lengthen. Costly repairs are required on a regular basis to continue operations. -The water treatment plant utilizes Well #5 as the primary source, and Well #4 for emergency backup use. The production rate of Well #5 has slowly decreased over time. Well #4 will soon be taken out of service due to land development, located on private property. There is a need to develop a new water source to provide reliable water service to T4SU customers.	
County:		Solution	
Tucker		This project proposes to construct a new 300 GPM water treatment plant (WTP) to provide reliable water service to T4SU customers. This project would also develop a new source water well to supply an additional 125 GPM to the plant. Approximately 3,000 linear feet (LF) of four inch HDPE water line and 2,550 LF of six inch HDPE water line would be installed to transmit raw water to the new WTP and tie-in the WTP effluent to the existing distribution system.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3304711			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
70.00			

Rank	Lewis County Economic Development Authority	\$1,500,000	\$5,700,000
14			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144135	Transmission & Distribution	Currently the residential homes/business within the proposed extension area rely solely on wells, cisterns, or haul water. This expansion benefits the local community by providing fire protection to residents and also fosters an environment of job creation and fills the need for anticipated growth.	
County:		Solution	
Lewis		The proposed project will extend water service to 20 new homes on Corridor H. The project will also replace 1.9 miles of undersized waterline beginning at the intersection of U.S. Route 33 and Horner Rd (County Route 33/3) and extending potable water service an additional 1.38 miles along U.S. Route 33. The project also consists of one (1) new 160,000 gallon water storage tank, one (1) telemetry system, one (1) 4 inch control valve station and fifteen (15) fire hydrant assemblies.	
PERMIT #WV:			
0000000			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
70.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Richwood, City of	\$7,325,000	\$8,325,000
15			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144079	Treatment	1) Improve performance of water treatment and meet current state code requirements for pretreatment for surface water treatment (presedimentation) to mitigate turbidity issues during poor raw water quality (following storms). 2) Address aging infrastructure for items which have exceeded their useful life (water storage tanks, booster station, water meters, etc.). 3) Improve functionality of system by providing telemetry at key sites.	
County:	Transmission & Distribution		
Nicholas	Storage		
PERMIT #WV:			
3303401		Solution	
Binding Date:		1) Provide pretreatment for existing WTP. 2) Renovate or replace Lynn St. , Orchard HTS, Riverside, Fenwick Water Storage Tanks (WST). 3) Evaluate decommissioning of Oakford WST and Lynn St. Booster St. by supplying finished water to pressure zone from Hinkle Mountain WST via additional distribution piping and pressure reducing station (if needed). If not possible/feasible, renovate Oakford WST and Lynn St. 4) Replace all existing water meters with new radio read water meters. 5) Install telemetry for Lynn St. WST, Riverside WST, Fenwick WST, Orchard HTS WST, and Orchard HTS Booster St.	
6/30/2025			
Points			
70.00			

Rank	Fort Gay, Town of	\$1,500,000	\$2,650,000
16			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144065	Transmission & Distribution	Residents located at higher service elevations often have inadequate or no service and fire flows are substandard. Booster pumping and storage to the high service gradient is inadequate. The Consent Decree and WV Bureau for Public Health sanitary survey findings also require the Town to develop and implement a staffing evaluation, a cross connection control program, an operation and maintenance manual for the water system, an emergency response and emergency notification program, and a record retention system.	
County:	Storage		
Wayne			
PERMIT #WV:			
3305004		Solution	
Binding Date:		Project will include 6" PVC Class 200 Water Main, 2" PVC Water Line, Water Meters, Connection to Existing Water System, Hot-tap Connection to Existing, 6" Gate Valves, 2" Gate Valves, Blow-off Assembly, Fire Hydrant, Water Booster Station, and 150,000 Gal. Water Storage Tank (Doss Hill).	
6/30/2025			
Points			
70.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Davis, Town of	\$470,000	\$950,000
17			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144129	Transmission & Distribution DW Source Change	-Samples of the raw water line to the WTP and a section of the distribution system line have shown lead concentrations in excess of the maximum contaminant limit. It is suspected that the water lines contain lead joints. There is also a failing section of asbestos cement pipe in the distribution system. -The surface water intakes experience frequent clogging issues due to sediment buildup at their current location. The Blackwater River is considered an impaired river, and the Town has growing concerns regarding the stability of the raw water quality.	
County:		Solution	
Tucker		This project proposes to replace 3,000 LF of the raw water line with new 8-inch PVC water line to reduce the lead concentration of the raw water. Sections of failing and/or lead contaminated water lines in the distribution system are proposed to be replaced with 600 LF of 6-inch PVC water line. The surface water intakes will also be upgraded with new screens and an airburst system to prevent sediment buildup. To address the concerns regarding raw water quality, a hydrogeologic study will be conducted to evaluate the potential to develop a secondary groundwater source on the Town's existing property.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3304701			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
65.00			

Rank	Marshall County PSD No. 1 (Waterlines)	\$4,664,222	\$4,664,222
18			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144149	Transmission & Distribution	The existing booster station is over 30 yrs old and in poor condition requiring frequent repairs. The existing waterline in the Sherrard area is aged, in poor condition and requires continual maintenance for leaks and breaks. The water line is undersized for the demands of the project area.	
County:		Solution	
Marshall		This project will include approximately 14,600 LF of 12", 60 LF of 8", 460 LF of 6", 60 LF of 3" and 120 LF of 2" waterlines. Associated valves, hydrants, air releases and service connections will be replaced. New pumps and piping at the booster pump station will be installed along with a new diesel generator.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302602			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
65.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Clarksburg Water Board (Phase 3A)		\$37,841,000	\$58,441,000
19				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	22DWTRFA001LSL	Transmission & Distribution	The proposed project will eliminate approximately 4,067 identified lead service lines as well as replacement of select main line water lines associated with those lead service lines, and the replacement of the other non-lead service lines associated with those select main line water lines. These were determined along segments of existing water lines where a minimum of two thirds (67%) of the existing utility side lead service lines are lead. The Clarksburg Water Board deemed it prudent to replace the main line water lines and non-lead service lines in addition to the lead service lines.	
	County:		Solution	
	Harrison		Water System Improvements (Lead Service Line Replacement and Associated Main Line Water Line Replacement) proposed project will replace approximately 119,000 lineal feet (LF) of water line, 58,000 LF of service lines, approximately 2,675 water meter replacements, and approximately 230 new Fire Hydrant Assemblies. The proposed project will consist of four separate Contracts. Contract #1 will be the North View area, Contract #2 will be the Stealey area, Contract #3 will be the Rosebud area and Vanburen section, and Contract #4 will be the Downtown (Main and Pike St.) areas of the distribution system.	
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3304306			
	Binding Date:			
	12/31/2024			
Points				
65.00				

Rank	Clarksburg Water Board (Phase 3B)		\$30,000,000	\$30,000,000
20				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144151	Transmission & Distribution	The proposed Phase 3B project will eliminate approximately 1,235 identified lead service lines as well as the replacement of select main line water lines associated with those lead service lines, and the replacement of the other non-lead service lines associated with those select main line water lines. These main line replacements were determined along segments of existing water lines where a minimum of two thirds (67%) of the existing utility side lead service lines are lead. The Clarksburg Water Board deemed it prudent to replace the main line water lines and non-lead service lines in addition to the lead service lines.	
	County:		Solution	
	Harrison		This Phase 3B Water System Improvements (Lead Service Line Replacement and Associated Main Line Water Line Replacement) proposed project will replace water lines in the areas of Adamston, Arbutus Park, Broad Oaks, and Broadway areas of the Clarksburg Water Board system. This will replace main line water lines and non-lead service lines that will be identified as problematic lines by the Clarksburg Water Board.	
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3304306			
	Binding Date:			
	12/31/2024			
Points				
65.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Pennsboro, City of	\$2,000,000	\$5,500,000
21			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144053	Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	The City of Pennsboro has been experiencing high percentages of water loss throughout their system and through this proposed project would like to alleviate some of the water loss in the particular areas.	
County:		Solution	
Ritchie		The Phase II Water System Improvements project will consist of replacing approximately 25,550 lineal feet of water line replacement in the residential and portions of the downtown areas in the City of Pennsboro. The goal of this project is to continue with the replacement of old, dilapidated water lines within the distribution system so the City of Pennsboro can reduce the overall water loss in their system and improve water flows and the quality of water in the system by removing the tuberculated water lines to ensure an adequate and efficient water system is in place to provide public, potable water.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3304306			
Binding Date:			
9/30/2024			
Points			
65.00			

Rank	Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Trace Fork & West Hamlin)	\$4,207,000	\$4,207,000
22			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144094	Transmission & Distribution	Residents of the project area currently make use of individually owned water wells as a source of potable drinking water. This is a public health and safety concern as water wells are more easily contaminated by nearby septic tanks, overland flow, improper storage of fuels and residential oil sources, and improper maintenance and construction of the well itself. The installation of public water sources would provided a higher quality and more dependable source of potable water.	
County:		Solution	
Lincoln		The project consists of the construction of approximately 27,700 feet of 10-inch and smaller diameter water mains, one booster station, fire hydrants, valves, customer services and other related appurtenances. The project will connect to the District's existing water system along WV Route 10 at its connection to the Town of West Hamlin and extend to the community of Branchland, including Trace Fork and its tributaries.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302202			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
65.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	McDowell County PSD (Elkhorn Phase III)		\$856,500	\$7,513,000
23				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144044	Treatment	The raw water source from Elkhorn Phase I does not have as much flow as expected, so a new treatment plant is needed.		
County:				
McDowell				
PERMIT #WV:		Solution		
3302434		The proposed Elkhorn Phase III Project will construct an additional Water Treatment Plant to supplement the treatment plant completed in phase I. The proposed Elkhorn Creek Phase III project will construct the necessary water infrastructure required to provide dependable potable water and fire protection to the existing residences and businesses as well as provide the additional capacity needed to attract new businesses to the area.		
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
65.00				

Rank	Beckley Water Company		\$7,000,000	\$14,000,000
24				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144155	Transmission & Distribution	Beckley Water Company's distribution system contains lead/galvanized service lines.		
County:				
Raleigh				
PERMIT #WV:		Solution		
3304104		Remove and replace all known or identified lead/galvanized service lines in the service area.		
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
60.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Davy, Town of (Phase I)	*	\$3,312,000
25			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144063	Treatment	The treatment building part of the plant must be upgraded for three reasons: 1) The components are aged, inefficient, and prone to failure 2) The treatment building itself lacks structural integrity and shows signs of structural failure 3) The treatment building lies within the flood zone	
County:		Solution	
McDowell		This project is designated as Davy Water Phase 1-The project consists of constructing a new treatment building with plant components. The newly constructed portion of the water treatment plant will replace the aged components, replace the existing building that has structural integrity problems, and the floor of the new treatment building will be higher than the flood zone elevation. The basins will be upgraded rather than replaced.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302425			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points		*Are considering adding SRF funding.	
60.00			

Rank	McDowell County PSD (Anawalt - Phase 1)	\$2,000,000	\$6,100,000
26			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144020	Transmission & Distribution	McDowell County PSD has experienced large amounts of water loss through their system due to the age of the system, and much of the water loss is coming from the Anawalt area.	
County:		Solution	
McDowell		The proposed Anawalt Water System Replacement Project will replace existing water infrastructure within the area (water storage tank, waterline, meters, etc.). The project also proposes to provide the availability of treated water services to 55 potential new customers.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302434			
Binding Date:			
3/31/2025			
Points			
60.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Ice's Run Route 250 PSD	\$2,262,000	\$2,762,000
27			
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144062	Transmission & Distribution	The access road to the Chatham hill tank needs upgraded. A pressure reducing valve (PRV) is needed near Katy and Pine Grove Road. An existing control valve needs replaced along Route 250. Approximately 9,000 LF of water line needs replaced. Goose Run booster pump station needs to be upgraded.
	County:		
	Marion		
	PERMIT #WV:		
	3302508		
	Binding Date:		
	6/30/2025		
Points			Solution
58.00			Installing 1,800 LF of 6" HDPE water line from the Fairmont master meter to the goose run booster pump station, 4,700 LF of 6" HDPE water line in the Dunkard Mill area, 2,400 LF of the new 6" HDPE water line from Mill Fall Road to White Rock Road, a new booster pump station along Mill Fall Road and add 480 new water meters, a new pressure valve station along Katy Road, a new control valve station along Route 250 and upgrades to the existing access road to the Chatham Hill water tank.

Rank	Cowen PSD (Phase III Rehab)	\$2,000,000	\$2,500,000
28			
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144029	Transmission & Distribution	Portions of Cowen's existing water distribution system in downtown Cowen are more than 60 years old, and includes water lines with lead pipe joints. Although lead levels observed at the customer tap have not exceeded advisory levels to date, these lines present an ongoing risk to public health in Cowen's service territory. More generally, this portion of Cowen's service territory has reached the end of its useful life and requires frequent repairs to fix leaks, breaks, and other manifestations of decay. This contributes to a non-revenue water fraction of almost 40%.
	County:		
	Webster		
	PERMIT #WV:		
	3305103		
	Binding Date:		
	6/30/2025		
Points			Solution
55.00			Cowen proposes to replace waterline within its existing distribution system in downtown Cowen. Exact scope of replacement will be determined during design based on expected unit costs and funding availability.

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Belington, City of (Water Department)		\$900,000	\$2,800,000
29				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144027	Treatment	Old infrastructure plagues the system and is needing addressed. Important components at the water treatment plant are needing upgraded and/or replaced.		
County:	Transmission & Distribution			
Barbour				
PERMIT #WV:				
3300101		Solution		
Binding Date:		The proposed project will replace existing undersized and outdated cast iron and galvanized pipes at several locations in the COB water distribution system. Except for the Century Volga PSD connection, all water distribution system construction concerns the replacement of existing pipes within the city limits. The work also includes the replacement/upgrades of equipment and other improvements at the water treatment plant.		
9/30/2024				
Points				
55.00				

Rank	White Sulphur Springs, City of (Mapledale)		*	\$1,110,839
30				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144116	Transmission & Distribution	The fire hydrants along Rt. 92 in White Sulphur Springs was discovered to have an inadequate water supply to allow proper tire flow. The customers in the area also have an inadequate system flow, so their water will be renovated to be attached to the main distribution system from the City's water treatment plant.		
County:	Storage			
Greenbrier				
PERMIT #WV:				
3301314		Solution		
Binding Date:		Due to the lacking water supply in the area, a new 40,000 gallon water storage tank is proposed. This will allow proper fire flow for the project area which will make the area safer and improve quality of the City's distribution system due to increase amounts of water. This project also proposes a new 100 gallon per minute booster pump replacement as well as other necessary appurtenances necessary for the project. Additionally, the existing customers in the area will be reconnected to the City's distribution system and supplied from the City's water treatment plant. *Are considering adding SRF funding.		
6/30/2025				
Points				
55.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	White Sulphur Springs, City of (Villa Park)		*	\$4,935,000
31				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144118	Transmission & Distribution	The Villa Park project area is currently served with asbestos cement pipe and known lead service lines. Solution The proposed project consists of replacing existing water lines throughout the Villa Park area of White Sulphur Springs in Greenbrier County, West Virginia. Approximately 14,400 LF of 6" waterline is to be installed as well as 2,450 LF of 2" waterline, 214 service re-connections, 13 fire hydrants, valves, and other necessary appurtenances. *Are considering adding SRF funding.	
	County:	Other		
	PERMIT #WV:			
	Binding Date:			
Points	3301314			
55.00	6/30/2025			

Rank	Williamson, City of (Water System Upgrades)		*	\$12,653,000
32				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144122	Treatment	Williamson's water treatment and distribution system currently satisfies water quality standards and customer demand. Although, water storage tanks, and distribution system is aging, needing repairs or replacement. Current high service pumps in treatment plant are wearing and due to be replaced along with sand and anthracite filter media. Additionally, distribution system contains numerous booster pump stations which contribute to higher operating costs and undersized and aging water storage tanks. Current system cannot easily support economic growth or prolonged emergency supply. Solution The proposed project will upgrade the water treatment, storage, and distribution system. Water for the project will be produced by the City of Williamson, which uses the Tug Fork River as the source for raw water. *Are considering adding SRF funding.	
	County:	Transmission & Distribution		
	PERMIT #WV:	Storage		
	Binding Date:			
Points	3303009			
55.00	6/30/2025			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Lashmeet PSD (Mary Branch)		\$1,875,382	\$3,193,000
33				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
19DWTRFA011	Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	-No public water facilities exist within the Mary Branch area of the proposed project. The project will provide water service to an unserved area. Many residents in the area haul water from other areas for their home use and purchase bottled water for consumption. -The Lashmeet Public Service District has received numerous petitions and individual requests for potable water service from residents of Mary Branch since 1991. These requests/petitions indicated a lack of water, and/or poor-quality water.		
County:		Solution		
Mercer		The Mary Branch Waterline Extension Project includes connecting to the Lashmeet Public Service District's water line, installed as part of the Giatto-Weyanoke Water Extension project, at the intersection of State Route 71 and State Route 10 near the town limits of Matoaka and extending it along the right-of-way of State Route 71 to the community of Mary Branch.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302817				
Binding Date:				
9/30/2024				
Points				
55.00				

Rank	Lashmeet PSD (Hiawatha-Springton)		\$380,000	\$8,351,000
34				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144154	Transmission & Distribution	No public water facilities exist within the Hiawatha and Springton areas of the proposed project along with a small section of Lamarr Mtn Rd. The project will provide water service to two unserved areas. Many residents in the area are connected to the Hiawatha Water Association's water system while others haul water from other areas for their home use and purchase bottled water for consumption.		
County:		Solution		
Mercer		The Hiawatha-Springton Waterline Extension Project includes connecting to the Lashmeet Public Service District's water line, installed as part of the Giatto-Weyanoke Water Extension project, near the intersection of Old Smokeless Rd. and State Route 10 near the community of Giatto and extending it along the right-of-way of State Route 10 to the communities of Hiawatha and Springton. Additionally, it will extend water service to customers along Lamarr Mtn Rd. just outside of to the northwest of Weyanoke.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302817				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
55.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Greenbrier PSD No. 2 (Phase II Water Ext.)		\$5,900,000	\$17,635,500
35				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144015	Transmission & Distribution	Large portions of western Greenbrier County remain unserved by the PSD or any other public water utility. Residents in the communities of Asbury, Clintonville, and Alta have expressed a desire for public water service and fire protection to be provided in their communities. In the long-term, the PSD also aspires to improve service resiliency by establishing an interconnection with the City of Lewisburg water system, serve the communities of Smoot, Williamsburg, and Trout. Solution This project proposes to extend water service to approximately 210 new customers between the community of Charmco, WV and the Town of Rainelle, WV and between the community of Sam Black Church, WV and the community of Asbury, WV. This extension will prepare the way for future extensions by the PSD to serve the communities of Smoot, Williamsburg, and Trout, WV and to form an interconnection with the City of Lewisburg, WV.		
County:				
Greenbrier				
PERMIT #WV:				
3301302				
Binding Date:				
12/31/2024				
Points				
55.00				

Rank	Clay-Roane PSD (Phase 1)		\$19,125,000	\$19,125,000
36				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144126	Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	Aging and deteriorating infrastructure has led to repeated equipment failures, and over 125 major leaks in the Amma, Left Hand and Pigeon areas have occurred over the past ten years; those leaks have resulted in repeated water service interruptions in these areas. In addition, areas along the Elk River that were damaged by the 2016 flood have also failed repeatedly. The three existing Pressure Reducing Stations and three of the four booster pumping stations are deteriorating and need to be repaired or replaced. Existing water meters and isolation valves need to be replaced. Solution Water System Improvements and Repairs-Phase 1: project proposes to replace 50,000 LF of 2, 6, and 8 inch mains., 74 valves, 1000 meters, refbish 3 PRS, and 3 booster stations.		
County:				
Clay				
PERMIT #WV:				
3300806				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
55.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Whitmer Water Association (Elkins)		\$1,500,000	\$2,299,000
37				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144057	Treatment	Under WV Public Service Commission (WVPSC) Case No. 22-0993-W-DU, WVPSC found that Whitmer was a distressed utility and ordered that Whitmer be acquired by the City of Elkins (Elkins) through a mutual agreement. To effectively transfer the Whitmer water system to Elkins, certain improvements to the Whitmer water system are required to ensure its future, successful operation by Elkins. Elkins is located over 25 miles from Whitmer by way of mountainous roadways with elevations ranging from 1920-3400 feet.	
	County:	Transmission & Distribution		
	Randolph	Storage		
	PERMIT #WV:	Restructuring		
	3304216		Solution	
	Binding Date:		Upgrade water treatment plant to ensure its reliability. Upgrade water storage tank to improve vehicular access, to prevent freezing in valve pit, and to provide mixing. Upgrade water meters to allow them to be efficiently and regularly read via cellular signal. Purchase a four-wheel drive double cab truck for use at remote site. Construction of car port/garage structure with a clearance to store a backhoe/excavator at the water treatment plant site. A back-up system for in the event of temporary electrical outage or well failure.	
Points	6/30/2025			
55.00				

Rank	New Haven PSD (White Rd)		\$4,240,138	\$6,615,000
38				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144050	Transmission & Distribution	-The residents of the White Road and Richmond Chapel Road area in Fayette County, WV have no access to public water. -The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use. Residents haul water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses.	
	County:			
	Fayette			
	PERMIT #WV:			
	0000000		Solution	
	Binding Date:		-The project is a waterline extension in the White Road and Richmond Chapel Road areas in Fayette County, WV. -The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe, wholesome water for their homes.	
Points	6/30/2025			
53.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	<u>Jumping Branch-Nimitz PSD (Broomstraw Rd)</u>		\$799,932	\$7,445,000
39				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
22DWTRFA027	Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	-The residents of the Broomstraw Ridge Road and Mark Meador Road areas in Summers County, WV have no access to public water. -The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use. Residents haul water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses.		
County:		Solution		
Summers		-This project is known as the Broomstraw Road/Mark Meador Road waterline extension. -The project is a waterline extension to cover the Broomstraw Ridge Road and Mark Meador Road areas in Summers County, WV. -The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe, wholesome water for their homes.		
PERMIT #WV:				
0000000				
Binding Date:				
12/31/2024				
Points				
53.00				

Rank	<u>Craigsville PSD</u>		\$7,486,000	\$16,410,000
40				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
19DWTRFA018	Treatment Transmission & Distribution Storage	Craigsville's WTP is quite old and has reached the end of its useful life. Existing aboveground settling tanks are prone to leaks and require frequent repairs. The gravity filters require nearly daily backwashing in order to function. Moreover, even if the WTP were in like-new condition, the facility would need to run for nearly 14 hours/day to meet typical demand. Craigsville's water storage tanks are also aging and need repainted.		
County:		Solution		
Nicholas		This project proposes to construct a new 900 GPM WTP, construct a new water supply line from the WTP to Craigsville's main water storage tanks (to protect the existing system from increased supply pressure), decommission a smaller water storage tank and booster station in Cottle which will be made redundant by the new line, replacing them with a pressure reducing valve, and repaint Craigsville's remaining water storage tanks.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3303402				
Binding Date:				
9/30/2024				
Points				
50.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Wilderness PSD	\$4,204,000	\$5,704,000
41			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144121	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The existing water distribution system is needing upgrades to provide adequate flow and pressure to Cherry Lane, Shafer Road, Honeysuckle Lane, Mt. Nebo Grade School, Jones Road, Dietz Road, Lower Anglins Creek/Runa, Oak Grove Cut-off, and Miranda Lane. Water storage tanks are needing cleaned, repainted, new generators, and automatic transfer switches. Snow Hill and Nicholas Booster stations are needing improvements. Telemetry system is antiquated and needing improvements. Water treatment plant is needing improvements as well.	
County:	DW Source Change		
Nicholas			
PERMIT #WV:			
3303405		Solution	
Binding Date:		The proposed project will include of replacement of approximately 17,755 feet of 2-inch water line with new 4-inch PVC line, 3,735 feet of 6-inch AC water line with 6-inch PVC line, 23 gate valves, 3,095 feet of service line, 2 pressure reducing stations, surface restoration and all necessary appurtenances. The project will also include development of new raw water intakes on both Meadow River and Anglins Creek, installation of a spiral staircase on the existing pre-sedimentation basin, replace the existing telemetry system, clean and repaint all of the water storage tanks, make improvements to the Snow Hill.	
6/30/2025			
Points			
50.00			

Rank	Lumberport, Town of	\$4,000,000	\$5,000,000
42			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144070	DW Source Change	Lumberport's water treatment plant is currently in poor condition. The Town is actively working to upgrade their water system and is proposing to decommission the existing water treatment plant and become a water purchasing system. The existing water treatment plant and Jones River Reservoir Dam are in poor condition. The repairs to these essential components would be costly and require a large amount of time and resources for rehabilitation.	
County:			
Harrison			
PERMIT #WV:			
3300714		Solution	
Binding Date:		This project proposes an interconnection to a neighboring utility to allow the Town to decommission their water treatment plant and become a water purchasing utility. This will allow the Town to properly maintain their system and better serve their customers.	
6/30/2025			
Points			
50.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank 43	Gilbert, Town of	\$8,284,000	\$8,284,000
SRF #: D-144098 County: Mingo PERMIT #WV: 0103748 Binding Date: 6/30/2025 Points 50.00	Needs Categories: Treatment	Problem Based on the latest Sanitary Survey conducted by the WV Department of Health and Human Resources dated February 8, 2024, there were major and minor deficiencies listed for the town's water treatment plant, including an improper filter rate that is higher than the permitted design standard filter rate, a failure to meet 3-log giardia CT reduction at all times during the coldest water conditions, an inadequate number/volume of clarifier/flocculator basins, and an improper backwash process. Solution All components of the existing treatment plant will be demolished with the exception of the raw water intake, the newer settling basin and the raw water pumping vault. Replacements include the raw water, high service, and backwash pumps, the settling basins, clearwell, treatment units, decant basin, drying bed, electrical work, piping, and water storage tank.	
Rank 44	Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Two Mile Creek)	\$6,453,000	\$6,453,000
SRF #: D-144091 County: Lincoln PERMIT #WV: 3302202 Binding Date: 6/30/2025 Points 50.00	Needs Categories: Transmission & Distribution	Problem Residents of the project area currently make use of individually owned water wells as a source of potable drinking water. This is a public health and safety concern as water wells are more easily contaminated by nearby septic tanks, overland flow, improper storage of fuels and residential oil sources, and improper maintenance and construction of the well itself. The installation of public water sources would provided a higher quality and more dependable source of potable water. Solution The proposed Branchland-Midkiff Public Service District's Two Mile Creek Water Project will provide service to approximately 123 potential customers (310 persons) in the communities of Two Mile Creek, Little Laurel, Bee Branch and surrounding areas of Lincoln County. The project consists of the construction of approximately 42,010 feet of 6-inch and smaller diameter water mains, fire hydrants, valves, customer services and other related appurtenances.	

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	McDowell County PSD (Jolo Phase V)		\$1,753,000	\$4,253,000
45				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144106	Transmission & Distribution	The areas proposed to be served by the Jolo Phase V currently lack treated water services and rely on well water. There is potential for the water in the area to be contaminated, as the vicinity has been used for mining activities in the past.		
County:		Solution		
McDowell		The proposed Jolo Phase V project will provide water service to 119 potential new customers, divided between four areas. The first area, "Rockridge", begins at the northern end of the previously constructed Jolo Phase 3 project along County Route 5/1 and includes 54 potential customers. The second area, "Panther Creek Road", begins at the western end of the previously constructed Jolo Phase 4 project along County Route 3/2 and includes 31 potential customers. The third area, "Baker Ridge", begins at the end of the previously constructed Jolo Phase 4 project along County Route 83/11 and includes 9 customers.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302434				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
50.00				

Rank	New Haven PSD (Contract 19)		\$6,308,407	\$10,379,000
46				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
18DWTRFB008	Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	-The residents of Cane Branch, Ramsey, Hawver, South Miller Ridge, Arrowwood, Dotson Ridge, and Lower Dotson Ridge in Fayette County, WV have no access to public water. -The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use. Residents haul water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses.		
County:		Solution		
Fayette		-The project is a waterline extension to cover the Cane Branch, Ramsey, Hawver, South Miller Ridge, Arrowwood, Dotson Ridge and Lower Dotson Ridge areas in Fayette County, WV. -The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe, wholesome water for their homes.		
PERMIT #WV:				
0000000				
Binding Date:				
12/31/2024				
Points				
48.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	New Haven PSD (Old Gwinn Rd)		\$1,699,584	\$4,326,000
47				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144049	Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	-The residents of the Old Gwinn Road area in Fayette County, WV have no access to public water. -The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use. Residents haul water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses.		
County:		Solution		
Fayette		-The project is a waterline extension Old Gwinn Road areas in Fayette County, WV. -The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe, wholesome water for their homes.		
PERMIT #WV:				
0000000				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
48.00				

Rank	Jumping Branch-Nimitz PSD (Madams Creek)		\$1,539,729	\$5,064,000
48				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144024	Transmission & Distribution	The residents of the Madams Creek area in Summers County, WV have no access to public water. The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use. Residents haul water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses.		
County:		Solution		
Summers		This project is known as the Madams Creek waterline extension. The project is a waterline extension to cover the Madams Creek area in Summers County, WV. The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe, wholesome water for their homes.		
PERMIT #WV:				
0000000				
Binding Date:				
3/31/2025				
Points				
48.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Clinton Water Association		*	\$2,127,500
49				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144127	Transmission & Distribution Storage	Clinton Water was informed by Morgantown Utility Board of upcoming capital improvement projects that would reduce pressures going to the Clinton System. Clinton has been having issues with their Atwood Pump Station losing adequate suction pressure already and causing low pressures on the Route 73 branch of their system. Also, Round Bottom Rd is an unserved area that has been requested to be extended to by the Public Service Commission.		
County:		Solution		
Monongalia		The proposed project is to design and construct a new storage tank for Clinton Water that is fed from Morgantown Utility Board. This new tank would act as a system tank to allow Clinton to control their own pressure throughout the system instead of relying on Morgantown Utility Board's pressures. Tank would be fed by a booster station and then tank would feed the rest of Clinton's system. Includes extension to Round Bottom Rd from Ashton Estates.		
PERMIT #WV:		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.		
3303107				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
45.00				

Rank	Kanawha Falls PSD		\$4,553,750	\$8,107,500
50				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144039	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The December 30, 2021 sanitary survey indicated significant deficiencies in the distribution system and water treatment plant.		
County:		Solution		
Fayette		The project proposes water distribution improvements in Gauley Bridge Hill, New Boomer Hill, Charlton Heights, Main Street to Railroad Street, Upper Gauley Bridge, Scrabble Creek, Falls View, Glen Ferris, and Kanawha Falls areas. The project will also include the construction and installation of a new Low Tank in Gauley Bridge.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3301037				
Binding Date:				
3/31/2025				
Points				
45.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Mingo County PSD (Ikes Fork Phase IV)	*	\$5,300,000
51			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144108	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Many residents use home treatment units to improve the quality of water. The residents in the project area also have no public sewage system and use on-site sewage disposal systems such as septic tanks which could contaminate their water.	
County:		Solution	
Mingo		The Ikes Fork water extension project is estimated to serve approximately 192 residences/businesses in the Ikes Fork/Muzzle Branch area of western Wyoming County, West Virginia. The project would require a 80 GPM booster pump station and 100,000 gallon water storage tank.	
PERMIT #WV:		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.	
3303029			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
45.00			

Rank	Romney, Town of	\$2,900,000	\$3,500,000
52			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144142	DW Source Change Planning and Design	Romney only has one reliable water source to service their customers.	
County:		Solution	
Hampshire		This project proposes to evaluate alternatives to establish a secondary water source for the Town of Romney.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3301405			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
45.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Lashmeet PSD (Brick Yard)		\$1,468,302	\$6,978,000
53				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144058	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The Lashmeet Public Service District has received numerous requests for potable water service from the residents of Brickyard. These requests indicated a lack of water, and/or poor-quality water.	
	County:			
	Mercer			
	PERMIT #WV:		Solution	
	3302817		The Brickyard Road Waterline Extension Project includes connecting to the Lashmeet Public Service District's water line, installed along State Route 7 (Spanishburg-Athens Road) near Gardner (North of Princeton) and extending it along the right-of-way of State Route 7, County Route 16 (Brickyard Road) and State Route 7/3 (Old Gardner Road) to the community of Brickyard Road.	
Points	Binding Date:			
45.00	6/30/2025			

Rank	Midland PSD		\$865,000	\$1,730,000
54				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144019	Storage	According to the 2021 Sanitary Survey, the existing Maxwell Water Storage Tank was constructed in 1980 and is currently in marginal condition. The survey stated the paint on the tank has oxidized and rust spots are located around the tank. It also stated there was no perimeter fencing. The PSD has additional concerns including the air vent in the top in the tank. The air vent is undersized which has resulted in multiple stress cracks and indentations. The PSD is also concerned with storage capacity of the Maxwell Tank as their system continues to grow.	
	County:			
	Randolph			
	PERMIT #WV:		Solution	
	3304208		This project proposes the installation of 2 new tanks consisting of a 491,000-gallon tank and a 122,000-gallon tank. Work will consist of tank installations, site work, piping and tie-ins, the installation of perimeter fencing, demolition of the existing tank, and other necessary appurtenances. The new 491,000-gallon tank will utilize the existing foundation and existing valve vault, and the new 122,000-gallon tank will require a new foundation but will not have a valve vault. The 122,000-gallon tank will be constructed and placed into service before demolition of the existing Maxwell Tank.	
Points	Binding Date:			
45.00	12/1/2024			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Elizabeth, Town of		\$3,000,000	\$3,000,000
55				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144130	Transmission & Distribution	Elizabeth's existing water distribution system is aging and in need of frequent repairs, especially during winter, when frequent temperature swings above and below freezing result in numerous water line breaks. In addition, various communities surrounding Elizabeth's service area do not have access to public drinking water service or fire protection.		
County:		Solution		
Wirt		This project proposes to replace existing waterline throughout Elizabeth's distribution system as well as an extension, approximately 2.5 miles long to serve roughly 25 new customers along Lynn Camp Road.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3305302				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
45.00				

Rank	Marlinton, Town of		\$5,580,000	\$5,580,000
56				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144025	Transmission & Distribution	The existing water distribution system that serves the downtown area of Marlinton is over 100 years old. Extensions were made in 1992 to serve 37 customers. Water losses from the distribution system were traced to the previously inoperable telemetry system that had allowed the tanks to overflow; that system was replaced with the 2019 upgrade project, and water loss has fallen to 50%. Much of the rest of the water loss can be attributed to deteriorating galvanized and cast iron mains, some of which are over 100 years old and have reached the end of their useful lives.		
County:		Solution		
Pocahontas		Water Systems Improvement Project: The oldest sections of Marlinton's distribution system will be replaced to reduce water loss and improve service conditions. This will include replacement of 20 fire hydrants, replace/install 41 2-inch and 6-inch valves, replace 10,000 LF of existing 1-1/4 and 2-inch water mains with new 2-inch PVC mains, replace 10,000 LF of existing 4-inch mains with 6-inch mains, install 10 leak detection meters and place 300 meters, setters and meter wells.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3303803				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
45.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Pax, Town of	*	\$1,780,000
57			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144086	Transmission & Distribution	The Town of Pax is currently purchasing water from the Beckley Water system. The waterline in this section is constructed of antiquated piping, and the Town has concerns of breaks, wash outs from flooding, and other failures that are imminent to the waterline. This would leave the Pax customers without water for an unknown period of time which is a public health and safety concern.	
County:		Solution	
Fayette		This project will involve replacing sections of water main between the Beckley Water Company connection point and the Pax water tank. This will renew the useful life of this critical section of waterline and will continue to distribute treated water for the Town of Pax customers. If installed properly this can reduce the amount of water loss for the system and decrease the probability of leaks and breaks for this section of line. *Are considering adding SRF funding.	
PERMIT #WV:			
0000000			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
45.00			

Rank	McDowell County PSD (Elkhorn Phase IV)	\$7,160,000	\$7,160,000
58			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144105	Transmission & Distribution Restructuring	The area proposed to be served by the project lacks access to treated water services at the current time.	
County:		Solution	
McDowell		The proposed Phase IV Elkhorn Creek Water Project will upgrade the existing water system from the recently completed Elkhorn Creek Phase II Water Project in the Town of Keystone and extend west along Route 52 to the community of Big Four just outside the City of Welch. This project is the last of four phases providing safe and dependable potable water along Route 52 from the Mercer County line to the City of Welch. The proposed project will remove three outdated and problematic water treatment plants and distribution systems from service. This project will provide service to approximately 375 customers in the communities.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302434			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
45.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Cairo, Town of (Glendale Waterline Ext)	\$6,860,000	\$6,860,000
59			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144125	Transmission & Distribution Planning and Design	A large area north of Cairo and northwest of the Town of Ellenboro that lies along Glendale Road (WV County Route 50/34) and several side roads, is not currently served by a public water sytem. Approximately 250 homes (and a significant portion of US Route 50-Corridor D) do not have access to safe, reliable potable water service or fire protection.	
County:		Solution	
Ritchie		The Town of Cairo desires to extend water service to these areas to provide a reliable source of water to the residents, make fire service available and to substantially grow its very small customer base.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3304301			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
45.00			

Rank	Meadow Creek PSD	\$2,967,000	\$12,867,000
60			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144153	Transmission & Distribution	The communities of Meadow Creek and Sandstone currently have no water service. The wastewater service was provided due to contaminated water wells. Additionally, it is the District's understanding that these wells show signs of a lack of water and/or poor-quality water.	
County:		Solution	
Summers		Meadow Creek PSD wishes to construct a water system in the Sandstone and Meadow Creek areas of Summers County in order to provide water service and fire protection to the residents in these areas. The initial phase of the project will include getting water to the community of Sandstone, which includes the National Park Service's Visitor Center. The design will include extending service into the Meadow Creek area, which will be constructed as a future part of the project.	
PERMIT #WV:			
0000000			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
45.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	McDowell County PSD (Bradshaw)		\$32,606	\$2,000,000
61				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144043	Transmission & Distribution Restructuring	The Town of Bradshaw is experiencing problems with maintaining and operating their water system. Financially, the town does not have enough resources to maintain the system properly. The town over the years has experienced a decline in the customer base (decrease in revenue), increase of maintenance issues and cost, need for updated equipment and facilities, and other related issues.		
County:		Solution		
McDowell		The proposed project will involve changing the raw water supply from the Bradshaw water well to the Bartley water well, install new meters and waterline, and decommission the Bradshaw Water Storage Tank and the Bradshaw Water Treatment Plant.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302434				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
45.00				

Rank	Branchland-Midkiff PSD (Six Mile Creek)		\$3,932,000	\$3,932,000
62				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144090	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The Six Mile Creek Project area currently has 47 potential customers (120 persons). Residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Some residents utilize home treatment units in an effort to improve the quality of water. The District has received several reports that wells in the area get low or go dry during certain times of the year. The BMPSD's existing water system abuts the project area and can facilitate the future extension of water service.		
County:		Solution		
Lincoln		Proposed project consists of the construction of approximately 27,610 feet of 6-inch and smaller diameter main, one water storage tank, fire hydrants, valves, customer services and other related appurtenances.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302202				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
45.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Alderson, Town of		\$6,062,000	\$10,387,000
63				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
22DWTRFA013	Treatment Transmission & Distribution	The Town of Alderson's existing water distribution system is currently experiencing a need for renovations due to the multiple components reaching the end of their service lives. The scope of this work includes but is not limited to replacing undersized main lines, replacing system valve that no longer operate properly, replacing meter tubs that are in disrepair, and replacing system fire hydrants. These system renovations will allow the Town to better service their existing customers and continue to provide them with proper water service and fire protection. Solution Project consists of rehabilitating and replacing components of the Town's existing WTP and distribution system, as well as extending service to 165 customers in the Riverside Rest area and installing an interconnection with Big Bend PSD, which provides service to 45 customers. Project will replace the 150,000 gallon Monroe Storage Tank, rehabilitate the Muddy Creek Storage Tank, and replace 13,000 LF of water line, 19 gate valves, 850 meters, and 40 fire hydrants. The extension and interconnection work includes the installation of 56,350 LF of water line, and 74 gate valves, 210 meter settings, and 33 fire hydrants.		
County:				
Greenbrier				
PERMIT #WV:				
3301315				
Binding Date:				
9/30/2024				
Points				
45.00				

Rank	Anmoore Water Department, Town of		\$2,150,000	\$3,300,000
64				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
22DWTRFA030	Transmission & Distribution	The existing distribution system in the areas of concern is mainly comprised of old lines. The lines are aging, beginning to fail, and need replaced. The replacement of the lines in question, will allow Anmoore to provide their customers with adequate potable water and also help address the water loss throughout their service area. The water meters that the Town utilizes are old and occasionally difficult to read accurately, which often results in unplanned additional hours returning to the water meters for additional readings. Solution The proposed project is going to replace the main distribution lines on Linden Ave, Parkway Ave, Plainfield Ave, Brushy Fork Area, and County Route 21/3 Improvements. The proposed project is also going to replace all water meters within the Town of Anmoore's service area.		
County:				
Harrison				
PERMIT #WV:				
3301701				
Binding Date:				
3/31/2025				
Points				
45.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Cameron, City of	\$1,500,000	\$4,400,000
65			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144074	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The City of Cameron has been experiencing water loss throughout their system and through this proposed project would like to alleviate some of the water loss in the particular areas of their service area. The 500,000 Gallon storage tank is a welded steel tank that was constructed in 1994 and based on the most recent sanitary survey that was completed by the Bureau of Public Health in 2023 the existing tank has significant deficiencies that need to be addressed. Solution The proposed project is replacing approximately 8,400 linear feet of various sized waterlines to help address the water loss issues within the project area. The areas where the waterline replacement will take place are along Gable Ave, Hillcrest Ave, and U.S. Route 250 North. The project will also consist of the installation of two new 183,000 Gallon Water Storage Tanks and the demolition of the existing 500,000 Gallon Storage Tank. Will also consider improvements necessary to prepare for an emergency connection to Marshall County PSD #4.	
County:			
Marshall			
PERMIT #WV:			
3302603			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
45.00			

Rank	White Sulphur Springs, City of (Ridges)	*	\$2,000,000
66			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144117	Transmission & Distribution	The existing pumping system in the area known as The Ridges is quite complex involving a set of four pump stations operating in a series to provide the residents and businesses in the area with potable water. These four pump stations, along with a separate pump station operating at Wilson Ridge Road, all have differing designs and operating procedures. Each pump station is operating with a single pump, with some stations containing two and three inoperable pumps. The pump stations operate with discontinued equipment, which has rendered the failing pumps irreparable and left the system with no fail safes. Solution The selected project proposes the replacement of the pumps, motors, appurtenances with electrical issues, control systems, in the existing booster pump stations along with the replacement of pumps, motors, control equipment, and electrical equipment in the City of White Sulphur Springs water treatment plant while utilizing the existing infrastructure to maintain the current operation and maintenance practices the operators have become familiar with. *Are considering adding SRF funding.	
County:			
Greenbrier			
PERMIT #WV:			
3301314			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
45.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank 67	Worthington, Town of (Phase II)	\$1,050,000	\$4,800,000
SRF #: D-144067 County: Marion PERMIT #WV: 3302524 Binding Date: 6/30/2025 Points 45.00	Needs Categories: Transmission & Distribution	Problem	The proposed Phase 2 project is necessary to complete the rehabilitation of the distribution system that serves the Four States area. A previous project, completed in 2010, replaced most of the 6-inch mains in Four States, but did not replace the 2-inch mains, service lines, meter wells and settings or the 6-inch main connecting Four States to the Town of Monongah's water storage tank. Completion of the project will minimize water lost from the system, as well as substantially improving the reliability and resiliency of the distribution system.
		Solution	This project covers the various work which needs to be done in the Four States area. The smaller waterlines would be replaced in the Four States area, as well as an existing gate valves in the area. Approximately 32,600 LF of 2-inch and 6-inch waterline would be replaced. Four new valves will be installed and 20 will be replaced. Also, several fire hydrants would be replaced. A total of ten leak detection meters would be installed to provide an additional source of information regarding potential leaks in the system.
Rank 68	Flatwoods-Canoe Run PSD	\$2,717,000	\$2,717,000
SRF #: D-144032 County: Braxton PERMIT #WV: 3300402 Binding Date: 6/30/2025 Points 43.00	Needs Categories: Transmission & Distribution	Problem	Approximately 45 potential customers utilize wells as their primary source for drinking water. These potential customers utilize on-site sewage disposal systems. The Braxton County Health Department conducted a survey in the area and found that 65% of water supplies contained coliform bacteria, an indication of widespread surface water contamination.
		Solution	The proposed project would supply an estimated 45 additional customers with potable water and fire protection services by Flatwoods-Canoe Rund Public Service District. The proposed project would consist of the construction of approximately 18,840 LF of 8-inch waterline, 12,525 LF of 6-inch waterline, 1,375 LF of 2-inch waterline, fire hydrants, valves, meters and other related appurtenances.

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Kanawha County Regional Development Authority	\$10,200,000	\$11,439,000
69	Authority		
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144156	Transmission & Distribution	The Olcott/Brounland Road has been plagued with low performing wells and residents that have to haul water for necessary needs. In the summer the water table is low and residents must purchased bottled water for consumption and cooking needs as well as bathing and washing clothing.
	County:		
	Kanawha		
	PERMIT #WV:		
	3302106		
	Binding Date:		Solution
	6/30/2025		Waterline extension that will serve 110 residences in the Olcott/Brounland Road area.
Points			
43.00			

Rank	Mingo County PSD (Little Huff Creek Phase II)	*	\$5,500,000
70			
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144109	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Many residents use home treatment units to improve the quality of water. The residents in the project area also have no public sewage system and use on-site sewage disposal systems such as septic tanks which could contaminate their water.
	County:		
	Mingo		
	PERMIT #WV:		
	3303029		
	Binding Date:		Solution
	6/30/2025		The Little Huff Creek water extension project will serve approximately 213 residences and businesses in the Little Huff Creek area of Wyoming County, West Virginia. The project area would be supplied by a 150 GPM booster pump station and a 400,000 gallon water storage tank constructed as part of the Hanover Phase I project.
Points			
40.00			*Are considering adding SRF Funding.

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Mingo County PSD (Upper Gilbert Creek)	*	\$20,241,850
71			
	SRF #: D-144112 County: Mingo PERMIT #WV: 3303029 Binding Date: 6/30/2025	Needs Categories: Transmission & Distribution Storage Restructuring Land Acquisition	Problem Extend dependable potable water service to approx. 541 residences in the areas of Upper Gilbert Crk, Fourpole Crk, Isaban, and Wyoming City. Though Mingo Co. population is not projected to increase in the future, extension of utilities to underdeveloped communities provides a need for system growth at the present and near future. These areas will greatly benefit from the installation of water service as dependable water both decreases public health and safety risks and increases potential for economic growth and development as areas using well water are not appealing to potential residents or commercial businesses. Solution The proposed Upper Gilbert Creek Waterline Extension Project will connect to the existing Twisted Gun Waterline System and extend southeast to Gilbert Creek. The waterline will continue generally southeast to Isaban, where it will follow Fourpole Creek Road to Wyoming City. Of note in the Project is the installation of storage tanks, sized at 200,000 and 300,000 gallons. Respectively, they are to be placed off Route 13 near Whipoorwill Drive and at the end of Left Fork Gilbert Creek Road. Additionally, a booster pump station is to be placed at the intersection of State Route 13 and Left Fork Gilbert Creek Road.
Points	40.00		
Rank	Ravenclyff-Mcgraws-Saulsville PSD (Route 97)	\$2,258,000	\$4,650,000
72			
	SRF #: D-144022 County: Wyoming PERMIT #WV: 3305518 Binding Date: 12/31/2024	Needs Categories: Transmission & Distribution	Problem The District will provide water to residents who currently do not have access to public water. The District will extend new service to an area of Wyoming County with growth potential for the surrounding residential community. The project will provide an interconnection with RMS PSD and the City of Pineville which will allow each entity to have a secondary source of supply. Solution The proposed project would provide water service to the project area by installing waterlines to connect the existing lines of the RMS PSD from the Keyrock Tank, to the Pineville Municipal Water Works system. This alternative will extend water services along Route 97 and add new customers along Goode Farm and Old Sweeney Farm Roads. The water line extension is provided by gravity with the highest-pressure requirement being sixty psi; therefore, requiring no booster pump installation. This presents reliable water service to new customers along the projected area and creates an alternate water source.
Points	40.00		

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Piedmont, City of	\$1,550,000	\$1,845,000
73			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144066	DW Source Change Planning and Design	The agreement with Westernport, MD to supply raw water to Piedmont's water treatment plant will expire at the end of February 2024, and negotiations to renew the agreement have not been successful. As of the date of this application, no agreement has been reached, and Piedmont will be without a source of raw water as of March 1, 2024. There is an urgent need to develop a new primary water source in order for Piedmont to continue to provide water service to its customers.	
County:		Solution	
Mineral		This project proposes to develop a new primary groundwater source for the Piedmont water treatment plant (WTP). A hydrogeologic study would be conducted to identify the most favorable areas to develop source water wells. Based on the findings of the hydrogeologic study, up to three test wells would be drilled. If the test well(s) meet water quality standards and produce the desired production rate, the well(s) would then be converted to production well(s). This project includes all planning, design, permitting, and construction necessary to develop a complete and operable groundwater source for the WTP.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302921			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
40.00			

Rank	Tomlinson PSD (Newell)	\$3,679,421	\$12,732,991
74			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144152	Treatment Transmission & Distribution Storage Restructuring Purchase of Systems Planning and Design	The Newell System is currently in a state of disrepair. Residents of the community currently have unhealthy/contaminated water often of a brown/black appearance. Additionally, the Newell company is no longer in a position to maintain the system and Tomlinson PSD has been ordered to take control and upgrade the system as necessary.	
County:		Solution	
Hancock		This project acquires, upgrades, and replaces as necessary aspects of the Newell Water system. Design is set to commence this spring. Phase I consists of the construction of a new 800 GPM treatment plant, the refurbishment and upgrade of existing wells, refurbish the existing 1.7 MG storage tank, one new 12" "raw water" line, and one new 12" finished water line connecting back to the distribution system (Phase II). All soft costs associated with both Phase I and II are included in this first phase. Phase II consist of the upgrades to the existing distribution system.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3301519			
Binding Date:			
3/31/2025			
Points			
40.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Valley Falls PSD	\$7,860,000	\$8,860,000
75			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144056	Transmission & Distribution	The existing system contains large amounts of 2" water line. These areas of 2" water line are unable to handle the demand of the current system and limit the PSD in extending potable water throughout other portions of Marion County. In December 2020, a sanitary survey was conducted by WVBP. One major deficiency regarding the large percentage of small diameter lines and their inability to provide reliable service during peak demand periods was documented.	
County:		Solution	
Marion		The proposed project will consist of upsizing approximately 55,400 LF of waterlines in the areas of Morgan Ridge Loop, Mt. Zion, Rock Lake, and Ranch Road. This project will also include the installation of two new constant pressure pump stations, one on Tom Moran Lane and one on Ranch Road, as well as fire hydrants, gate valves, and other necessary appurtenances.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302523			
Binding Date:			
9/30/2024			
Points			
40.00			

Rank	Nettie-Leivasy PSD	\$6,337,775	\$6,337,775
76			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144014	Transmission & Distribution	The first 25,000 LF of main along Ward Road (which connects Nettie to Canvas) was constructed of 4-inch, Class 160 PVC, and is not large enough to support fire hydrants; 6-inch and 8-inch mains feed and are supplied by the section of 4-inch main. Also, a 2,000 LF section of 2-inch main that originally served 6 homes along McCutchen Lane when constructed in 1976 now serves 29 homes, and that main is undersized. Low pressure complaints in this area. Road will require mains to be upgraded and/or booster stations to be installed. Current lines are undersized and leak-prone.	
County:		Solution	
Nicholas		Ward Road/McCutchen Lane Waterline Replacement Project: Replace 28,000 LF of 4-inch Class 160 PVC with 6-inch DR14 PVC along Ward Road and replace 2,000 LF of 2-inch PVC and 1,000 LF of 1-inch PVC with 2,000 LF of 6-inch DR14 PVC and 1,000 LF of 2-inch DR13.5 PVC to resolve issues of low pressure, leaks, and need for fire protection.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3303403			
Binding Date:			
3/31/2025			
Points			
40.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Tomlinson PSD (Phase I)		\$3,679,421	\$12,782,991
77				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144007	Treatment	In 2018, the Newell Company undertook a project to construct a 1,400 GPM water treatment plant to remove iron and manganese from the well water. Due to funding difficulties the proposed plant was not built. In 2021, the WVPSC declared Newell Company utility as a "Distressed Utility" and directed the Tomlinson PSD to investigate the possibility of acquiring the utility and to continue providing potable water and fire protection services to all its existing users.	
	County:	Transmission & Distribution		
	Hancock			
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3301519		Solution	
	Binding Date:		Phase I of the project consists of the construction of a new water treatment plant to remove iron and manganese from raw water and the installation of raw finished water lines to serve the pottery.	
	3/31/2025			
Points				
40.00				

Rank	Tomlinson PSD (Phase II)		\$2,222,705	\$7,222,705
78				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144061	Transmission & Distribution	In 2018, the Newell Company undertook a project to construct a 1,400 GPM water treatment plant to remove iron and manganese from the well water. Due to funding difficulties the proposed plant was not built. In 2021, the WVPSC declared Newell Company utility as a "Distressed Utility" and directed the Tomlinson PSD to investigate the possibility of acquiring the utility and to continue providing potable water and fire protection services to all its existing users.	
	County:			
	Hancock			
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3301519		Solution	
	Binding Date:		Phase II of the project consists of constructing a completely new transmission and distribution system to be used for potable water for all users of Newell Company except the pottery.	
	6/30/2025			
Points				
40.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Preston County PSD No. 1	\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000
79			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144150	Treatment	The current water treatment plant owned and operated by the Preston County County PSD #1, which is rated to run at 700 gallons per minute, has an undersized sediment basin which does not allow the PSD to operate efficiently. To obtain proper detention time the plant is currently only able to treat 480 gallons per minute. Operating at only 480 gallons per minute requires the plant to run for upwards of 16 hours per day to meet the demands of the system.	
County:		Solution	
Preston		A new sediment basin will be designed to handle the desired flow rate while still achieving the required detention time. A new sludge removal system will also be designed.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3303912			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
40.00			

Rank	Davy, Town of (Phase II)	\$1,500,000	\$7,330,000
80			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144064	Transmission & Distribution	Except for the upper portion of Asco Hollow and Twin Branch, the transmission and distribution lines are aged (ranging from 30 to 70 years old). Water loss is a major issue, currently at 59%. The Asco pump station lies within the 100 year flood plain. The fire hydrants are aged and inefficient. Of the existing 29 fire hydrants on the system, 11 have malfunctioned. A sanitary survey dated February 9, 2023 listed the water loss and pump station flood plain location as Significant Deficiencies.	
County:		Solution	
McDowell		This project is designated as Davy Water Phase 2. All the transmission and distribution lines except for the upper portion of Asco Hollow and Twin Branch, which are approximately 14 years old, will be replaced. Installation of new lines will greatly reduce water loss. The Asco booster pump station will be relocated to a site outside of the flood plain.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302425			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
40.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Birch River PSD	*	\$2,500,000
81			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144089	Transmission & Distribution	There are approximately 29 customers along Keener Ridge Road that do not have access to a dependable source of drinking water. Residents either have wells, haul water from other locations, or a mixture of both.	
County:		Solution	
Nicholas		The proposed project includes replacing the aged existing waterline through the installation of 16,500 linear feet (LF) of 6-inch PVC, 6,200 LF of 4-inch PVC, 500 LF of 2-inch PVC, 635 LF of service line, 16 fire hydrants, gate valves, customer meters, and other related appurtenances.	
PERMIT #WV:		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.	
3303413			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
40.00			

Rank	Gauley River PSD (Critical Needs)	\$922,500	\$1,845,000
82			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144002	Transmission & Distribution	In a preliminary review of the water distribution system, it is evident many of the components of the system require immediate repair or replacement. The waterline along Route 39 is undersized in areas and is nearing the end of its useful service life and regularly experiences leaks, line breaks, and customer service interruptions. This line is directly responsible for serving Mount Olive Correctional Complex (MOCC) if water is flowing from the City of Summersville. In this project the District desires to have the ability to serve MOCC from both the Kanawha Falls PSD as is current and the City of Summersville.	
County:		Solution	
Fayette		The proposed project includes waterline replacement in one of the oldest portions of the system and areas which may be in need of replacement due to the relative age, portions of under-classed and undersized pipe, and improper installation. The project will also allow flow from the City of Summersville source to the Mount Olive Correctional Complex.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3301042			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
40.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Logan County PSD (Northern Regional WTP)		*	\$8,687,000
83				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144084	Treatment Storage	The 2020 WV Department of Health and Human Resources Sanitary Survey generally concludes that the existing plant is in good condition' however, it does identify several problem areas. It notes that the plant pumps on average, 16 to 17 hours per day. It notes and recommends correction of leaks in the 1,500,000 gallons finished water storage tank and the 254,000 gallons clearwell. The media in the existing filters is rounded and needing replaced. The Survey states that the 371,000 gallons pre-sedimentation basin is largely filled with silt which significantly reduces settling time.	
	County:		Solution	
	Logan		The project will consist of two construction contracts. Contract 1 will consist of upgrading the existing 2,800 gpm water treatment plant including increasing capacity to 5,600 gpm. Contract 2 will consist of construction of a new 800,000 gallons finished water storage tank and refurbishing an existing 1,500,000 gallons water storage tank.	
	PERMIT #WV:		*Are cosidering adding SRF funding.	
	3302364			
	Binding Date:			
Points	6/30/2025			
40.00				
Rank	Monumental PSD		\$3,079,000	\$5,079,000
84				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144047	Transmission & Distribution	Much of the main distribution system was replaced in 2022 with larger waterlines that were capable of providing adequate pressure and fire flow to the customers that lived along the main distribution system, but there were 14 side waterlines that were not replaced. The existing sidelines are mostly 1"-2" waterlines that are not capable of supporting fire flow or providing adequate pressure to the customers that are served by them. Many exiting sidelines follow old farm roads or go through existing farm fields making it difficult for the PSD to locate and fix leaks along these waterlines.	
	County:		Solution	
	Marion		The Phase 2 project proposes to replace approximately 50,000 LF of existing 1"-2" waterlines in 14 different locations along the Monumental PSD's existing system with larger 2"-6" waterlines. Side waterlines that are proposed to be 6" waterlines will have fire hydrants installed on them in order to provide fire flow to the customers that currently do not have access to fire flow. All proposed waterlines will be locatd along existing county routes in order to aid the PSD in locating and repairing leaking waterlines to reduce any unaccounted for water loss.	
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3302517			
	Binding Date:			
Points	12/1/2024			
40.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Sun Valley PSD		\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000
85				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144081	Transmission & Distribution	Residents on Indian Run Road (County Route 5/7) are in need of water service, as many of their wells have been contaminated. Residents have been hauling water to their homes, in order to have safe, reliable water.		
County:				
Harrison				
PERMIT #WV:		Solution		
3301726		The Sun Valley Public Service District is proposing to extend water service to the customers who are in need of safe, reliable water service.		
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
38.00				

Rank	New Haven PSD (Lucas Rd)		\$1,360,767	\$4,579,000
86				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144048	Transmission & Distribution	-The residents of the Lucas Road area in Fayette County, WV have no access to public water. -The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use. Residents haul water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses.		
County:				
Fayette				
PERMIT #WV:		Solution		
0000000		-The project is a waterline extension in the Lucas Road areas in Fayette County, WV. -The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe, wholesome water for their homes.		
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
38.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	<u>Norton-Harding-Jimtown PSD</u>		\$5,200,000	\$12,200,000
87				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144071	Treatment	The PSD's water treatment facility and main water infrastructure were constructed in the 1970's and the water treatment plant was upgraded in the later 1990's. Over the last 20 to 30 years, the system has started to show signs of aging infrastructure and is in need of rehabilitation and upgrades to accommodate growth in the PSD's service area. The PSD's service area has experienced significant growth due to the expansion and anticipated completion of the US Route 48 Corridor H project, allowing for higher traffic volumes through the area. Solution This project proposes a 500 gallon per minute Water Treatment Plant to replicate the treatment process but utilizing more modern and efficient water treatment equipment. The proposed WTP consists of the following: all necessary site work, piping, mechanical and electrical systems, green sand pressure filters, carbon filters, chemical injection equipment, pumps, controls and a new clearwell. The whole system will incorporate a supervisory SCADA monitoring system which will provide the system operator information and control of the elements within the potable water distribution system.		
County:				
PERMIT #WV:				
Binding Date:				
3304213				
Points	6/30/2025			
35.00				

Rank	<u>Mercer County PSD (Route 19)</u>		\$1,000,000	\$7,451,500
88				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144107	Transmission & Distribution	The potential customers are currently utilizing groundwater wells for their water supply. Public water systems are close to the area that could eliminate the need for groundwater wells. Solution The project proposes to construct and install approximately 33,675 linear feet (LF) of 8" PVC waterline, 12,990 LF of 6" waterline, 11,985 LF of 2" PE waterline, 31 water valves, 69 water meters, 20 fire hydrants, and related appurtenances. This will serve approximately 68 customers in the project area.		
County:				
PERMIT #WV:				
Binding Date:				
0000000				
Points	6/30/2025			
35.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Mingo County PSD (North Spring Phase III)	*	\$4,100,000
89			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144110	Transmission & Distribution	The residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Many residents use home treatment units to improve the quality of water. The residents in the project area also have no public sewage system and use on-site sewage disposal systems such as septic tanks which could contaminate their water.	
County:		Solution	
Mingo		The North Spring water extension project is estimated to serve approximately 102 residences/businesses in the North Spring/Little Cub Branch area of Western Wyoming County, West Virginia. The project would be supplied by the 150 GPM booster pump station and 400,000 gallon water storage tank constructed as part of the Hanover Phase I Project.	
PERMIT #WV:		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.	
3303029			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
35.00			

Rank	Harrisville, Town of	\$2,200,000	\$3,200,000
90			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144132	Transmission & Distribution	Residents in the Mellin Ridge area currently rely on private wells for their water supply, which are unreliable as a potable water source. These private wells can become contaminated with bacteria, or run dry due to overuse or environmental factors. Residents whose wells have run dry must have potable water hauled in from a private company. This alternative is costly and unreliable, as inclement weather can halt deliveries and leave residents without water for days. Residents of Mellin Ridge have petitioned the Town of Harrisville to extend their water distribution system and provide service to the Mellin Ridge community.	
County:		Solution	
Ritchie		This project proposes to extend the water line west from the Washburn Pump Station along Gillespie Run Road and Mellin Ridge Road. The proposed extension will provide potable water service to approximately 60 new customers. The extension will consist of the installation of approximately 51,500 linear feet (LF) of new water pipe ranging in size from six inches to two inches in diameter. The existing Washburn pump station will also be upgraded to support the increased water distribution demand due to this project.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3304303			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
35.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	New Haven PSD	\$3,403,442	\$7,038,500
91			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
18DWTRFB007	Transmission & Distribution	-The residents of Sunday Road, Confluence, Elliot Cutoff Road, Stringtown Road, and Clifty Connector Road in Fayette County, WV have no access to public water. -The quality of the wells in the area, as well as, the quantity is inadequate for human use. Hauling water for drinking, cooking, bathing and other domestic uses is the norm.	
County:		Solution	
Fayette		-This project is known as Contract 18. The WVIJDC project number is 2018W-1761. -The project is a waterline extension to cover the Sunday Road, Confluence, Elliot Cutoff Road, Stringtown Road, and Clifty Connector Road areas in Fayette County, WV. -The residents in those areas will be afforded the opportunity to acquire clean, safe, wholesome water for their homes.	
PERMIT #WV:			
0000000			
Binding Date:			
9/30/2024			
Points			
35.00			

Rank	Walton PSD (Camp Shepard)	\$4,321,000	\$4,321,000
92			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144144	Transmission & Distribution	There was no fire service to the Camp Shepard 4-H camp, nor any water service to eight homes along Wolf Run, which is adjacent to Camp Shepard. Camp Shepard was served by a 2-inch line, and the homes on Wolf Run were not served at all. There was no water service to approximately 20 customers along Quarry Road.	
County:		Solution	
Roane		Camp Shepard-Quarry Run Waterline Extensions Project, for the Walton PSD in Roane County, proposes to extend its potable water service along Quarry Road and Wolf Run and also provide fire service to the Camp Shepard 4-H Campsite.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3304407			
Binding Date:			
12/31/2024			
Points			
35.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Benwood, City of	\$4,300,000	\$4,800,000
93			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144124	Treatment	The City of Benwood has been experiencing issues with Iron and Manganese within their groundwater supply source. While this issue has been ongoing a study conducted by the USGS and US EPA was completed to test the amount of PFAS and PFOS within the drinking water source. Benwood's source water was determined to be affected by these chemicals. A project is being proposed to remove the Iron, Manganese, and PFAS and PFOS Chemicals from the drinking water.	
County:	Land Acquisition		
Marshall			
PERMIT #WV:			
3302618		Solution	
Binding Date:		The proposed project is going to address the Iron and Manganese issue as well as the PFAS Chemicals. A new treatment building will be constructed to house the required treatment options to address the problems that the City of Benwood is currently dealing with. To do so three pressure filters will be installed to address the Iron and Manganese, while a technology to be determined after pilot testing has been completed will be installed to address the PFAS and PFOS chemicals.	
6/30/2025			
Points			
35.00			

Rank	Frankfort PSD	\$308,000	\$1,356,000
94			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144003	Transmission & Distribution	The Baker Hollow Pump Station currently runs 8 hours a day and pump capacity should be increased. The pump station has several issues including worn pumps, failing control system, and corrosion issues, and pump components for the pumps are no longer manufactured. The Dawn View Tank is rusting and needs cleaning and repainting. There is currently no SCADA system for monitoring tank levels and pump stations. The Frankfort Tank does not currently reach operating level.	
County:	Storage		
Mineral			
PERMIT #WV:			
3302911		Solution	
Binding Date:		The pumps, electrical, and controls will be replaced at the Baker Hollow Pump Station. An altitude valve will be installed at the Baker Hollow Tank to allow both the Frankfort Tank and the Baker Hollow Tank to reach operating levels. The Dawn View Tank will be cleaned and painted. SCADA will be installed to monitor the system.	
12/31/2025			
Points			
35.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Lincoln County PSD	\$9,630,000	\$10,130,000
95			
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144001	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The PSD's water system is aging. Large segments have reached the end of their useful lives. Water line leaks and breaks are frequent occurrences. These issues contribute to the PSD's significant proportion of non-revenue water (63.04%).
	County:		
	Lincoln/Kanawha		
	PERMIT #WV:		
	3302205		
	Binding Date:		
	3/31/2025		Solution
Points			This project proposes to remove and replace approximately 40,000 LF of waterlines ranging between 2" and 8" in diameter. The project will also repaint the PSD's Sumerco and Sod water storage tanks.
35.00			

Rank	Oceana, Town of (Phase II)	\$3,000,000	\$5,552,185
96			
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144008	Treatment Transmission & Distribution Storage	The project proposes to (1) replace old water mains and service lines to reduce water loss, (2) upgrade water booster station s(Chestnut Street, Popular Lane, and Kopperston #1), (3) replace the Lynco Water Tank that did not get replaced on the Phase 1 Project, (4) upgrade existing water treatment facilities including refurbishing two existing water filters, repairs to existing settling basin, existing clearwell tank, raw water facilities, and related work, (5)Replace existing water meters with radio-read water meter for more efficient use of manpower.
	County:		
	Wyoming		
	PERMIT #WV:		
	3305516		
	Binding Date:		
	12/31/2024		Solution
Points			-Replace old ACP and steel water mains and old galvanized steel water service lines mainly in City limits along Route 10, Route 85, Poplar Lane and Sawmill Road. -Replace old Lynco Water Tank and repair existing chlorine contact tank at plant. -Upgrade existing 700 gpm water treatment plant including rehab of two existing 350 gpm steel water treatment plant units, chlorine containment upgrade, settling basin repairs, and other related work. -Replace existing touch read meters with radio read meters. -Upgrade telemetry system on tanks and booster stations
35.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Mason, Town of	\$2,220,000	\$2,750,000
97			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144042	Transmission & Distribution	The water distribution system in the Clifton Area has not been included in a significant improvements project. The current project lines are original extension projects. There are approximately 778 existing customers in Town and 153 of those are in the Clifton Area which will continue to be served by the Town's Water Utility.	
County:		Solution	
Mason		The proposed project for the Town includes the replacement of lines, line extensions, to better serve existing customers, and looping systems to increase water quality. The project would include the replacement of approximately 9,800 linear feet of water line, radio-read water meters, and replacement of an existing CSX Railroad Crossing.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302708			
Binding Date:			
12/31/2024			
Points			
35.00			

Rank	Rupert, Town of	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000
98			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144114	Transmission & Distribution	The existing water distribution system in the Town of Rupert was installed decades ago and much of the system is approaching the end of reasonable service and is highly susceptible to leaks and breakages. Based on the most recent annual report unaccounted for water loss is nearly 31%.	
County:		Solution	
Greenbrier		The proposed project includes replacing the aged existing waterline through the installation of 2,700 linear feet (LF) of 8-inch PVC, 7,000 LF of 6-inch PVC, 4,000 LF of 4-inch PVC, 2,000 LF of 2-inch PVC, 4,000 LF of service line, 8 fire hydrants, gate valves, customer meter re-connections, and other related appurtenances.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3301311			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
35.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	West Hamlin, Town of (Phase I)	\$3,145,000	\$3,145,000
99			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144147	Transmission & Distribution	Treatment plant was upgraded around 1980, and the storage tanks are between 16-54 years old, and in reasonably good condition. Corrosion, however, has become evident on steel structures in the treatment plant, and paint on storage tanks has oxidized and rust has begun to appear on the tank walls. The plant also has only one pre-sedimentation basin and no permanent emergency generator. The raw water intake and pump station have experienced mechanical difficulties, and there is currently no alternate source of raw or potable water should the Guyandotte River ever become contaminated or otherwise.	
County:	Storage		
Lincoln	Planning and Design		
PERMIT #WV:			
3302203		Solution	
Binding Date:		Phase 1-Water System Improvement project: Refurbish booster pumping station, 3 welded water storage tanks and 1 glass-lined tank. Build additional water storage tank, add second sedimentation basin and on-site emergency generator. Reestablish the inter-connection with Salt Rock Water Public Service District's water main. Design only in Phase 1 for upgrade to the water treatment facility (including the building, raw water pump station, filters, sedimentation basin and clearwell). Water treatment plant upgrades will be done in Phase 2.	
6/30/2025			
Points			
35.00			

Rank	White Sulphur Springs, City of (Lewisburg Connection)	*	\$1,108,197
100			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144115	Transmission & Distribution	The City of White Sulphur Springs and the City of Lewisburg operate water treatment plants within their respective city limits, neither having backup in place if their plants go down. Lewisburg Water Plant has been without power on 5 events since 2012 totaling 219 hours. White Sulphur Springs Water Plant has also been affected by loss of power on numerous occasions. Although a generator may help in certain circumstances, many times storms or other disastrous events can cause source water to be contaminated or unusable. A more dependable backup is necessary to assure water plants remain in service.	
County:	DW Source Change		
Greenbrier			
PERMIT #WV:			
3301314		Solution	
Binding Date:		The two mentioned systems are approximately 7,000 LF apart on US Rte. 60, making interconnection a viable option in establishing a backup water source for each utility. Connection would not serve as a permanent solution; each system could not fully serve the other system. Linking the White Sulphur system to the Lewisburg system will serve to greatly boost the efforts to mitigate such a deficiency should a disaster be realized. Safe and clean potable water is essential to recovery efforts after a disaster occurs, especially in keeping medical and emergency facilities in operation. *Are considering adding SRF Funding.	
6/30/2025			
Points			
35.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank 101	Crum PSD SRF #: D-144097 County: Wayne PERMIT #WV: 3305010 Binding Date: 6/30/2025	\$14,261,000	\$14,261,000	Problem Crum PSD, due to purchasing water from other municipalities, lacks security in its source of water. Potential rate increases due to projects within other systems could cause the rate paid for water by Crum to increase with little added benefit to Crum's system. In addition, Crum PSD has been asked by its water providers to pause or reduce pumping, causing shortages within its system. Solution Thr proposed project involves constructing a water treatment plant to serve Crum PSD's existing customers, expected development, and Fort Gay Water Works.
Points 35.00	Needs Categories: Treatment Source Change	\$500,000	\$3,821,000	Problem The project will address Sanitary Survey deficiencies, aging infrastructure, and raw water concerns. Solution The Part I portion of the project includes 1) a water study on existing mine outlets in the area conducted by the USGS in order to evaluate water quality and quantity from each, 2) deficiencies at the storage tanks as listed in the most recent sanitary survey conducted by the Bureau for Public Health will be addressed, 3) a water loss survey will be conducted to try to identify and reduce water loss in the Gary Distribution System, 4) a lead and copper service line inventory will be prepared, 5) An emergency Interconnect will be re-established between Gary and McDowell PSD, and 6) select water lines will be rehabilitated.
Rank 102	Gary, City of SRF #: D-144005 County: McDowell PERMIT #WV: 3302420 Binding Date: 3/31/2025	\$500,000	\$3,821,000	Problem The project will address Sanitary Survey deficiencies, aging infrastructure, and raw water concerns. Solution The Part I portion of the project includes 1) a water study on existing mine outlets in the area conducted by the USGS in order to evaluate water quality and quantity from each, 2) deficiencies at the storage tanks as listed in the most recent sanitary survey conducted by the Bureau for Public Health will be addressed, 3) a water loss survey will be conducted to try to identify and reduce water loss in the Gary Distribution System, 4) a lead and copper service line inventory will be prepared, 5) An emergency Interconnect will be re-established between Gary and McDowell PSD, and 6) select water lines will be rehabilitated.
Points 35.00	Needs Categories: Transmission & Distribution Storage Planning and Design	\$500,000	\$3,821,000	

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Grant Town, Town of	\$1,600,000	\$2,600,000
103			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144034	Transmission & Distribution Storage	Approximately 2.9 miles of the Town's water distribution system is undersized and needs replaced. Of the two existing tanks the 100,000 gallon tank is not in service and needs replaced due to it being undersized and not located on the same hydraulic grade line as the newer 200,000 gallon tank, making it difficult to operate under current conditions. The Woods Run booster pump station is undersized/outdated and needs to be replaced in order to correct residual pressures and peak flows and improve pumping efficiency.	
County:		Solution	
Marion		The Town of Grant Town is proposing to replace 1,000 feet of 2", 5,125 feet of 4", 3,100 feet of 6", and 5,300 of 8" water lines, replace the Woods Run booster pump station including emergency backup power, new telemetering system, and replace an existing 100,000 gallon storage tank with a new 200,000 gallon storage tank.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3304908			
Binding Date:			
3/31/2025			
Points			
35.00			

Rank	Parsons, City of	\$3,484,000	\$3,984,000
104			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144052	Transmission & Distribution	-Parsons existing system contains portions of aging waterlines originally installed in 1896, which are susceptible to breaks and leaks. These portions have required numerous spot repairs making the system less reliable to operate. According to the 2023 WVPSC Annual Report, the unaccounted for lost water is 17.41%. -The backup generators utilized by Parsons are also aging. The operator is unable to readily find parts for repairs, which makes this equipment unreliable and outdated. The backup generators are required to maintain water service during times of power outages.	
County:		Solution	
Tucker		This project consists of utilizing conventional open trench methods for approximately 21,500 LF of Waterline Replacement, 6,000 LF of ¾" Service Tubing, Removal and Replacement of 17 EA Fire Hydrants, 3 EA New Fire Hydrant Assemblies, 22 EA Tie-Ins to Existing Waterline, 210 EA New Meter Setting from Existing Line, 80 EA Water Meter Setting Complete from New Line, 26 EA Gate Valves, various road repairs, and other necessary appurtenances. This project also proposes to purchase 2 new backup generators and install a leak detection system.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3304707			
Binding Date:			
3/30/2025			
Points			
35.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Paw Paw, Town of	\$2,416,900	\$8,465,800
105			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144077	Treatment	No improvements to the water treatment plant (WTP) have been made since construction in 1980 and only half of the distribution system has been replaced. Equipment within the WTP is in poor condition and needs to be replaced/upgraded to provide adequate and reliable water for the Town. The Town also needs to locate a secondary water source in the event the primary source of the Potomac River experiences a water quality issue due to chemical spill, etc.	
County:	Transmission & Distribution		
Morgan	Storage		
PERMIT #WV:	DW Source Change		
3303308		Solution	
Binding Date:		The project consists of upgrades to the water treatment plant, rehabilitation of the Town's two water storage tanks, installation of a SCADA system, rehabilitation of the raw water intake and access road, replacement of the remaining original distribution system, and development of an additional water source.	
3/30/2025			
Points			
35.00			

Rank	Cowen PSD (Route 82)	*	\$5,500,000
106			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144128	Transmission & Distribution	Residents of Webster County along WV Route 82, adjacent to Cowen's service territory, is not served by any public water utilities. This area includes approximately 60 potential customers who would benefit from access to public drinking water and fire service.	
County:			
Webster			
PERMIT #WV:			
3305103		Solution	
Binding Date:		This project proposes to install approximately 50,000 LF of new waterlines and all necessary appurtenances to serve approximately 60 new customers along WV Route 82. This is projected to include approximately 800 LF of steel casing pipe for road, rail, and/or stream crossings; 40 gate valves; and 20 fire hydrants.	
6/30/2025		*Are considering adding SRF funding.	
Points			
35.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Farmington, Town of		\$1,800,000	\$3,500,000
107				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144031	Transmission & Distribution Storage	On May 16, 2017, the Town received Final Orders from the WVPSC (Case No. 17-0048-W-PC and 17-0049-W-PC) regarding the Town assuming ownership of the water distribution systems previously owned by the Little Laurel Run Improvement Association, Inc. and the Lincoln Heights Improvement Association, collectively referred to herein as the Associations. Since adding the assets previously belonging to the Associations, the Town has experienced several issues. These issues include water loss from line breaks and maintenance issues with the water meters and booster station. Solution The Town is proposing to replace all 117 water meters in the Little Laurel Run and Lincoln Heights areas with radio read meters to match the existing radio read meters in the other areas of the water distribution system. The Town is also proposing the replacement of approximately 20,000 linear feet of water line in these areas and the replacement of the existing 100,000-gallon water storage tank in Little Laurel Run with a tank of similar size in the same location. Also, included in this project is the rehabilitation of the existing Little Laurel booster pump station.		
County:				
Marion				
PERMIT #WV:				
3302504				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
35.00				

Rank	Gauley River PSD (Route 39)		\$4,850,500	\$5,350,500
108				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144033	Transmission & Distribution	In a preliminary review of the water distribution system, it is evident many of the components of the system require immediate repair or replacement. The waterline along Route 39 is at or nearing its useful service life and regularly experiences leaks, line breaks, and customer service interruptions. Solution The proposed project includes waterline replacement in the oldest portions of the system and areas which may be in need of replacement due to the relative age, portions of under-classed pipe, and improper installation. This proposed water system improvement project should enable the PSD to decrease their non-revenue water by reducing water loss, improve water use accountability, correct deficiencies, and improve efficiency within their system.		
County:				
Fayette				
PERMIT #WV:				
3301042				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
35.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Terra Alta, Town of	\$500,000	\$1,000,000
109			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144143	Transmission & Distribution	The Town of Terra Alta is experiencing water loss in their distribution system well over the desired limit of 15%. Part of this large volume of water loss can be attributed to the overall age and lack of calibration of the water metering infrastructure. The existing water meters were installed in the early 1990's with a few being installed in the early 2000's. The Town currently has one employee who manually reads these meters and takes about five days to complete the reading of meters. Terra Alta is interested in having a more efficient and accurate way of reading their water meters.	
County:		Solution	
Preston		The proposed project is to replace all of the metering system within the Town's service area, this will consist of replacing approximately 800 water meters. The Town is interested in using Cellular AMI as the new system to replace their outdated and inefficient water meters. In this project the Town will not only replace the water meters, they will also integrate a new billing software that will help them be more efficient in getting bills prepared and sent to customers.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3303917			
Binding Date:			
3/30/2025			
Points			
35.00			

Rank	Union, Town of (Waterline)	\$1,460,000	\$5,460,000
110			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144072	Treatment Transmission & Distribution Storage DW Source Change	The leading issue that faces the Town of Union's public water system is the geographically sparse sources of adequate and reliable raw water. The Town currently operates a sprind-fed water treatment plant and a backup groundwater treatment plant. With these sources, the Town is only able to cover the needed supply of water by a small margin. As a result, the Town has conducted extensive studies to locate additional water sources. A large spring has been identified that could meet the towns present and future needs.	
County:		Solution	
Monroe		The project being proposed is a water system extension, water storage tank installation, and water treatment plant development. This extension will provide water service and fire protection to residents along the way and allow the Town an additional flow of supply water into their main system.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3303207			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
35.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Union, Town of (WTP)		\$1,575,000	\$7,975,000
111				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144073	Treatment	The Town of Union operates a secondary groundwater treatment plant to supply their system during times of diminished capacity of the primary spring-fed water treatment plant. The treatment facility was the original water supply for the Town and was operated full time until system expansion allowed the addition of the spring-fed facility. However, this secondary facility is of vital importance to the Town and needs to be immediately available due to historically sudden fluctuations in spring source water capacity. Due to the age of this facility, many components are beyond their useful life span and in need of replacement. Solution In addition, the building that contains the treatment equipment has developed multiple issues due to its age. As a result, the project being proposed is a full replacement of this facility. An adjacent piece of property allows construction of this facility and minimal added construction to reconnect the proposed facility to the existing wells and water system. Although this water treatment facility is extremely important to the Town of Union, it is unlikely that the Town will be able to replace this facility once it fails without immense financial burden.		
County:				
Monroe				
PERMIT #WV:				
3303207				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
35.00				

Rank	White Sulphur Springs, City of (WTP Improvements)		*	\$1,171,500
112				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144120	Treatment DW Source Change	The existing water treatment plant is not running as adequately as it could. The project will allow it to have increased capacity as well as decreased run time. Solution This project consists of the replacement of the caustic feed pumps and motors, a level gauge, waste valves, and filter media as well as installing a new air scout system in the filters, a new groundwater well, a new generator, and incorporating chlorine residual and the new well into the existing SCADA system and any necessary appurtenances. *Are considering adding SRF funding.		
County:				
Greenbrier				
PERMIT #WV:				
3301314				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
35.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Parkersburg Utility Board	\$16,897,200	\$16,897,200
113			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144051	Treatment Land Acquisition	Testing has indicated the water system is receiving PFAS from the raw water supply.	
County:		Solution	
Wood		Install granular activated carbon (GAC) filters following the sand filters to remove PFAS. Includes GAC pump station, new filter building, and chemical feed equipment (chlorine, fluoride, and phosphate).	
PERMIT #WV:			
3305407			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
30.00			

Rank	Mingo County PSD (Rockhouse Branch Phase V)	*	\$3,000,000
114			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144111	Transmission & Distribution	The residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Many residents use home treatment units to improve the quality of water. The residents in the project area also have no public sewage system and use on-site sewage disposal systems such as septic tanks which could contaminate their water.	
County:		Solution	
Mingo		The Rockhouse Branch water extension project is estimated to serve approximately 63 residences/businesses in the Rockhouse Branch/Lincoln area of Western Wyoming County, West Virginia. The project would be supplied by the 150 GPM booster pump station and 400,000 gallon water storage tank constructed as part of the Hanover Phase I Project.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3303029			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
30.00		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.	

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Mingo County PSD (Hanover)		*	\$7,875,000
115				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144085	Transmission & Distribution	The Hanover project area currently has approximately 213 potential residential and commercial customers, the USACOE facility and Huff Consolidated School. The residents in the area complain of water quality and quantity problems. Complaints include allegations that the well water contains iron, corrodes pipes and fixtures, stains cloths, has a strong sulfur odor and tastes bad. Many residents use home treatment units to improve the quality of water. The residents in the project area also have no public sewage system and use on-site sewage disposal systems such as septic tanks which could contaminate their water.		
County:		Solution		
Mingo		Construction of approximately 45,850 LF of 10-inch and smaller diameter water main, two water storage tanks, one 250 gallon per minute booster station, fire hydrants, valves, individual customer services and other related appurtenances. Water for the project will be purchased from the Town of Gilbert/Logan County Public Service District until the Town's planned water treatment facility upgrade is completed. The planned plant upgrade will provide service to future phases of the proposed waterline extension project.		
PERMIT #WV:		*Are considering adding SRF funding.		
3303029				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
30.00				

Rank	Logan County PSD (Stollings & McConnell)		\$3,264,000	\$3,264,000
116				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144103	Transmission & Distribution	Extend water to 135 customers in the communities of Stollings and McConnell in Logan County.		
County:		Solution		
Logan		Project proposes construction of roughly 7,600 LF of water mains, 5,200 LF of service lines, valves, hydrants, and other related appurtenances.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302341				
Binding Date:				
7/30/2025				
Points				
30.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Logan County PSD (Justice Addition)		\$5,095,000	\$5,095,000
117				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144104	Transmission & Distribution	Residents of the project area currently make use of individually owned water wells as a source of potable drinking water. This is a public health and safety concern as water wells are more easily contaminated by nearby septic tanks, overland flow, improper storage of fuels and residential oil sources, and improper maintenance and construction of the well itself. The installation of public water sources would provided a higher quality and more dependable source of potable water.		
County:		Solution		
Logan		Extend water to 1090 customers in multiple communities along Island Creek in Logan County. Lines, fire hydrants, valves, services, etc.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302329				
Binding Date:				
7/30/2025				
Points				
30.00				

Rank	Grantsville, Town of		\$5,000,000	\$5,000,000
118				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144035	Transmission & Distribution	The Town of Grantsville water distribution system is in need of repair. The Town has experienced significant water loss in its water distribution system (approximately 40% within the Town). This is mostly due to the galvanized waterlines within the system that are nearing the end of their useful lives. There are also transite lines that should be replaced due to age, difficulty of repair, and environmental concerns. Several fire hydrants have also reached the end of their useful lives or are attached to mains less than 6 inches in diameter. The 200,000 gallon welded steel storage tank is beginning to corrode due to age.		
County:		Solution		
Calhoun		Grantsville Water System Improvements Project: Project replaces 4500 LF of 2", 7000 LF of 6", 900 LF of 8", and 5500 ILF of 3/4" service line in Town of Grantsville's aged water system, clean and paint 200,000 gallon storage tank and replace 300 meters and billing software.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3300701				
Binding Date:				
7/30/2025				
Points				
30.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Milton Municipal Utilities Commission		\$425,000	\$850,000
119				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144139	Transmission & Distribution	Milton has historically supplemented its water supply by purchasing additional water from the West Virginia American Water Company (WVAWC) during times of maintenance and periods of excess demand. However, in August 2023 WVAWC terminated their service agreement with Milton effective January 13, 2024. This project requires a joint effort between Milton and the Mason County Public Service District.		
County:		Solution		
Cabell		The completion of this project requires a joint effort between Milton and Mason County PSD. Therefore the interconnection between the two entities, including alternatives, potential environmental impacts, and estimated costs, is proposed to solve the problem.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3300609				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
30.00				

Rank	Danese PSD		\$6,200,000	\$8,200,000
120				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144013	Transmission & Distribution	The existing water distribution system in the Danese – Maplewood area was installed decades ago and much of the system was constructed with asbestos cement pipe and is highly susceptible to leaks and breakages. Based on a recent metering check in April 2022, Danese PSD estimated their water loss to be approximately 62% for their whole system and approximately 76% within the proposed project area.		
County:		Solution		
Fayette		The proposed project includes replacing the aged existing waterline through the installation of 11,850 linear feet (LF) of 10-inch PVC, 21,400 LF of 8-inch PVC, 27,750 LF of 6-inch PVC, 735 LF of 4-inch PVC, 20,000 LF of 2-inch PVC, 10,440 LF of service line, 30 fire hydrants, gate valves, a pressure reducing station, customer meters and other related appurtenances.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3301008				
Binding Date:				
12/31/2024				
Points				
30.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Franklin, Town of	\$3,443,000	\$4,625,000
121			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144131	Transmission & Distribution	The Town of Franklin (Town) has evaluated their system and determined sections that need to be replaced in their existing system. Aging water lines along Route 220, Dogwood Lane, Mill Road, Walnut Street, and McClure Street have been a maintenance problem. Low pressure problems on Smith Creek need addressed as well as the river crossing which have been affected by flooding in the past.	
County:		Solution	
Pendleton		The Town of Franklin is proposing to address the issues of aging water lines, low pressure, and the river crossings by replacing and making necessary upgrades to existing system.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3303602			
Binding Date:			
7/30/2025			
Points			
30.00			

Rank	Fountain PSD	\$625,300	\$2,328,100
122			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144006	Transmission & Distribution	Residents of the proposed project areas currently do not have reliable water supply and do not have fire protection. Extension of the existing system to the potential new customers will provide them with safe and dependable water service and fire protection.	
County:		Solution	
Mineral		The project consists of water line extensions to Parrill Hollow Road North, Parrill Hollow Road South, and Barnhouse Road for serving new residential customers with public water service and fire protection.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302949			
Binding Date:			
2/22/2024			
Points			
30.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Belmont, City of	\$2,050,000	\$3,700,000
123			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144028	Treatment	The majority of water produced by Belmont goes to industrial customers including Solvay and Pleasants Power Plant. All water going to the power plant facility must pass through an undersized 4" water line, causing strain on the hydraulics of Belmont's distribution system. One of Belmont's tanks is also old and in need of replacement. Lastly, Belmont's WTP is in need of upgrades and improvements to extend its service life.	
County:	Transmission & Distribution		
Pleasants	Storage		
PERMIT #WV:			
3303701		Solution	
Binding Date:		This project proposes to install approximately 5,000 LF of 8" waterline to replace the existing 4" line serving the power plant. It also proposes to replace one of Belmont's 210,000-gallon water storage tanks, and replace green sand filters, electrical components, and the roof at the Belmont WTP.	
12/31/2024			
Points			
30.00			

Rank	Big Bend PSD	*	\$3,611,000
124			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144088	Treatment	The existing water treatment plant has many of its original components when the plant was constructed in the 1970s. Critical plant components are past their useful life and needing replaced. The Hilddale booster station currently does not have an emergency generator on standby during power outage events. A problematic stream crossing is needing addressed near the Talcott Bridge in Talcott, WV. The Pence Springs Water Tank altitude valve is needing replaced.	
County:	Transmission & Distribution		
Summers			
PERMIT #WV:			
3304507		Solution	
Binding Date:		The project proposes to make improvements to Big Bend Public Service District Water Treatment Plant, Hilddale Booster Station, Pence Springs Water Tank, Greenbrier River crossing replacement, and related appurtenances.	
3/30/2025		*Are considering adding SRF Funding.	
Points			
30.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Thomas, City of		\$2,500,000	\$5,500,000
125				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144060	Transmission & Distribution	The WTP and facilities are starting to deteriorate which is causing a large amount of water loss. Due to mining, the raw water has a large concentration of iron, manganese, and other foreign particles causing buildup.		
County:		Solution		
Tucker		This project will involve the replacement of approximately 35,000 LF of existing water line with 10" and 8" PVC water line, replacement of 1 booster pump station, and 400 new water meters.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3304709				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
30.00				

Rank	Cool Ridge-Flat Top PSD (Ellison Ridge Rd)		\$1,364,000	\$5,160,000
126				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144030	Transmission & Distribution	The proposed project will consist of work in three areas of the District's existing system and an extension along Ellison Ridge Road, for simplicity and reference in this report the three areas will be designated as: Ellison Ridge Road, Joe Cooper Farm Road and the Route 19 Upgrade.		
County:		Solution		
Raleigh		In the Ellison Ridge Road area, the District proposes to extend water service on Ellison Ridge Road to provide water utility service to fifteen new residential customers and one commercial customer. In the Joe Cooper Farm Road area, the District proposes to extend the existing line approximately 6,900 feet and will provide the District with the potential to add five new residential customers and one commercial customer, Makor K9 of West Virginia, a dog training and kennel facility. In the Route 19 area, the project proposes to replace 13,000 ft. of undersized pipe with 8" C-900 DR-14 PVC pipe.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3304139				
Binding Date:				
12/31/2024				
Points				
30.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Lavalette PSD (Big Lynn)		\$5,951,876	\$5,951,876	
127					
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
	D-144099	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The area proposed to be covered by the project does not currently have access to reliable water services.		
	County:				
	Wayne				
	PERMIT #WV:				
	3305006		Solution		
	Binding Date:		The proposed Big Lynn Creek Waterline Extension Project will extend water services to 43 potential new customers, and add a water storage tank and booster pump station to the system.		
	7/30/2025				
Points					
30.00					

Rank	Buffalo Creek PSD (Lorado)		\$3,175,000	\$3,175,000	
128					
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
	D-144092	Treatment	Based on the latest Sanitary Survey conducted by the WV Department of Health and Human Resources dated November 2, 2017, three minor deficiencies were noted. These were lack of day tanks on the Delpac and Hypo-chloride chemical basins, the effective size of the sand and anthracite in the filters were below required, and well #2 needs a watertight seal. The filter media will be replaced as past of the project outlines in this report.		
	County:				
	Logan				
	PERMIT #WV:				
	3302347		Solution		
	Binding Date:		Project proposes replacing filter media, underdrains, a filter air scour blower and effluent turbidity meter, and converting an existing backwash holding tank into an additional clearwell. Additionally, we are installing new monitoring systems like an effluent chlorine analyzer, backwash valve, and flow meter. Construction will involve creating new basins, a sludge dewatering building with geotextile dewatering bag, replacing and relocating high-service pumps while replacing/expanding SCADA system and addressing electrical needs. Project will also ensure structural integrity by replacing plant building roof.		
	6/30/2025				
Points					
30.00					

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Buffalo Creek PSD (South Man)	\$5,735,000	\$5,735,000
129			
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144093	Transmission & Distribution	The BCPSD Water Upgrade Project area currently serves the residents of South Man, Hensley Heights, Kistler, Man, and Amherstdale. The project proposes to relocate and eliminate failing sections of waterline that increase the volume of water loss throughout the system, as well as upgrade customer meters, fire hydrants, and other related appurtenances.
	County:		
	Logan		
	PERMIT #WV:		
	3302347		
	Binding Date:		
	6/30/2025		
Points			
30.00			
Rank	Marshall County PSD No. 4	\$3,500,000	\$5,500,000
130			
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem
	D-144040	Transmission & Distribution Storage	MCPSD #4's Distribution System is comprised of aging water lines which have surpassed their useful life. These portions of the system experience frequent line breaks and leaks. Along Cameron Ridge, there is a portion of waterline that is undersized which is causing issues with customer service pressure during periods of line flushing. MCPSPD #4 is also dealing with aging Pressure Reducing Valves which have surpassed their useful life. The existing Bowman Ridge Tank is also experiencing leaks in the base ring.
	County:		
	Marshall		
	PERMIT #WV:		
	3302609		
	Binding Date:		
	6/30/2025		
Points			
30.00			
			Solution
			This project consists of replacing approximately 39,000 LF of Water Mains, Replacing 9 EA Pressure Reducing Valves, Installing 1 EA new Rock Lick Booster Pump, Repairing the existing Bowman Ridge Tank, and Constructing a new Bowman Ridge Tank #2.

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Meadow Bridge, Town of		*	\$1,500,000
131				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144076	Transmission & Distribution	The Town's existing water distribution system has aged beyond the life expectancy of galvanized and cast-iron pipe. As a result, the system is corroding and failing, causing significant water loss, and introducing contaminants such as rust into the drinking water. As the aged system continues to fail it will not be able to supply adequate fire protection for the residents and business owners in the Town. The Town continues to experience high unaccounted for water loss. This water loss was reported to be approximately 48%.		
County:		Solution		
Fayette		This project proposes to provide the Town with the needed system upgrades to properly supply water and fire flows to their existing customer base and reduce water loss. Construction of this alternative will consist of removing and replacing approximately 18,000 LF of existing water line, installing approximately 37 new isolation valves, replacing 12 existing fire hydrants, and installing 6 new fire hydrants.		
PERMIT #WV:		*Project is included for earmark eligibility.		
3301022				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
30.00				

Rank	Ravenclyff-Mcgraws-Saulsville PSD (Water Plant)		\$722,000	\$1,464,000
132				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144021	Treatment	The existing water treatment plant's operating condition has suffered because of the age of the mechanical equipment in operation at the plant. The majority of the equipment has been in service for approximately 33 years and is at the end of its useful service life. The anthracite in the aerators is no longer effective and has been replaced multiple times to the point that the aerators need to be rehabilitated.		
County:		Solution		
Wyoming		The controls of the plant need to be updated to take advantage of the improvements in technology over the last 33 years. This project aims to replace the filters, pumps, controls, plant piping and valves, which is the majority of the treatment system, as well as the electrical system.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3305518				
Binding Date:				
12/31/2024				
Points				
30.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	West Union, Town of		\$19,020,000	\$24,100,000
133				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144011	Treatment Transmission & Distribution	The Town of West Union serves as the sole source of water supply for both the Town and Doddridge County. However, the town has been experiencing excessive water loss due to sections of aging water lines located within its limits. Additionally, the treatment facility has insufficient capacity to meet the current requests for additional water production.		
County:		Solution		
Doddridge		The construction of a new 500 GPM water treatment plant adjacent to the existing facility on town-owned property. This facility would serve to supplement the water demand required for the NCR Jail and provide for future expansion needs. Also, includes the replacement of a portion of the aging waterlines within the town. Furthermore, the Town has proposed an 8" interconnection between West Union and Pennsboro. This allows West Union to purchase supplemental water from Pennsboro in case of any integrity issues with Middle Island Creek supply or to provide for large increases in demand in that area of the county.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3300901				
Binding Date:				
12/31/2024				
Points				
30.00				

Rank	White Sulphur Springs, City of (Waterline Replacement)		*	\$3,000,000
134				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144119	Transmission & Distribution	Replacement of old and deteriorated lines in the cities existing water system to alleviate leaks and water loss.		
County:		Solution		
Greenbrier		The proposed project consists of replacing existing water lines throughout the area of White Sulphur Springs in Greenbrier County, West Virginia. This will solve the problem by implementing new pipes into the City's water system in order to lessen leaks and water loss which will improve water quality and quantity to the City and its community.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3301314				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
30.00		*Are considering adding SRF funding.		

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Williamson, City of (Waterline Replacement Phase II)		*	\$26,000,000
135				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144123	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The City's water treatment and distribution system currently satisfies water quality standards and demand by its customers. Although the system currently meets the standards and demand, the water storage tanks and distribution system is aging and in need of repair or replacement.		
County:		Solution		
Mingo		The proposed project will upgrade the City's existing water system in order to provide more adequate and dependable water quality and quantity to the City of Williamson. This project proposes to replace the existing water system and will consist of approximately 55,583 LF of 6" waterline, 49,668 LF of 8" waterline, and 15,190 LF of 10" waterline throughout the project area.		
PERMIT #WV:		*Are considering adding SRF funding.		
3303009				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
30.00				

Rank	Logan County PSD (Island Creek)		\$16,609,000	\$16,609,000
136				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144102	Transmission & Distribution	Residents of the project area currently make use of individually owned water wells as a source of potable drinking water. This is a public health and safety concern as water wells are more easily contaminated by nearby septic tanks, overland flow, improper storage of fuels and residential oil sources, and improper maintenance and construction of the well itself. The installation of public water sources would provided a higher quality and more dependable source of potable water.		
County:		Solution		
Logan		Extend water to 1090 customers in multiple communities along Island Creek in Logan County. Approximately 85,000 LF of water main lines, fire hydrants, valves, services, etc.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302332				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
25.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Mason County PSD (Milton Interconnection)		\$1,738,000	\$1,738,000
137				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144136	Transmission & Distribution Storage	The District is being proactive in ensuring that the existing system is capable of handling it by pursuing improvement projects in potential problem areas and looking at options for alternative emergency supply.		
County:		Solution		
Mason		This project proposes to increase the storage capacity of the Mt. Olive subsystem to better serve the District's customers and to provide emergency service to Milton. This project proposes to install 3,000 LF of 6" waterline, a 289,000 gallon water storage tank, and master meter vault at the Mason/Cabell County line.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302712				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
25.00				

Rank	Mason County PSD (North Phase I)		\$1,495,000	\$1,995,000
138				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144137	Transmission & Distribution	The District currently purchases from the Town of Mason to serve the Foglesong system, Gibbstown and Broad Run Road are bottlenecks within the system where newer 8" waterline is constricted by 4" segments, and the West Columbia Booster Station is in need of an upgrade and rehabilitation to meet the new demand within the system.		
County:		Solution		
Mason		This project proposes to connect the Foglesong System to the Lakin System with a 3" PVC water line and PRV. Additionally, this project proposes to replace the 4" segments of water line that are bottlenecking the system with 8" water line to match the newer line and to upgrade the West Columbia Booster Station from 260 GPM to 400 GPM.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302713				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
25.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Marshall County PSD No. 1 (Water Meters)		\$1,723,573	\$1,723,573
139				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144148	Transmission & Distribution	The District's water system uses analog outdated water meters, that don't meet current standards for lead content, to record customer usage and they need replaced. Each meter is manually read monthly, which is time consuming and labor intensive. The outdated meters are not accurate resulting in lost water and lost revenue for the District. WV Dept of Health and Human Resources has identified unaccounted for water as a significant deficiency in the system and recommended replacing them. The District has obtained a loan from a local bank, the meters are ordered and arrive in April 2024.	
	County:		Solution	
	Marshall		The proposed project will replace the existing analog water meters with new radio-read smart meters that will allow for Automatic Meter Reading (AMR). AMR will allow the District to collect consumption, diagnostics and status data easily from the customers and transfer the data to a central database for billing and analysis. The automation process will replace manual meter reading allowing District personnel to focus on other duties. The new meters do not contain lead reducing the risk to consumers.	
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3302602			
	Binding Date:			
	6/30/2025			
Points				
25.00				

Rank	Greater Harrison County PSD		*	\$11,400,000
140				
	SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
	D-144075	Transmission & Distribution Storage	Greater Harrison County PSD is proposing upgrades or extensions to all of its systems, in areas throughout the Greater Harrison County PSD's water distribution system as well as areas in the Coons Run PSD water distribution system. The proposed project will upgrade both water systems, throughout Harrison County and a section of the Coons Run water system in Marion County.	
	County:		Solution	
	Harrison		The project proposes to replace sections of water line in the McWhorter, Mt. Clare, and Coons Run areas. The project proposes to extend water line and provide service to residents on Duck Creek Road. The Greater Harrison County PSD is proposing to upsize existing water lines in the Brushy Fork, Zach's Run, and Good Hope areas. Finally, the project proposes additional water storage at Brushy Fork and Good Hope areas.	
	PERMIT #WV:			
	3301727			
	Binding Date:			
	6/30/2025			
Points				
25.00			*Are considering adding SRF funding.	

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Raleigh County PSD		*	\$12,150,000
141				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144113	Treatment Transmission & Distribution	Raleigh County PSD needs to have more options to meet the growing needs of its customers. Future phases of the project propose to replace certain problem sections of the water distribution system. These projects will also provide the PSD with two additional routes to be able to bypass certain sections to keep water flowing during future line breaks.		
County:		Solution		
Raleigh		-The Raleigh County PSD Upgrade – Capacity Development project will require the construction of a 2,100 gallon per minute (gpm) water treatment plant supplied by 4 wells drilled into the old workings of the Maple Meadows mine. -The project would also require a 16-inch diameter water main that would connect to the existing 12-inch diameter distribution system near the two 500,000 gallon water storage tanks located at Brunty Hollow. *Are considering adding SRF funding.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3304123				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
25.00				

Rank	Mason County PSD (Crab Creek)		\$3,378,000	\$3,878,000
142				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144041	Treatment	Recently, the district has had issues with rust holes forming on two of their four steel pressure filters. The district has been relying on patchwork to temporarily resolve the issue and continue the operations. This solution is not viable long-term, as it creates the possibility of an uneven pressure distribution which can result in inefficient backwash cycles and general improper functionality of the filters.		
County:		Solution		
Mason		Major work will consist of four existing pressure filters and the addition of a new chemical building.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302705				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
25.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Beverly, Town of	\$1,955,000	\$2,955,000
143			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144087	Treatment	The water treatment plant is needing upgrades throughout its plant so that it can continue to produce water that meets its current permit parameters.	
	Transmission & Distribution		
County:			
Randolph			
PERMIT #WV:			
3304202		Solution	
Binding Date:		The project proposes to make improvements to its water treatment plant (WTP) and water distribution system. The following plant components will receive improvements due to the project: Intake Structure and related items, Raw Water Pump Station, Static Mixer, Chemical Feed system, Plant piping, High Service Pumps, Electrical system, WTP tanks, Filter Media, Decant Basin, Site improvements, and related items. The distribution system will receive waterline upgrades.	
6/30/2025			
Points			
25.00			

Rank	Nutter Fort, Town of	\$4,880,000	\$5,380,000
144			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144140	Transmission & Distribution	The Town of Nutter Fort has evaluated their water distribution system. The Town has determined the need to upgrade their existing water system and install a water storage tank. Two areas within the Town's water system on the northern side of W.V. State Route 20 were evaluated. The areas were found to be in inadequate condition and needing replaced. The areas include sections along Maryland Ave, West Virginia Ave, Kentucky Ave, Washington Street, Jacobs Street, and Michigan Ave. In addition, the existing 8" main water line that runs parallel with W.V. State Route 20 needs replaced with a larger diameter line.	
	Storage		
County:			
Harrison			
PERMIT #WV:			
3301717		Solution	
Binding Date:		-The Town is proposing to upgrade the current water distribution system by replacing existing water lines. The Town is also proposing to construct a 270,000-gallon water storage tank. -The proposed project will replace the existing 8" main water line that runs parallel with W.V. State Route 20 with new 12" water line. The proposed project will also provide additional tie in locations along the new 12" water line, with valves and hydrants at each of the locations. The valves will provide the town with more shut off options during repairs.	
6/30/2025			
Points			
25.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Hodgesville PSD	\$1,813,000	\$7,230,000
145			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144010	Transmission & Distribution	-The system currently consists of portions of water lines which have surpassed their useful life. These portions consist of water mains and service lines which are known to leak due to cracks in the pipe. The PSD also lacks the ability to accurately read water meters throughout the system. These issues are contributing to the PSD's 26.01% unaccounted for lost water. -Additionally, customers throughout the Lorentz area are experiencing low water pressure and reduced water. The Shumaker Tank does not have perimeter fencing, and the Hall Road Booster Pump Station does not have an emergency generator.	
County:		Solution	
Upshur		This project is proposing to replace all existing water meters with radio read meters, replace approximately 2,500 LF of service lines in known problem areas, replace approximately 49,000 LF of Water Mains, install 2 EA new water storage tanks to address pressure issues, improve the existing Shumaker Tank Access Road and install perimeter fencing, and install 1 EA New Emergency Generator at the Hall Road Booster Pump.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3304908			
Binding Date:			
12/31/2025			
Points			
25.00			

Rank	Welch, City of	\$1,500,000	\$5,364,000
146			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144082	Transmission & Distribution	The distribution system is in need of repair or replacement of certain sections of the water main. Two areas of the greatest need are the North Welch and Jr. Poca areas. The replacement of lines in these areas is due to the condition of the main. The City has repaired 71 main breaks in the North Welch area over the last 20 years. In that same time frame, the City repaired 42 main breaks in the Jr. Poca area.	
County:		Solution	
McDowell		The North Welch area includes approximately 15,362 LF of 8" water main that will be repaired or replaced. The Jr. Poca area includes approximately 5,287 LF of 8" water main that will be repaired or replaced.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3302421			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
25.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	<u>Mercer County PSD (Pocahontas)</u>		\$1,000,000	\$9,189,000
147				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
22DWTRFA135	Transmission & Distribution	The need for this project was identified in the 2021 leak detection report, with water loss as high as 88% in some areas. Underserved customers have no fire protection.		
County:		Solution		
Mercer		The project will consist of replacing the existing distribution system's waterlines in the same location as the existing waterlines are currently located. Also, replacing the existing water meters with the same water meters the TCPSA currently uses in Virginia for consistency and to make the operation and maintenance easier on them by having the same water meters throughout their entire system.		
PERMIT #WV:				
1185625				
Binding Date:				
12/31/2024				
Points				
25.00				

Rank	<u>Ravenclyff-Mcgraws-Saulsville PSD (Glen Rogers)</u>		\$1,760,000	\$5,291,000
148				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144078	Transmission & Distribution	The proposed project will include improvements of the Glen Rogers water distribution system for the 85 serviced customers. The existing waterline system in Glen Rogers has reached its expected useful life, where it is now exhibiting signs of aging and deterioration. The customers of Glen Rogers are in much need of an improved consistent and dependable service to be provided.		
County:		Solution		
Wyoming		Given the state of the existing system, the proposed plan for improvements will include the installation of approximately five miles of main water line along Glen Rogers Road, as well as, the replacement of fire hydrants, gate valves, and service connections.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3305518				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
25.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Logan County PSD (Greenville WTP)	\$9,707,000	\$9,707,000
149			
	SRF #: D-144101 County: Logan PERMIT #WV: 3303205 Binding Date: 6/30/2025	Needs Categories: Treatment Storage	Problem Project proposes to upgrade plant treatment capacity and install a 1,000,000 gallon water storage tank to reduce stress on the plant components, and the project will refurbish several worn treatment components to prevent component failure. Solution Plant upgrade to increase capacity from 700 gpm to 1400 gpm and replace several worn components (Raw water, high service, and transfer pumps, chemical feed systems, pre-sedimentation vault, existing filter unit, sludge handling facilities, electrical work, piping, telemetry, etc.). Also includes a 1,000,000 gallon storage tank.
Points	25.00		

Rank	Short Line PSD	\$4,500,000	\$6,100,000
150			
	SRF #: D-144055 County: Harrison/Wetzel PERMIT #WV: 3301722 Binding Date: 12/31/2024	Needs Categories: Transmission & Distribution	Problem The PSD's current booster station has failed on numerous occasions and is reaching the end of its useful life. Many fire hydrants and valves are failing, as well. The PSD is also concerned about the accuracy of their dated water meters and suspect the meters contribute to the 33.05% of unaccounted water loss in the system. Some meters are in hard to access locations and put the field employees in dangerous situations to retrieve manual readings. Finally, the PSD does not have a complete, updated map of their system. Solution The project proposes to construct a new booster pump station equipped with a generator. Failing fire hydrants and valves will be replaced. A new radio-read meter system will be installed. The proposed meters will include leak detection capabilities and will increase the accuracy of the readings. The radio-read technology will provide a safer method for collecting the data and will reduce the time required to read all the meters. The development of GIS mapping of their water system will allow the PSD to manage assets, store and organize customer accounts, and optimize maintenance.
Points	25.00		

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Summit Park PSD	\$7,230,000	\$7,230,000
151			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144083	Transmission & Distribution	Many sections of the distribution system, including a secondary water connection to Clarksburg, are in failing condition, resulting in leaks that contribute to 18.9% water loss in the system. With the secondary connection to the PSD's water source in poor condition, the system is left vulnerable in the event there is a problem with the primary water connection to Clarksburg. The system also includes 32 fire hydrants that are in desperate need of replacement in order to provide adequate fire protection to the community. Solution This project proposes to upgrade the PSD's existing water distribution system through the replacement of approximately 33,500 linear feet of main water line, the replacement of approximately 32 fire hydrants, and the rehabilitation of the existing secondary connection to the Clarksburg Water Board system. These upgrades are imperative to provide adequate fire protection to the community and improve the reliability of water service to PSD customers.	
County:			
Harrison			
PERMIT #WV:			
3301725		Solution	
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
25.00			

Rank	Berkeley County PSWD	\$36,301,252	\$65,004,075
152			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
22DWTRFA132	Treatment	-Berkeley County has experienced rapid residential and commercial growth over the last two decades. The BCPSWD must make capital improvements to their water treatment plants to accommodate this economic growth. -The Potomac River WFP was built in 2006, is currently rated for 6.0 MGD. The Bunker Hill WTP is much older, some systems dating back to the 1960s and is rated for 3.4 MGD, but given the age/condition of the filters, treating beyond 2.8 MGD is often quite challenging. These two treatment plants provide a reliable capacity of 8.8 MGD to BCPSWD. Solution -To address increasing regional demand and increase the reliability of the water supply and treatment process, BCPSWD decided to replace the existing treatment process at the Bunker Hill WTP and increase its treatment capacity. -Based on the projected demands in Berkeley County, the design finished water capacity of the new Bunker Hill WTP is proposed for 6.0 MGD.	
County:			
Berkeley			
PERMIT #WV:			
3300202		Solution	
Binding Date:			
12/1/2024			
Points			
20.00			

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Weirton Water Board (Pressure Alleviation)		\$4,340,000	\$4,340,000
153				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:		Problem	
D-144146	Transmission & Distribution		Weirton's ongoing project to upgrade the capacity of its WTP will increase the pumping rate, and associated distribution system pressure, between its WTP and its water storage tanks. Hydraulic modeling of Weirton's distribution system predicts that, once the project is complete, operating the WTP high-service pumps at full capacity would overwhelm the hydraulic capacity of the lines feeding Weirton's main water storage tanks on Lee Avenue.	
County:			Solution	
Brooke/Hancock			This project proposes to replace and upsize existing waterlines along Zela Street, Mildren Avenue, Main Street, and Walnut Street connecting Weirton's Lee Avenue water tanks to Weirton's main distribution line. Increasing the pipe size will significantly reduce the pressure experienced by the lines at the new WTP distribution pumping rate.	
PERMIT #WV:				
3300516				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
20.00				

Rank	Athens, Town of		\$2,486,950	\$2,486,950
154				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:		Problem	
D-144026	Transmission & Distribution Storage		The Town's existing distribution system is in adequate condition overall. This system is made up of cast iron, PVC, and galvanized piping. Predominantly, it contains SDR 21 PVC pipe which was rated for lower operating pressures and tends to be problematic over time. The Town's two welded steel water tanks are approaching 50 years old with only one known instance of repainting them. The existing tank has rust showing up around the edges due to its age. Each of the three tanks are also lacking some of the modern safety and security measures that are the industrial standard today.	
County:			Solution	
Mercer			The project will consist of: replacing approximately 6,500 LF of existing 6" PVC SDR 21 pipe with 10" PVC C900 to increase the hydraulic capacity between the treatment plant and the storage tanks, install a new PRV to allow the higher pressure system to feed the lower pressure system by gravity, install a new 500,000 water storage tank to provide a larger volume of storage for fire flow and more consistent pressure in the system, and perform an inventory of the Town's existing lead service line and initiate a scheduled program for replacement of each.	
PERMIT #WV:				
0000000				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
20.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Hughes River Water Board		\$3,000,000	\$4,000,000
155				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144133	Treatment	The water treatment plant (WTP) is beginning to show signs of aging infrastructure. The WTP does not have an emergency generator and is left unable to operate during power outages. The WTP contains various components that are in need of replacement and rehabilitation in order for the Board to continue operating efficiently. This project proposes to rehabilitate the existing water treatment plant to allow the Board to continue serving its customers. Solution The upgrades proposed in the project are as follows: Emergency Generator, Supervisory SCADA, Replacing gravity sand filter media, Replacing gravity sand filter air piping, Replacing Stage 2 raw water pumps, Replacing electric check valves, Install Variable Frequency Drives on high service pumps, and Rehabilitation of the raw water well.		
County:				
Ritchie				
PERMIT #WV:				
3304307				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
20.00				

Rank	McMechen, City of (Phase II)		\$2,500,000	\$3,000,000
156				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144138	Transmission & Distribution	The aging infrastructure of the City's water system is adding problems to the City and its ability to provide potable water to its customer base. The City experienced unaccounted water loss of 24.32% based on the 2023 PSC Annual Report. In the Sanitary Survey performed in December of 2021, the WV Department of Health and Human Resources documented a minor concern of the galvanized piping within the water system. Solution This project consists of replacing approximately 7,450 linear feet of 6-inch diameter waterline, 1,260 linear feet of 8-inch diameter waterline, 5,500 linear feet of 4-inch diameter waterline, approximately 230 new meter settings, approximately 40 tie-ins into existing line, removal, and replacement of 10 fire hydrants, 1 new post flushing hydrant, 8 gate valves, and various city street and trench repairs. The section of upgrades includes the streets of Marshall Street, Baltimore Street, East Baltimore Street, and 3rd Street through 8th Street.		
County:				
Marshall				
PERMIT #WV:				
3302610				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
20.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Burnsville Public Utility, Town of		\$1,200,000	\$1,200,000
157				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144095	Transmission & Distribution	There is waterline running under Burnsville lake which has been an issue for some time now. The line has previously floated to the surface, and experienced leaks several times before. The placement of the line makes repair impractical, greatly increasing the time for water services to be restored downstream of the Burnsville Lake.		
County:		Solution		
Braxton		The proposed project will remove the main line from the lake, and BPUB will purchase treated water from the nearby Flatwoods Canoe Run Public Service District to serve the existing customers downstream of the lake.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3300408				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
20.00				

Rank	Logan County PSD (Big Ugly - Phase 1)		\$3,957,000	\$3,957,000
158				
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem		
D-144100	Tansmission & Distribution Storage Land Acquisition	Residents of the project area currently make use of individually owned water wells as a source of potable drinking water. This is a public health and safety concern as water wells are more easily contaminated by nearby septic tanks, overland flow, improper storage of fuels and residential oil sources, and improper maintenance and construction of the well itself. The installation of public water sources would provided a higher quality and more dependable source of potable water.		
County:		Solution		
Logan		Project proposes the construction of 23,200 LF of waterline 8" and smaller, the installation of one 100 GPM booster pump station, one 100,000 gallon water storage tank, and other related appurtenances.		
PERMIT #WV:				
3302362				
Binding Date:				
6/30/2025				
Points				
20.00				

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND 2025 PRIORITY LIST

Rank/Points Project

SRF Loan Amount

Total Costs

Rank	Richwood, City of (WTP Intake)	\$1,000,000	\$2,500,000
159			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
21DWTRFA025	DW Source Change	The City's surface water intake impound structure is in poor condition and is on the brink of failure. Due to deterioration from a long service life and damage from debris created by flooding events, the impound structure has been deemed beyond repair. Entire chunks of concrete are missing from the structure's main body and supports, causing the rebar to become exposed and the structural stability of the impound to become a concern. The channel gate is also in danger of being completely dislodged from the impound structure which will result in the inability to pool water and submerge the intake screen.	
County:		Solution	
Nicholas		Proposed project will include constructing temporary dams upstream of the surface water intake impound structure to allow dewatering of the area (one half at a time), foundation excavation into bedrock, concrete forming, structural concrete with rebar reinforcement and waterstops, creation of concrete knee bracing for support, grouting, installation of a new catwalk with manual gate, removal and replacement of the intake screening structure, 12" ductile iron raw water pipe, site electric and electrical components for new air compressor building with 2" HDPE airlines, demolition of existing surface water intake.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3303401			
Binding Date:			
12/1/2024			
Points			
15.00			

Rank	Summersville, City of	\$950,000	\$1,900,000
160			
SRF #:	Needs Categories:	Problem	
D-144080	Transmission & Distribution	The City of Summersville provides safe, reliable water to its customers and nearby public service districts and has discovered a bottleneck within their existing system. This prevents the resale customers from expansion and pulling a higher demand from Summersville. It also prevents Summersville from being a sufficient backup source of water for the Mount Olive prison.	
County:		Solution	
Nicholas		The City of Summersville is proposing to up-size an existing waterline along Webster Road in order to eliminate a bottleneck in their system. This up-size should eliminate the bottleneck and allow Summersville to meet current and future demands.	
PERMIT #WV:			
3303404			
Binding Date:			
6/30/2025			
Points			
10.00			

APPENDIX B

FUNDABLE PROJECT PRIORITY LIST

Appendix B - Fundable Project List
Projects Budgeted for the Federal FY 2024 Base (\$4,661,000) and BIL Grants (\$22,985,000)

Name	Project Scope	Project Number	Activity Code	Equivalency Designation	Base Program Loan \$3,690,799	Base Program Principal Forgiveness	BIL General Supplemental \$6,222,423	BIL Principal Forgiveness \$11,262,650	BIL Emerging Contaminants \$7,640,000	LSLR Loan \$9,741,000	LSLR Principal Forgiveness \$14,038,500	Binding Commitment Date
Belmont	WTP & Distribution System Upgrade	D-144028	D2	NE	\$1,050,000			\$1,000,000				
Berkeley County PSSD	Bunker Hill WTP Upgrade	22DWTRFA132	D3	NE	\$36,301,252							6/6/2023
Clarksburg	LSL Replacement & Dist. System Upgrade	22DWTRFA001LSL	D3	E	\$21,824,876		\$6,222,423					
Danese PSD	Maplewood Water System Upgrade	D-144013	D	NE	\$4,800,000			\$1,000,000				
Greenbrier Co. PSD #2	Water System Extension	D-144015	D2	NE	\$4,400,000			\$1,500,000				
Kingwood	Water System Upgrade	D-144009	D	NE	\$8,195,152	\$324,350		\$175,650				
Mason	Waterline Upgrade	D-144042	D2	NE	\$1,220,000			\$1,000,000				
Mercer Co. PSD	Pocahontas Waterline Replacement	22DWTRFA135	D2	NE				\$1,000,000				
Midland PSD	Maxwell Storage Tank Upgrade	D-144019	D2	NE				\$865,000				
Parkersburg	PFAS Treatment	D-144051	D2	E	\$1,474,300				\$7,640,000			
Pennsboro - Phase 2	Water System Upgrade	D-144053	D2	NE	\$500,000			\$1,500,000				
Ravencliff-McGraws-Saulsville PSD	Rt. 97 Waterline Extension	D-144022	D2	NE	\$758,000			\$1,500,000				
Ravencliff-McGraws-Saulsville PSD	WTP Rehabilitation	D-144021	D2	NE				\$722,000				
Short Line PSD	Water System Upgrade	D-144055	D2	NE	\$3,500,000			\$1,000,000				
Total Projects					\$84,023,580	\$324,350	\$6,222,423	\$11,262,650	\$7,640,000	\$0	\$0	

49.00%

Activity Codes and Binding Commitment dates

P - facilities planning underway - Summer 2025

D - design underway - Winter 2025

D2 - design under review at DEP - Fall 2024

D3 - design approved by DEP/bid process underway - Summer 2024 unless a specific date is provided

Equivalency Designation

E - Equivalency (See Section V. J. of the IUP for federal requirements)

NE - Non-Equivalency

Funding terms are established in the binding commitment letters issued to the project applicants when the project is ready to proceed.

Appendix B - Fundable Project List (amended 7/1/24)
Projects Budgeted for the Federal FY 2023 Base (\$4,938,000) and BIL Grants (\$21,055,000)

Name	Project Scope	Proj Num C-544___	Activity Code	Equivalency Designation	Base Program Loan	Base Program Principal Forgiveness	BIL General Supplemental	BIL Principal Forgiveness	BIL Emerging Contaminants	LSLR Loan	LSLR Principal Forgiveness	Binding Commitment Date
Alderson	Distribution System Upgrade	22DWTRFA013	D3	NE	\$5,037,000	\$5,062,000		\$1,000,000				
Beckley Water Company	Lead Service Line Replacement	D-144155	P	E						\$1,786,278	\$1,716,228	
Belington	WTP & Distribution System Upgrade	D-144027	D2	NE	\$900,000			\$900,000				
Berkeley County PSSD	Potomac River WTP Upgrade	22DWTRFA130	D3	E	\$3,172,124		\$5,874,925					12/08/23
Craigsville PSD	WTP & Distribution System Upgrade	19DWTRFA018	D3	NE	\$6,007,900			\$1,000,000				
Davy - Ph. 2	Distribution System Upgrade	D-144064	D2	NE				\$1,500,000				
Farmington	Distribution System Upgrade	D-144059	D3	NE	\$800,000			\$1,000,000				03/19/24
Fountain PSD	Water System Extension	D-144006	D3	NE				\$625,300				02/22/24
Frankfort PSD	Distribution System Upgrade	D-144003	D3	NE				\$308,000				03/27/24
Grant Town	Distribution System Upgrade	D-144034	D2	NE	\$800,000	\$662,842		\$137,158				
Hodgesville PSD	Distribution System Upgrade & Extension	D-144010	D3	NE	\$993,000			\$820,000				
Monumental PSD	Distribution System Upgrade	D-144047	D3	NE								04/02/24
New Haven PSD - Contract 19	Water System Extension	18DWTRFB007	D3	NE	\$4,806,241			\$1,339,492				06/06/23
Parkersburg	PFAS Treatment	D-144051	P	E					\$7,265,000			
Richwood	Water Intake Impoundment Replacement	21DWTRFA011	D3	NE	\$500,000			\$187,000				10/08/21
Valley Falls PSD	Distribution System Upgrade	D-144056	D3	NE	\$7,860,000			\$500,000				04/11/24
West Union	WTP Upgrade	D-144011	D2	E	\$17,420,000			\$1,000,000				
Projects closed to date												
Total Projects					\$48,321,265	\$662,842	\$5,874,925	\$10,316,950	\$7,265,000	\$1,786,278	\$1,716,228	

49.00%

Activity Codes and Binding Commitment dates

P - facilities planning underway - Summer 2025

D - design underway - Winter 2025

D2 - design under review at DEP - Fall 2024

D3 - design approved by DEP/bid process underway - Summer 2024 unless a specific date is provided

Equivalency Designation

E - Equivalency (See Section V. J. of the IUP for federal requirements)

NE - Non-Equivalency

Funding terms are established in the binding commitment letters issued to the project applicants when the project is ready to proceed.

Appendix B - Fundable Project List (amended as of 7/1/24)
 Projects Budgeted for the Federal FY 2022 Base (\$7,008,000) and BIL Grants (\$17,992,000)

Name	Project Scope	Project Number	Activity Code	Equivalency Designation	Base Program \$4,835,520	Base Principal Forgiveness	BIL General Supplemental \$6,982,588	BIL Principal Forgiveness \$8,816,080	BIL Emerging Contaminants \$7,403,900	LSLR Loan \$10,680,517	LSLR Principal Forgiveness \$13,891,500	Binding Commitment Date
Beckley Water Company	Lead Service Line Replacement	D-144155	P	E						\$3,771,484	\$6,726,010	
Berkeley County PSWD	Potomac River WTP Upgrade	22DWTRFA130	D3	E	\$4,835,520		\$6,982,588					12/08/23
Clarksburg	LSL Replacement & Dist. System Upgrade	22DWTRFA001LSI	D3	E						\$6,172,675	\$6,424,621	
Fairview - Ph. 1	Consolidation & Dist. System Upgrade	22DWTRFA001D	D3	NE	\$1,079,430			\$1,100,000				09/25/23
Fairview - Ph. 2	Distribution System Upgrade	22DWTRFA004D	D3	NE				\$1,175,000				09/25/23
Glen Dale	PFAS Treatment	22DWTRFA002E	D	E					\$3,286,000			
Lashmeet PSD - Mary's Branch	Waterline Extension	19DWTRFA011	D3	NE	\$946,453			\$530,001				09/08/22
New Haven PSD - Contract 18	Waterline Extension	18DWTRFB007	D3	NE	\$2,554,000			\$1,336,500				03/30/23
Parkersburg	PFAS Treatment	D-144051	P	E					\$517,900			
Salem	LSL Replacement & Dist. System Upgrade	22DWTRFA005	D3	E	\$420,000					\$295,800	\$284,200	02/22/24
Triadelphia	Distribution System Upgrade	22DWTRFA140	D3	NE	\$2,772,000			\$1,138,000				03/06/23
Wardensville	WTP and Distribution System Upgrade	22DWTRFA003	D3	NE	\$3,718,889	\$123,914		\$851,156				01/18/24
West Union	WTP Upgrade	D-144011	D2	E					\$1,000,000			
Projects closed to date								\$2,685,423	\$2,600,000	\$440,558	\$456,669	
Total Projects					\$16,326,292	\$123,914	\$6,982,588	\$8,816,080	\$7,403,900	\$10,680,517	\$13,891,500	

49.00%

Activity Codes and Binding Commitment dates

P - facilities planning underway - Summer 2025

D - design underway - Winter 2025

D2 - design under review at DEP - Fall 2024

D3 - design approved by DEP/bid process underway - Summer 2024 unless a specific date is provided

Equivalency Designation

E - Equivalency (See Section V. J. of the IUP for federal requirements)

NE - Non-Equivalency

Funding terms are established in the binding commitment letters issued to the project applicants when the project is ready to proceed.

APPENDIX C

PUBLIC MEETING SUMMARY

The FY2025 IUP Public Meeting was scheduled for June 13, 2024, at 9:30am. The meeting took place at the WV DEP's Headquarters in Charleston, WV. An option to attend remotely or call-in was also given. Twenty-one members of the public and fifteen SRF staff were in the meeting. Kathy Emery read the highlights of the FY2025 IUP. The following comments were received via email and six questions were asked during the meeting.

Question No. 1 – Stacey Fowler with Thompson & Litton, Inc. contacted SRF to let us know that the City of Gary will be using \$150,000 for Lead Service Line work.

Answer to Question No. 1 – We have added the \$150,000 to the FY2025 IUP Appendix G.

Question No. 2 – Stacey Fowler with Thompson & Litton, Inc. contacted SRF to let us know that the City of Welch will be using \$100,000 for Lead Service Line work.

Answer to Question No. 2 – We have added the \$100,000 to the FY2025 IUP Appendix G.

Question No. 3 – Terry Martin with Regional Intergovernmental Council submitted an application for the Kanawha County Regional Development Authority Olcott Waterline extension Project for inclusion on the FY2025 Priority List.

Answer to Question No. 3 – The Kanawha County Regional Development Authority Olcott Waterline extension Project was included in the FY2025 Priority List.

Question No. 4 – Who can collect the data on the income surveys?

Answer to Question No. 4 – We need to have an independent party to collect the data not the community itself. We informed them of the income survey guidance document located on our website.

Question No. 5 – Will the FY2024 financing not be available for galvanized lines that are downstream of unknown services? Will those still be required by EPA to be replaced?

Answer to Question No. 5 – We still have FY2022 and FY2023 funding available. Replacement of galvanized downstream of unknown services will only be the work ineligible when we start using the FY2024 funding changes. Since it is not eligible for lead service line funding it is not necessarily a requirement. It will be eligible under the base and BIL funding.

Question No. 6 – Out of the \$89,000,000 available for funding, \$28,000,000 of that, plus or minus, was for the lead service line replacement, basically leaving about \$60,000,000 in what we would call standard drinking water projects, correct?

Answer to Question No. 6 – That is correct, except for any lead service line projects that we have issued a binding commitment to and there have been a couple of them, and they have already been taken out of that.

Question No. 7 – Does the lead service line 0% interest include admin fee?

Answer to Question No. 7 – It will be 0% interest with a .25% admin fee.

Question No. 8 – Can a project still be added to the Priority List?

Answer to Question No. 8 – We can easily add a project to the Priority List until June 21, 2024. After that it takes a 30-60 day process.

Question No. 9 – Would any of the emerging contaminant's funding be available for a project that has Radium-226-228, arsenic, lead, methane, ethane, etc.?

Answer to Question No. 9 – They already have primary maximum contaminant level, so they are not eligible for emerging contaminant funding. Even if they are not eligible for the emerging contaminants funding, a project to provide public water is an eligible drinking water SRF project. You may be eligible for principal forgiveness from the drinking water fund, depending on the nature of your community. It just may not come from the emerging contaminants funding.

APPENDIX D

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME BY COUNTY AND MAGISTERIAL DISTRICT

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

Magisterial District	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Barbour	\$38,906	40.53	48.63	56.74	64.84	81.05
North district, Barbour County	\$37,148	38.70	46.44	54.17	61.91	77.39
South district, Barbour County	\$40,087	41.76	50.11	58.46	66.81	83.51
West district, Barbour County	\$39,470	41.11	49.34	57.56	65.78	82.23
Berkeley	\$65,286	68.01	81.61	95.21	108.81	136.01
Adam Stephens district, Berkeley County	\$41,773	43.51	52.22	60.92	69.62	87.03
Norborne district, Berkeley County	\$68,544	71.40	85.68	99.96	114.24	142.80
Potomac district, Berkeley County	\$63,184	65.82	78.98	92.14	105.31	131.63
Shenandoah district, Berkeley County	\$68,007	70.84	85.01	99.18	113.35	141.68
Tuscarora district, Berkeley County	\$68,874	71.74	86.09	100.44	114.79	143.49
Valley district, Berkeley County	\$72,155	75.16	90.19	105.23	120.26	150.32
Boone	\$45,297	47.18	56.62	66.06	75.50	94.37
District 1, Boone County	\$47,530	49.51	59.41	69.31	79.22	99.02
District 2, Boone County	\$38,274	39.87	47.84	55.82	63.79	79.74
District 3, Boone County	\$51,151	53.28	63.94	74.60	85.25	106.56
Braxton	\$43,819	45.64	54.77	63.90	73.03	91.29
Eastern district, Braxton County	\$41,019	42.73	51.27	59.82	68.37	85.46
Northern district, Braxton County	\$39,803	41.46	49.75	58.05	66.34	82.92
Southern district, Braxton County	\$43,945	45.78	54.93	64.09	73.24	91.55
Western district, Braxton County	\$51,295	53.43	64.12	74.81	85.49	106.86
Brooke	\$48,168	50.18	60.21	70.25	80.28	100.35
Follansbee district, Brooke County	\$43,149	44.95	53.94	62.93	71.92	89.89
Weirton district, Brooke County	\$51,392	53.53	64.24	74.95	85.65	107.07
Wellsburg district, Brooke County	\$47,863	49.86	59.83	69.80	79.77	99.71
Cabell	\$41,472	43.20	51.84	60.48	69.12	86.40
District 1, Cabell County	\$44,500	46.35	55.63	64.90	74.17	92.71
District 2, Cabell County	\$26,474	27.58	33.09	38.61	44.12	55.15
District 3, Cabell County	\$30,835	32.12	38.54	44.97	51.39	64.24
District 4, Cabell County	\$50,013	52.10	62.52	72.94	83.36	104.19
District 5, Cabell County	\$53,699	55.94	67.12	78.31	89.50	111.87
Calhoun	\$38,668	40.28	48.34	56.39	64.45	80.56
District 1, Calhoun County	\$45,029	46.91	56.29	65.67	75.05	93.81
District 2, Calhoun County	\$40,556	42.25	50.70	59.14	67.59	84.49
District 3, Calhoun County	\$40,921	42.63	51.15	59.68	68.20	85.25
District 4, Calhoun County	\$38,125	39.71	47.66	55.60	63.54	79.43
District 5, Calhoun County	\$35,521	37.00	44.40	51.80	59.20	74.00
Clay	\$35,154	36.62	43.94	51.27	58.59	73.24
District A, Clay County	\$33,542	34.94	41.93	48.92	55.90	69.88
District B, Clay County	\$26,362	27.46	32.95	38.44	43.94	54.92
District C, Clay County	\$42,750	44.53	53.44	62.34	71.25	89.06
Doddridge	\$51,300	53.44	64.13	74.81	85.50	106.88
Beech district, Doddridge County	\$37,807	39.38	47.26	55.14	63.01	78.76
Maple district, Doddridge County	\$69,620	72.52	87.03	101.53	116.03	145.04

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

Magisterial District	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Oak district, Doddridge County	\$50,935	53.06	63.67	74.28	84.89	106.11
Pine district, Doddridge County	\$57,833	60.24	72.29	84.34	96.39	120.49
Fayette	\$43,722	45.54	54.65	63.76	72.87	91.09
New Haven district, Fayette County	\$46,316	48.25	57.90	67.54	77.19	96.49
Plateau district, Fayette County	\$42,921	44.71	53.65	62.59	71.54	89.42
Valley district, Fayette County	\$42,268	44.03	52.84	61.64	70.45	88.06
Gilmer	\$42,883	44.67	53.60	62.54	71.47	89.34
Center district, Gilmer County	\$39,693	41.35	49.62	57.89	66.16	82.69
City district, Gilmer County	\$34,250	35.68	42.81	49.95	57.08	71.35
De Kalb-Troy district, Gilmer County	\$44,280	46.13	55.35	64.58	73.80	92.25
Glenville district, Gilmer County	\$48,750	50.78	60.94	71.09	81.25	101.56
Grant	\$43,313	45.12	54.14	63.16	72.19	90.24
Grant district, Grant County	\$41,649	43.38	52.06	60.74	69.42	86.77
Milroy district, Grant County	\$41,321	43.04	51.65	60.26	68.87	86.09
Union district, Grant County	\$52,152	54.33	65.19	76.06	86.92	108.65
Greenbrier	\$39,807	41.47	49.76	58.05	66.35	82.93
Central district, Greenbrier County	\$45,283	47.17	56.60	66.04	75.47	94.34
Eastern district, Greenbrier County	\$35,338	36.81	44.17	51.53	58.90	73.62
Western district, Greenbrier County	\$41,261	42.98	51.58	60.17	68.77	85.96
Hampshire	\$48,528	50.55	60.66	70.77	80.88	101.10
Bloomery district, Hampshire County	\$66,930	69.72	83.66	97.61	111.55	139.44
Capon district, Hampshire County	\$62,228	64.82	77.79	90.75	103.71	129.64
Gore district, Hampshire County	\$54,732	57.01	68.42	79.82	91.22	114.03
Mill Creek district, Hampshire County	\$50,547	52.65	63.18	73.71	84.25	105.31
Romney district, Hampshire County	\$33,943	35.36	42.43	49.50	56.57	70.71
Sherman district, Hampshire County	\$47,578	49.56	59.47	69.38	79.30	99.12
Springfield district, Hampshire County	\$42,159	43.92	52.70	61.48	70.27	87.83
Hancock	\$48,140	50.15	60.18	70.20	80.23	100.29
Butler district, Hancock County	\$55,773	58.10	69.72	81.34	92.96	116.19
Clay district, Hancock County	\$45,966	47.88	57.46	67.03	76.61	95.76
Grant district, Hancock County	\$44,854	46.72	56.07	65.41	74.76	93.45
Hardy	\$46,513	48.45	58.14	67.83	77.52	96.90
Capon district, Hardy County	\$45,756	47.66	57.20	66.73	76.26	95.33
Lost River district, Hardy County	\$51,406	53.55	64.26	74.97	85.68	107.10
Moorefield district, Hardy County	\$47,500	49.48	59.38	69.27	79.17	98.96
Old Fields district, Hardy County	\$42,034	43.79	52.54	61.30	70.06	87.57
South Fork district, Hardy County	\$47,207	49.17	59.01	68.84	78.68	98.35
Harrison	\$52,134	54.31	65.17	76.03	86.89	108.61
Eastern district, Harrison County	\$75,050	78.18	93.81	109.45	125.08	156.35
Northern district, Harrison County	\$53,343	55.57	66.68	77.79	88.91	111.13
North Urban district, Harrison County	\$40,375	42.06	50.47	58.88	67.29	84.11

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

Magisterial District	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Southern district, Harrison County	\$55,080	57.38	68.85	80.33	91.80	114.75
South Urban district, Harrison County	\$52,275	54.45	65.34	76.23	87.13	108.91
Southwest district, Harrison County	\$50,752	52.87	63.44	74.01	84.59	105.73
Jackson	\$49,115	51.16	61.39	71.63	81.86	102.32
Eastern district, Jackson County	\$45,818	47.73	57.27	66.82	76.36	95.45
Northern district, Jackson County	\$49,528	51.59	61.91	72.23	82.55	103.18
Western district, Jackson County	\$53,156	55.37	66.45	77.52	88.59	110.74
Jefferson	\$82,551	85.99	103.19	120.39	137.59	171.98
Charles Town district, Jefferson County	\$67,962	70.79	84.95	99.11	113.27	141.59
Harpers Ferry district, Jefferson County	\$76,905	80.11	96.13	112.15	128.18	160.22
Kabletown district, Jefferson County	\$115,469	120.28	144.34	168.39	192.45	240.56
Middleway district, Jefferson County	\$72,136	75.14	90.17	105.20	120.23	150.28
Shepherdstown district, Jefferson County	\$88,523	92.21	110.65	129.10	147.54	184.42
Kanawha	\$47,122	49.09	58.90	68.72	78.54	98.17
District 1, Kanawha County	\$43,831	45.66	54.79	63.92	73.05	91.31
District 2, Kanawha County	\$51,916	54.08	64.90	75.71	86.53	108.16
District 3, Kanawha County	\$50,204	52.30	62.76	73.21	83.67	104.59
District 4, Kanawha County	\$42,112	43.87	52.64	61.41	70.19	87.73
Lewis	\$43,894	45.72	54.87	64.01	73.16	91.45
Courthouse-Collins Settlement district, Lewis	\$34,800	36.25	43.50	50.75	58.00	72.50
Freemans Creek district, Lewis County	\$49,030	51.07	61.29	71.50	81.72	102.15
Hackers Creek-Skin Creek district, Lewis County	\$46,667	48.61	58.33	68.06	77.78	97.22
Lincoln	\$42,064	43.82	52.58	61.34	70.11	87.63
District 1, Lincoln County	\$55,826	58.15	69.78	81.41	93.04	116.30
District 2, Lincoln County	\$33,011	34.39	41.26	48.14	55.02	68.77
District 3, Lincoln County	\$36,772	38.30	45.97	53.63	61.29	76.61
Logan	\$36,250	37.76	45.31	52.86	60.42	75.52
Central district, Logan County	\$38,605	40.21	48.26	56.30	64.34	80.43
Eastern district, Logan County	\$33,014	34.39	41.27	48.15	55.02	68.78
Western district, Logan County	\$45,396	47.29	56.75	66.20	75.66	94.58
Marion	\$52,856	55.06	66.07	77.08	88.09	99.11
Middletown district, Marion County	\$45,274	47.16	56.59	66.02	75.46	94.32
Palatine district, Marion County	\$60,511	63.03	75.64	88.25	100.85	126.06
West Augusta district, Marion County	\$53,660	55.90	67.08	78.25	89.43	111.79
Marshall	\$48,179	50.19	60.22	70.26	80.30	100.37
District 1, Marshall County	\$53,311	55.53	66.64	77.75	88.85	111.06
District 2, Marshall County	\$36,161	37.67	45.20	52.73	60.27	75.34
District 3, Marshall County	\$56,442	58.79	70.55	82.31	94.07	117.59
Mason	\$51,820	53.98	64.78	75.57	86.37	107.96

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

Magisterial District	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Arbuckle district, Mason County	\$34,234	35.66	42.79	49.92	57.06	71.32
Clendenin district, Mason County	\$40,938	42.64	51.17	59.70	68.23	85.29
Cologne district, Mason County	\$55,476	57.79	69.35	80.90	92.46	115.58
Cooper district, Mason County	\$56,473	58.83	70.59	82.36	94.12	117.65
Graham district, Mason County	\$51,073	53.20	63.84	74.48	85.12	106.40
Hannan district, Mason County	\$66,319	69.08	82.90	96.72	110.53	138.16
Lewis district, Mason County	\$55,025	57.32	68.78	80.24	91.71	114.64
Robinson district, Mason County	\$57,232	59.62	71.54	83.46	95.39	119.23
Union district, Mason County	\$51,278	53.41	64.10	74.78	85.46	106.83
Waggener district, Mason County	\$34,779	36.23	43.47	50.72	57.97	72.46
McDowell	\$26,072	27.16	32.59	38.02	43.45	54.32
Big Creek district, McDowell County	\$24,688	25.72	30.86	36.00	41.15	51.43
Browns Creek district, McDowell County	\$24,663	25.69	30.83	35.97	41.11	51.38
North Elkin district, McDowell County	\$31,959	33.29	39.95	46.61	53.27	66.58
Sandy River district, McDowell County	\$27,590	28.74	34.49	40.24	45.98	57.48
Mercer	\$40,716	42.41	50.90	59.38	67.86	84.83
District I, Mercer County	\$36,048	37.55	45.06	52.57	60.08	75.10
District II, Mercer County	\$44,071	45.91	55.09	64.27	73.45	91.81
District III, Mercer County	\$41,144	42.86	51.43	60.00	68.57	85.72
Mineral	\$51,723	53.88	64.65	75.43	86.21	107.76
District 1, Mineral County	\$50,583	52.69	63.23	73.77	84.31	105.38
District 2, Mineral County	\$49,922	52.00	62.40	72.80	83.20	104.00
District 3, Mineral County	\$53,750	55.99	67.19	78.39	89.58	111.98
Mingo	\$35,454	36.93	44.32	51.70	59.09	73.86
Beech Ben Mate district, Mingo County	\$27,634	28.79	34.54	40.30	46.06	57.57
Kermit Harvey district, Mingo County	\$35,338	36.81	44.17	51.53	58.90	73.62
Lee district, Mingo County	\$39,388	41.03	49.24	57.44	65.65	82.06
Magnolia district, Mingo County	\$30,313	31.58	37.89	44.21	50.52	63.15
Stafford district, Mingo County	\$42,154	43.91	52.69	61.47	70.26	87.82
Tug Hardee district, Mingo County	\$36,325	37.84	45.41	52.97	60.54	75.68
Williamson district, Mingo County	\$27,267	28.40	34.08	39.76	45.45	56.81
Monongalia	\$54,198	56.46	67.75	79.04	90.33	112.91
Central district, Monongalia County	\$43,545	45.36	54.43	63.50	72.58	90.72
Eastern district, Monongalia County	\$56,628	58.99	70.79	82.58	94.38	117.98
Western district, Monongalia County	\$58,311	60.74	72.89	85.04	97.19	121.48
Monroe	\$44,828	46.70	56.04	65.37	74.71	93.39
Central district, Monroe County	\$37,703	39.27	47.13	54.98	62.84	78.55
Eastern district, Monroe County	\$43,500	45.31	54.38	63.44	72.50	90.63
Western district, Monroe County	\$49,631	51.70	62.04	72.38	82.72	103.40

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

Magisterial District	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Morgan	\$57,116	59.50	71.40	83.29	95.19	118.99
District 1, Morgan County	\$43,813	45.64	54.77	63.89	73.02	91.28
District 2, Morgan County	\$59,213	61.68	74.02	86.35	98.69	123.36
District 3, Morgan County	\$69,643	72.54	87.05	101.56	116.07	145.09
Nicholas	\$40,318	42.00	50.40	58.80	67.20	84.00
Beaver district, Nicholas County	\$39,628	41.28	49.54	57.79	66.05	82.56
Grant district, Nicholas County	\$26,392	27.49	32.99	38.49	43.99	54.98
Hamilton district, Nicholas County	\$45,045	46.92	56.31	65.69	75.08	93.84
Jefferson district, Nicholas County	\$35,278	36.75	44.10	51.45	58.80	73.50
Kentucky district, Nicholas County	\$37,020	38.56	46.28	53.99	61.70	77.13
Summersville district, Nicholas County	\$42,946	44.74	53.68	62.63	71.58	89.47
Wilderness district, Nicholas County	\$44,096	45.93	55.12	64.31	73.49	91.87
Ohio	\$48,056	50.06	60.07	70.08	80.09	100.12
District 1, Ohio County	\$64,075	66.74	80.09	93.44	106.79	133.49
District 2, Ohio County	\$34,227	35.65	42.78	49.91	57.05	71.31
District 3, Ohio County	\$50,934	53.06	63.67	74.28	84.89	106.11
Pendleton	\$46,358	48.29	57.95	67.61	77.26	96.58
Central district, Pendleton County	\$48,350	50.36	60.44	70.51	80.58	100.73
Eastern district, Pendleton County	\$38,750	40.36	48.44	56.51	64.58	80.73
Western district, Pendleton County	\$50,357	52.46	62.95	73.44	83.93	104.91
Pleasants	\$55,508	57.82	69.39	80.95	92.51	115.64
District A, Pleasants County	\$65,457	68.18	81.82	95.46	109.10	136.37
District B, Pleasants County	\$56,463	58.82	70.58	82.34	94.11	117.63
District C, Pleasants County	\$48,191	50.20	60.24	70.28	80.32	100.40
District D, Pleasants County	\$77,386	80.61	96.73	112.85	128.98	161.22
Pocahontas	\$37,642	39.21	47.05	54.89	62.74	78.42
Edray district, Pocahontas County	\$30,543	31.82	38.18	44.54	50.91	63.63
Greenbank district, Pocahontas County	\$38,178	39.77	47.72	55.68	63.63	79.54
Huntersville district, Pocahontas County	\$63,370	66.01	79.21	92.41	105.62	132.02
Little Levels district, Pocahontas County	\$47,768	49.76	59.71	69.66	79.61	99.52
Preston	\$51,992	54.16	64.99	75.82	86.65	108.32
Fifth district, Preston County	\$49,677	51.75	62.10	72.45	82.80	103.49
First district, Preston County	\$54,167	56.42	67.71	78.99	90.28	112.85
Fourth district, Preston County	\$49,205	51.26	61.51	71.76	82.01	102.51
Second district, Preston County	\$57,649	60.05	72.06	84.07	96.08	120.10
Third district, Preston County	\$44,367	46.22	55.46	64.70	73.95	92.43
Putnam	\$63,954	66.62	79.94	93.27	106.59	133.24
District 1, Putnam County	\$50,563	52.67	63.20	73.74	84.27	105.34
District 2, Putnam County	\$79,152	82.45	98.94	115.43	131.92	164.90

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

Magisterial District	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
District 3, Putnam County	\$68,599	71.46	85.75	100.04	114.33	142.91
Raleigh	\$43,283	45.09	54.10	63.12	72.14	90.17
District 1, Raleigh County	\$43,343	45.15	54.18	63.21	72.24	90.30
District 2, Raleigh County	\$40,816	42.52	51.02	59.52	68.03	85.03
District 3, Raleigh County	\$44,582	46.44	55.73	65.02	74.30	92.88
Randolph	\$45,206	47.09	56.51	65.93	75.34	94.18
Beverly district, Randolph County	\$50,750	52.86	63.44	74.01	84.58	105.73
Dry Fork district, Randolph County	\$25,804	26.88	32.26	37.63	43.01	53.76
Huttonsville district, Randolph County	\$38,287	39.88	47.86	55.84	63.81	79.76
Leadsville district, Randolph County	\$41,330	43.05	51.66	60.27	68.88	86.10
Middle Fork district, Randolph County	\$40,703	42.40	50.88	59.36	67.84	84.80
Mingo district, Randolph County	\$37,052	38.60	46.32	54.03	61.75	77.19
New Interest district, Randolph County	\$51,667	53.82	64.58	75.35	86.11	107.64
Roaring Creek district, Randolph County	\$48,018	50.02	60.02	70.03	80.03	100.04
Valley Bend district, Randolph County	\$65,625	68.36	82.03	95.70	109.38	136.72
Ritchie	\$44,328	46.18	55.41	64.65	73.88	92.35
Clay district, Ritchie County	\$55,094	57.39	68.87	80.35	91.82	114.78
Grant district, Ritchie County	\$46,486	48.42	58.11	67.79	77.48	96.85
Murphy district, Ritchie County	\$35,304	36.78	44.13	51.49	58.84	73.55
Union district, Ritchie County	\$41,531	43.26	51.91	60.57	69.22	86.52
Roane	\$38,895	40.52	48.62	56.72	64.83	81.03
District I, Roane County	\$38,483	40.09	48.10	56.12	64.14	80.17
District II, Roane County	\$29,778	31.02	37.22	43.43	49.63	62.04
District III, Roane County	\$45,225	47.11	56.53	65.95	75.38	94.22
Summers	\$37,769	39.34	47.21	55.08	62.95	78.69
Bluestone River district, Summers County	\$41,432	43.16	51.79	60.42	69.05	86.32
Greenbrier River district, Summers County	\$34,907	36.36	43.63	50.91	58.18	72.72
New River district, Summers County	\$35,634	37.12	44.54	51.97	59.39	74.24
Taylor	\$52,958	55.16	66.20	77.23	88.26	110.33
Eastern district, Taylor County	\$49,788	51.86	62.24	72.61	82.98	103.73
Tygart district, Taylor County	\$41,808	43.55	52.26	60.97	69.68	87.10
Western district, Taylor County	\$61,250	63.80	76.56	89.32	102.08	127.60
Tucker	\$47,527	49.51	59.41	69.31	79.21	99.01
Black Fork district, Tucker County	\$43,935	45.77	54.92	64.07	73.23	91.53
Clover district, Tucker County	\$41,250	42.97	51.56	60.16	68.75	85.94
Davis district, Tucker County	\$45,833	47.74	57.29	66.84	76.39	95.49
Dry Fork district, Tucker County	\$52,121	54.29	65.15	76.01	86.87	108.59
Fairfax district, Tucker County	\$44,063	45.90	55.08	64.26	73.44	91.80
Licking district, Tucker County	\$50,515	52.62	63.14	73.67	84.19	105.24

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

Magisterial District	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
St. George district, Tucker County	\$46,202	48.13	57.75	67.38	77.00	96.25
Tyler	\$47,598	49.58	59.50	69.41	79.33	99.16
Central district, Tyler County	\$46,875	48.83	58.59	68.36	78.13	97.66
North district, Tyler County	\$49,295	51.35	61.62	71.89	82.16	102.70
South district, Tyler County	\$45,590	47.49	56.99	66.49	75.98	94.98
West district, Tyler County	\$45,208	47.09	56.51	65.93	75.35	94.18
Upshur	\$40,802	42.50	51.00	59.50	68.00	85.00
First district, Upshur County	\$41,353	43.08	51.69	60.31	68.92	86.15
Second district, Upshur County	\$38,750	40.36	48.44	56.51	64.58	80.73
Third district, Upshur County	\$42,837	44.62	53.55	62.47	71.40	89.24
Wayne	\$43,710	45.53	54.64	63.74	72.85	91.06
Butler district, Wayne County	\$50,849	52.97	63.56	74.15	84.75	105.94
Ceredo district, Wayne County	\$43,477	45.29	54.35	63.40	72.46	90.58
Stonewall district, Wayne County	\$32,314	33.66	40.39	47.12	53.86	67.32
Union district, Wayne County	\$48,571	50.59	60.71	70.83	80.95	101.19
Westmoreland district, Wayne County	\$52,073	54.24	65.09	75.94	86.79	108.49
Webster	\$33,358	34.75	41.70	48.65	55.60	69.50
Central district, Webster County	\$23,540	24.52	29.43	34.33	39.23	49.04
Northern district, Webster County	\$38,729	40.34	48.41	56.48	64.55	80.69
Southern district, Webster County	\$39,453	41.10	49.32	57.54	65.76	82.19
Wetzel	\$44,539	46.39	55.67	64.95	74.23	92.79
District 1, Wetzel County	\$37,144	38.69	46.43	54.17	61.91	77.38
District 2, Wetzel County	\$51,418	53.56	64.27	74.98	85.70	107.12
District 3, Wetzel County	\$45,303	47.19	56.63	66.07	75.51	94.38
Wirt	\$45,315	47.20	56.64	66.08	75.53	94.41
Central district, Wirt County	\$36,761	38.29	45.95	53.61	61.27	76.59
Northeast district, Wirt County	\$45,750	47.66	57.19	66.72	76.25	95.31
Southwest district, Wirt County	\$47,065	49.03	58.83	68.64	78.44	98.05
Wood	\$48,711	50.74	60.89	71.04	81.19	101.48
Clay district, Wood County	\$58,935	61.39	73.67	85.95	98.23	122.78
Harris district, Wood County	\$64,464	67.15	80.58	94.01	107.44	134.30
Lubeck district, Wood County	\$58,692	61.14	73.37	85.59	97.82	122.28
Parkersburg district, Wood County	\$38,432	40.03	48.04	56.05	64.05	80.07
Slate district, Wood County	\$63,893	66.56	79.87	93.18	106.49	133.11
Steele district, Wood County	\$56,983	59.36	71.23	83.10	94.97	118.71
Tygart district, Wood County	\$40,867	42.57	51.08	59.60	68.11	85.14
Union district, Wood County	\$73,304	76.36	91.63	106.90	122.17	152.72
Walker district, Wood County	\$41,288	43.01	51.61	60.21	68.81	86.02
Williams district, Wood County	\$66,761	69.54	83.45	97.36	111.27	139.09

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
COUNTY & MAGISTERIAL DISTRICTS

Magisterial District	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Wyoming	\$44,095	45.93	55.12	64.31	73.49	91.86
District 1, Wyoming County	\$42,449	44.22	53.06	61.90	70.75	88.44
District 2, Wyoming County	\$40,907	42.61	51.13	59.66	68.18	85.22
District 3, Wyoming County	\$46,215	48.14	57.77	67.40	77.03	96.28

2020 ACS Tables, U.S. Census Bureau

APPENDIX D1

MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME BY MUNICIPALITY

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
MUNICIPALITIES

MUNICIPALITIES	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Addison (Webster Springs), town	\$22,062	22.98	27.58	32.17	36.77	45.96
Albright, town	\$58,750	61.20	73.44	85.68	97.92	122.40
Alderson , town	\$26,053	27.14	32.57	37.99	43.42	54.28
Anawalt, town	\$22,778	23.73	28.47	33.22	37.96	47.45
Anmoore, town	\$23,100	24.06	28.88	33.69	38.50	48.13
Ansted, town	\$38,261	39.86	47.83	55.80	63.77	79.71
Athens, town	\$52,760	54.96	65.95	76.94	87.93	109.92
Auburn, town (2014)	\$23,000	23.96	28.75	33.54	38.33	47.92
Bancroft, town	\$59,750	62.24	74.69	87.14	99.58	124.48
Barboursville, village	\$57,599	60.00	72.00	84.00	96.00	120.00
Barrackville, town	\$59,333	61.81	74.17	86.53	98.89	123.61
Bath (Berkeley Springs), town	\$42,686	44.46	53.36	62.25	71.14	88.93
Bayard, town	\$27,273	28.41	34.09	39.77	45.46	56.82
Beckley, city	\$42,972	44.76	53.72	62.67	71.62	89.53
Beech Bottom, village	\$42,500	44.27	53.13	61.98	70.83	88.54
Belington, town	\$36,944	38.48	46.18	53.88	61.57	76.97
Belle, town	\$50,972	53.10	63.72	74.33	84.95	106.19
Belmont, city	\$50,083	52.17	62.60	73.04	83.47	104.34
Benwood, city	\$35,685	37.17	44.61	52.04	59.48	74.34
Bethany, town	\$57,500	59.90	71.88	83.85	95.83	119.79
Bethlehem, village	\$71,042	74.00	88.80	103.60	118.40	148.00
Beverly, town	\$28,750	29.95	35.94	41.93	47.92	59.90
Blacksville, town	\$52,917	55.12	66.15	77.17	88.20	110.24
Bluefield, city	\$35,650	37.14	44.56	51.99	59.42	74.27
Bolivar, town	\$77,000	80.21	96.25	112.29	128.33	160.42
Bradshaw, town	\$19,142	19.94	23.93	27.92	31.90	39.88
Bramwell, town	\$49,063	51.11	61.33	71.55	81.77	102.21
Brandonville, town	\$73,250	76.30	91.56	106.82	122.08	152.60
Bridgeport, city	\$84,295	87.81	105.37	122.93	140.49	175.61
Bruceton Mills, town	\$39,306	40.94	49.13	57.32	65.51	81.89
Buckhannon, city	\$42,287	44.05	52.86	61.67	70.48	88.10
Buffalo, town	\$50,568	52.68	63.21	73.75	84.28	105.35
Burnsville, town	\$72,375	75.39	90.47	105.55	120.63	150.78
Cairo, town	\$24,215	25.22	30.27	35.31	40.36	50.45
Camden-on-Gauley, town	\$38,889	40.51	48.61	56.71	64.82	81.02
Cameron, city	\$24,167	25.17	30.21	35.24	40.28	50.35
Capon Bridge, town	\$57,734	60.14	72.17	84.20	96.22	120.28
Carpendale, town	\$70,172	73.10	87.72	102.33	116.95	146.19
Cedar Grove, town	\$52,313	54.49	65.39	76.29	87.19	108.99

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
MUNICIPALITIES

MUNICIPALITIES	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Ceredo, city	\$36,731	38.26	45.91	53.57	61.22	76.52
Chapmanville, town	\$30,337	31.60	37.92	44.24	50.56	63.20
Charleston, city	\$49,769	51.84	62.21	72.58	82.95	103.69
Charles Town, city	\$77,552	80.78	96.94	113.10	129.25	161.57
Chesapeake, town	\$40,650	42.34	50.81	59.28	67.75	84.69
Chester, city	\$47,993	49.99	59.99	69.99	79.99	99.99
Clarksburg, city	\$41,226	42.94	51.53	60.12	68.71	85.89
Clay, town	\$17,708	18.45	22.14	25.82	29.51	36.89
Clearview, village	\$69,643	72.54	87.05	101.56	116.07	145.09
Clendenin, town	\$42,778	44.56	53.47	62.38	71.30	89.12
Cowen, town	\$27,813	28.97	34.77	40.56	46.36	57.94
Danville, town	\$43,125	44.92	53.91	62.89	71.88	89.84
Davis, town	\$42,019	43.77	52.52	61.28	70.03	87.54
Davy, town	\$28,750	29.95	35.94	41.93	47.92	59.90
Delbarton, town	\$28,140	29.31	35.18	41.04	46.90	58.63
Dunbar, city	\$39,688	41.34	49.61	57.88	66.15	82.68
Durbin, town	\$47,917	49.91	59.90	69.88	79.86	99.83
East Bank, town	\$46,645	48.59	58.31	68.02	77.74	97.18
Eleanor, town	\$64,625	67.32	80.78	94.24	107.71	134.64
Elizabeth, town	\$23,098	24.06	28.87	33.68	38.50	48.12
Elk Garden, town	\$41,250	42.97	51.56	60.16	68.75	85.94
Elkins, city	\$38,910	40.53	48.64	56.74	64.85	81.06
Ellenboro, town	\$50,625	52.73	63.28	73.83	84.38	105.47
Fairmont, city	\$45,540	47.44	56.93	66.41	75.90	94.88
Fairview, town	\$54,265	56.53	67.83	79.14	90.44	113.05
Falling Spring, town	\$38,750	40.36	48.44	56.51	64.58	80.73
Farmington, town	\$66,000	68.75	82.50	96.25	110.00	137.50
Fayetteville, town	\$52,083	54.25	65.10	75.95	86.81	108.51
Flatwoods, town	\$42,411	44.18	53.01	61.85	70.69	88.36
Flemington, town	\$56,250	58.59	70.31	82.03	93.75	117.19
Follansbee, city	\$41,870	43.61	52.34	61.06	69.78	87.23
Fort Gay, town	\$18,667	19.44	23.33	27.22	31.11	38.89
Franklin, town	\$57,857	60.27	72.32	84.37	96.43	120.54
Friendly, town	\$26,667	27.78	33.33	38.89	44.45	55.56
Gary, city	\$32,663	34.02	40.83	47.63	54.44	68.05
Gassaway, town	\$53,073	55.28	66.34	77.40	88.46	110.57
Gauley Bridge, town	\$27,313	28.45	34.14	39.83	45.52	56.90
Gilbert, town	\$42,917	44.71	53.65	62.59	71.53	89.41
Glasgow, town	\$49,412	51.47	61.77	72.06	82.35	102.94
Glen Dale, city	\$64,779	67.48	80.97	94.47	107.97	134.96
Glenville, town	\$31,779	33.10	39.72	46.34	52.97	66.21

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
MUNICIPALITIES

MUNICIPALITIES	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Grafton, city	\$34,555	35.99	43.19	50.39	57.59	71.99
Grantsville, town	\$28,750	29.95	35.94	41.93	47.92	59.90
Grant Town, town	\$45,352	47.24	56.69	66.14	75.59	94.48
Granville, town	\$27,457	28.60	34.32	40.04	45.76	57.20
Hambleton, town	\$35,000	36.46	43.75	51.04	58.33	72.92
Hamlin, town	\$36,136	37.64	45.17	52.70	60.23	75.28
Handley, town	\$45,923	47.84	57.40	66.97	76.54	95.67
Harman, town	\$22,788	23.74	28.49	33.23	37.98	47.48
Harpers Ferry, town	\$94,914	98.87	118.64	138.42	158.19	197.74
Harrisville, town	\$36,161	37.67	45.20	52.73	60.27	75.34
Hartford City, town	\$50,245	52.34	62.81	73.27	83.74	104.68
Hedgesville, town	\$70,813	73.76	88.52	103.27	118.02	147.53
Henderson, town	\$20,179	21.02	25.22	29.43	33.63	42.04
Hendricks, town	\$43,409	45.22	54.26	63.30	72.35	90.44
Hillsboro, town	\$20,833	21.70	26.04	30.38	34.72	43.40
Hinton, city	\$35,042	36.50	43.80	51.10	58.40	73.00
Hundred, town	\$35,208	36.68	44.01	51.35	58.68	73.35
Huntington, city	\$33,012	34.39	41.27	48.14	55.02	68.78
Hurricane, city	\$62,308	64.90	77.89	90.87	103.85	129.81
Huttonsville, town (2015)	\$27,396	28.54	34.25	39.95	45.66	57.08
Iaeger, town	\$39,063	40.69	48.83	56.97	65.11	81.38
Jane Lew, town	\$45,944	47.86	57.43	67.00	76.57	95.72
Junior, town	\$25,000	26.04	31.25	36.46	41.67	52.08
Kenova, city	\$29,921	31.17	37.40	43.63	49.87	62.34
Kermit, town	\$28,750	29.95	35.94	41.93	47.92	59.90
Keyser, city	\$44,679	46.54	55.85	65.16	74.47	93.08
Keystone, city (2015)	\$22,125	23.05	27.66	32.27	36.88	46.09
Kimball, town	\$48,750	50.78	60.94	71.09	81.25	101.56
Kingwood, city	\$54,190	56.45	67.74	79.03	90.32	112.90
Leon, town (2015)	\$31,786	33.11	39.73	46.35	52.98	66.22
Lester, town	\$26,202	27.29	32.75	38.21	43.67	54.59
Lewisburg, city	\$31,851	33.18	39.81	46.45	53.09	66.36
Logan, city	\$40,980	42.69	51.23	59.76	68.30	85.38
Lost Creek, town	\$50,750	52.86	63.44	74.01	84.58	105.73
Lumberport, town	\$62,578	65.19	78.22	91.26	104.30	130.37
Mabscott, town	\$33,021	34.40	41.28	48.16	55.04	68.79
McMechen, city	\$36,915	38.45	46.14	53.83	61.53	76.91
Madison, city	\$40,938	42.64	51.17	59.70	68.23	85.29
Man, town	\$53,125	55.34	66.41	77.47	88.54	110.68
Mannington, city	\$54,605	56.88	68.26	79.63	91.01	113.76
Marlinton, town	\$31,400	32.71	39.25	45.79	52.33	65.42

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
MUNICIPALITIES

MUNICIPALITIES	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Marmet, city	\$41,875	43.62	52.34	61.07	69.79	87.24
Martinsburg, city	\$44,363	46.21	55.45	64.70	73.94	92.42
Mason, town	\$27,500	28.65	34.38	40.10	45.83	57.29
Masontown, town	\$34,704	36.15	43.38	50.61	57.84	72.30
Matewan, town	\$16,176	16.85	20.22	23.59	26.96	33.70
Matoaka, town	\$40,000	41.67	50.00	58.33	66.67	83.33
Meadow Bridge, town	\$30,536	31.81	38.17	44.53	50.89	63.62
Middlebourne, town	\$43,929	45.76	54.91	64.06	73.22	91.52
Mill Creek, town	\$39,352	40.99	49.19	57.39	65.59	81.98
Milton, town	\$35,145	36.61	43.93	51.25	58.58	73.22
Mitchell Heights, town	\$66,406	69.17	83.01	96.84	110.68	138.35
Monongah, town	\$48,750	50.78	60.94	71.09	81.25	101.56
Montgomery, city	\$27,045	28.17	33.81	39.44	45.08	56.34
Montrose, town	\$66,250	69.01	82.81	96.61	110.42	138.02
Moorefield, town	\$44,299	46.14	55.37	64.60	73.83	92.29
Morgantown, city	\$42,474	44.24	53.09	61.94	70.79	88.49
Moundsville, city	\$33,399	34.79	41.75	48.71	55.67	69.58
Mount Hope, city	\$29,444	30.67	36.81	42.94	49.07	61.34
Mullens, city	\$50,688	52.80	63.36	73.92	84.48	105.60
Newburg, town	\$41,853	43.60	52.32	61.04	69.76	87.19
New Cumberland, city	\$30,078	31.33	37.60	43.86	50.13	62.66
New Haven, town	\$39,295	40.93	49.12	57.31	65.49	81.86
New Martinsville, city	\$45,303	47.19	56.63	66.07	75.51	94.38
Nitro, city	\$43,564	45.38	54.46	63.53	72.61	90.76
Northfork, town	\$20,750	21.61	25.94	30.26	34.58	43.23
North Hills, town	\$114,861	119.65	143.58	167.51	191.44	239.29
Nutter Fort, town	\$50,598	52.71	63.25	73.79	84.33	105.41
Oak Hill, city	\$43,083	44.88	53.85	62.83	71.81	89.76
Oakvale, town (2014)	\$21,354	22.24	26.69	31.14	35.59	44.49
Oceana, town	\$40,000	41.67	50.00	58.33	66.67	83.33
Paden City, city	\$50,739	52.85	63.42	73.99	84.57	105.71
Parkersburg, city	\$37,933	39.51	47.42	55.32	63.22	79.03
Parsons, city	\$42,109	43.86	52.64	61.41	70.18	87.73
Paw Paw, town	\$53,074	55.29	66.34	77.40	88.46	110.57
Pax, town (2015)	\$33,625	35.03	42.03	49.04	56.04	70.05
Pennsboro, city	\$41,673	43.41	52.09	60.77	69.46	86.82
Petersburg, city	\$40,387	42.07	50.48	58.90	67.31	84.14
Peterstown, town	\$40,868	42.57	51.09	59.60	68.11	85.14
Philippi, city	\$36,371	37.89	45.46	53.04	60.62	75.77
Piedmont, town	\$35,250	36.72	44.06	51.41	58.75	73.44
Pine Grove, town	\$53,438	55.66	66.80	77.93	89.06	111.33

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
MUNICIPALITIES

MUNICIPALITIES	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Pineville, town	\$60,938	63.48	76.17	88.87	101.56	126.95
Pleasant Valley, city	\$53,994	56.24	67.49	78.74	89.99	112.49
Poca, town	\$59,167	61.63	73.96	86.29	98.61	123.26
Point Pleasant, city	\$42,927	44.72	53.66	62.60	71.55	89.43
Pratt, town	\$54,722	57.00	68.40	79.80	91.20	114.00
Princeton, city	\$41,925	43.67	52.41	61.14	69.88	87.34
Pullman, town	\$48,125	50.13	60.16	70.18	80.21	100.26
Quinwood, town (2015)	\$24,063	25.07	30.08	35.09	40.11	50.13
Rainelle, town	\$29,536	30.77	36.92	43.07	49.23	61.53
Ranson Town, corporation of	\$69,544	72.44	86.93	101.42	115.91	144.88
Ravenswood, city	\$37,012	38.55	46.27	53.98	61.69	77.11
Reedsville, town	\$47,614	49.60	59.52	69.44	79.36	99.20
Reedy, town	\$28,125	29.30	35.16	41.02	46.88	58.59
Rhodell, town (2015)	\$37,813	39.39	47.27	55.14	63.02	78.78
Richwood, city	\$27,327	28.47	34.16	39.85	45.55	56.93
Ridgeley, town	\$32,813	34.18	41.02	47.85	54.69	68.36
Ripley, city	\$34,107	35.53	42.63	49.74	56.85	71.06
Rivesville, town	\$58,458	60.89	73.07	85.25	97.43	121.79
Romney, city	\$32,880	34.25	41.10	47.95	54.80	68.50
Ronceverte, city	\$43,482	45.29	54.35	63.41	72.47	90.59
Rowlesburg, town	\$39,306	40.94	49.13	57.32	65.51	81.89
Rupert, town	\$26,989	28.11	33.74	39.36	44.98	56.23
St. Albans, city	\$50,969	53.09	63.71	74.33	84.95	106.19
St. Marys, city	\$49,836	51.91	62.30	72.68	83.06	103.83
Salem, city	\$40,114	41.79	50.14	58.50	66.86	83.57
Sand Fork, town	\$52,857	55.06	66.07	77.08	88.10	110.12
Shepherdstown, town	\$80,610	83.97	100.76	117.56	134.35	167.94
Shinnston, city	\$59,215	61.68	74.02	86.36	98.69	123.36
Sistersville, city	\$40,125	41.80	50.16	58.52	66.88	83.59
Smithers, city	\$40,135	41.81	50.17	58.53	66.89	83.61
Smithfield, town	\$15,000	15.63	18.75	21.88	25.00	31.25
Sophia, town	\$28,255	29.43	35.32	41.21	47.09	58.86
South Charleston, city	\$51,021	53.15	63.78	74.41	85.04	106.29
Spencer, city	\$21,139	22.02	26.42	30.83	35.23	44.04
Star City, town	\$51,450	53.59	64.31	75.03	85.75	107.19
Stonewood, city	\$45,236	47.12	56.55	65.97	75.39	94.24
Summersville, town	\$43,287	45.09	54.11	63.13	72.15	90.18
Sutton, town	\$40,469	42.16	50.59	59.02	67.45	84.31
Sylvester, town	\$56,000	58.33	70.00	81.67	93.33	116.67
Terra Alta, town	\$40,774	42.47	50.97	59.46	67.96	84.95
Thomas, city	\$51,429	53.57	64.29	75.00	85.72	107.14

WEST VIRGINIA MEDIAN HOUSEHOLD INCOME
2020 CENSUS
MUNICIPALITIES

MUNICIPALITIES	2020 MHI	1.25%	1.50%	1.75%	2.00%	2.50%
Thurmond, town (2000)	\$23,750	24.74	29.69	34.64	39.58	49.48
Triadelphia, town	\$50,119	52.21	62.65	73.09	83.53	104.41
Tunnelton, town	\$48,571	50.59	60.71	70.83	80.95	101.19
Union, town	\$26,151	27.24	32.69	38.14	43.59	54.48
Valley Grove, village	\$32,750	34.11	40.94	47.76	54.58	68.23
Vienna, city	\$55,181	57.48	68.98	80.47	91.97	114.96
War, city	\$16,563	17.25	20.70	24.15	27.61	34.51
Wardensville, town	\$42,500	44.27	53.13	61.98	70.83	88.54
Wayne, town	\$24,000	25.00	30.00	35.00	40.00	50.00
Weirton, city	\$50,822	52.94	63.53	74.12	84.70	105.88
Welch, city	\$25,227	26.28	31.53	36.79	42.05	52.56
Wellsburg, city	\$43,152	44.95	53.94	62.93	71.92	89.90
West Hamlin, town	\$33,646	35.05	42.06	49.07	56.08	70.10
West Liberty, town (2014)	\$27,708	28.86	34.64	40.41	46.18	57.73
West Logan, town	\$33,542	34.94	41.93	48.92	55.90	69.88
West Milford, town	\$53,750	55.99	67.19	78.39	89.58	111.98
Weston, city	\$36,728	38.26	45.91	53.56	61.21	76.52
Westover, city	\$51,304	53.44	64.13	74.82	85.51	106.88
West Union, town	\$68,839	71.71	86.05	100.39	114.73	143.41
Wheeling, city	\$41,911	43.66	52.39	61.12	69.85	87.31
White Hall, town	\$63,250	65.89	79.06	92.24	105.42	131.77
White Sulphur Springs, city	\$32,125	33.46	40.16	46.85	53.54	66.93
Whitesville, town	\$20,313	21.16	25.39	29.62	33.86	42.32
Williamson, city	\$25,707	26.78	32.13	37.49	42.85	53.56
Williamstown, city	\$71,442	74.42	89.30	104.19	119.07	148.84
Windsor Heights, village	\$37,750	39.32	47.19	55.05	62.92	78.65
Winfield, town	\$69,432	72.33	86.79	101.26	115.72	144.65
Womelsdorf (Coalton), town	\$41,250	42.97	51.56	60.16	68.75	85.94
Worthington, town	\$20,750	21.61	25.94	30.26	34.58	43.23

APPENDIX E

UNEMPLOYMENT DATA

Labor Force Data by County 2023	
County	Percentage
Barbour	5.0
Berkeley	3.3
Boone	4.3
Braxton	4.7
Brooke	5.2
Cabell	3.7
Calhoun	9.9
Clay	6.2
Doddridge	3.3
Fayette	4.6
Gilmer	5.9
Grant	3.7
Greenbrier	3.5
Hampshire	2.9
Hancock	5.4
Hardy	4.3
Harrison	3.3
Jackson	4.1
Jefferson	2.7
Kanawha	3.6
Lewis	4.7
Lincoln	4.7
Logan	4.3
McDowell	6.4
Marion	4.0
Marshall	4.9
Mason	4.9
Mercer	4.3
Mineral	4.3
Mingo	5.2
Monongalia	3.2
Monroe	3.1
Morgan	3.1
Nicholas	4.9
Ohio	3.8
Pendleton	2.7
Pleasants	5.7
Pocahontas	3.6
Preston	3.6
Putnam	3.2
Raleigh	3.6
Randolph	5.1
Ritchie	5.3
Roane	5.8
Summers	3.8
Taylor	3.6
Tucker	3.3
Tyler	7.3
Upshur	4.8
Wayne	4.2
Webster	5.5
Wetzel	6.6
Wirt	6.2
Wood	4.2
Wyoming	4.4
WV	3.9
Source: www.workforcewv.org	

APPENDIX F

POPULATION DATA

Population Data

County	2015 Estimate	2020 Estimate	delta	% Change *red reflects negative
Barbour	16,731	16,543	188	1.12
Berkeley	108,724	117,615	8,891	8.18
Boone	24,000	21,897	2,103	8.76
Braxton	14,466	14,032	434	3.00
Brooke	23,665	22,162	1,503	6.35
Cabell	96,824	93,328	3,496	3.61
Calhoun	7,557	7,185	372	4.92
Clay	9,141	8,599	542	5.93
Doddridge	8,201	8,499	298	3.63
Fayette	45,534	43,087	2,447	5.37
Gilmer	8,644	7,970	674	7.80
Grant	11,815	11,565	250	2.12
Greenbrier	35,666	34,893	773	2.17
Hampshire	23,542	23,304	238	1.01
Hancock	30,201	29,118	1,083	3.59
Hardy	13,936	13,789	147	1.05
Harrison	68,998	67,620	1,378	2.00
Jackson	29,256	28,793	463	1.58
Jefferson	55,214	56,922	1,708	3.09
Kanawha	190,781	181,014	9,767	5.12
Lewis	16,434	16,024	410	2.49
Lincoln	21,560	20,617	943	4.37
Logan	35,760	32,593	3,167	8.86
McDowell	20,802	18,083	2,719	13.07
Marion	56,790	56,233	557	0.98
Marshall	32,480	30,900	1,580	4.86
Mason	27,177	26,700	477	1.76
Mercer	61,891	59,370	2,521	4.07
Mineral	27,755	27,047	708	2.55
Mingo	25,931	23,808	2,123	8.19
Monongalia	101,668	106,196	4,528	4.45
Monroe	13,525	13,344	181	1.34
Morgan	17,475	17,800	325	1.86
Nicholas	25,930	24,857	1,073	4.14
Ohio	43,637	41,875	1,762	4.04
Pendleton	7,402	6,968	434	5.86
Pleasants	7,636	7,457	179	2.34
Pocahontas	8,697	8,382	315	3.62
Preston	33,809	33,610	199	0.59
Putnam	56,596	56,604	8	0.01
Raleigh	78,493	74,452	4,041	5.15
Randolph	29,365	28,763	602	2.05
Ritchie	10,140	9,747	393	3.88
Roane	14,636	13,831	805	5.50
Summers	13,544	12,710	834	6.16
Taylor	16,977	16,817	160	0.94
Tucker	6,972	6,943	29	0.42
Tyler	9,033	8,736	297	3.29
Upshur	24,560	24,451	109	0.44
Wayne	41,499	39,952	1,547	3.73
Webster	8,927	8,289	638	7.15
Wetzel	16,157	15,291	866	5.36
Wirt	5,841	5,764	77	1.32
Wood	86,559	84,387	2,172	2.51
Wyoming	22,866	20,890	1,976	8.64

Source: https://data.census.gov/cedsci/table?g=0400000US54%240500000_0500000US54039&tid=ACSST5Y2020.S0101&p=true

APPENDIX G

POTENTIAL LEAD SERVICE LINE PROJECTS

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND

"Lead Service Line" Infrastructure Project Solicitation for FY2025 IUP

Project	Description	Total Project Cost Estimate	Total LSL Cost
Beckley Water Company	Remove and repalce all known or identified lead/galvanized service lines.	\$14,000,000	\$14,000,000
Clarksburg Water Board (Phase 3A)	Phase 3A Water System Improvements (Lead Service Line Replacement and Associated Main Line Water Line Replacement).	\$58,441,000	\$9,291,585
Clarksburg Water Board (Phase 3B)	Phase 3B Water System Improvements (Lead Service Line Replacement and Associated Main Line Water Line Replacement).	\$30,000,000	\$12,800,000
Cowen Public Service District (Phase 3 Rehab)	Remove and replace water lines in its distribution system, which contain lead pipe joints.	\$2,500,000	\$2,000,000
Davis, Town of	Replace 3,000 LF of the raw water line, replace sections of failing and/or lead contaminated water lines in the distribution system, and upgrade surface water intakes.	\$950,000	\$470,000
Gary, City of	Complete a lead service line inventory and then develop a plan of action.	\$3,821,000	\$150,000
Salem, City of	Replace various water lines and portions of lead service lines in Salem's water system.	\$5,881,500	\$580,000
Tomlinson PSD (Newell)	Aquire, upgrade, and replace necessary aspects of the Newell Water sytem.	\$12,732,991	\$137,783
Welch, City of	Complete a lead service line inventory and then develop a plan of action.	\$5,364,000	\$100,000
White Sulphur Springs, City of (Villa Park)	Replace existing water lines in throughout the Villa Park area.	\$4,935,000	\$1,300,000
	TOTAL	\$138,625,491	\$40,829,368

APPENDIX H

POTENTIAL EMERGING CONTAMINANTS PROJECTS

DRINKING WATER STATE REVOLVING FUND

"Emerging Contaminants" Infrastructure Project Solicitation for FY2025 IUP

Project	Description	Total Project Cost Estimate	Total EC Cost
Benwood, City of	Address the Iron & Manganese issue as well as the PFAS Chemicals.	\$4,800,000	\$4,000,000
Glen Dale, City of	New filter at WTP and new emergency interconnector.	\$3,286,000	\$3,286,000
Parkersburg Utility Board	New GAS Feed Pump Station, 12 GAC Vessels, GAC Filter Backwash Pumps, New Sodium Hypchlorite, Hydrofluorosilicic Acid and Phosphate Chemical Feed	\$16,897,200	\$16,897,200
Weirton Water Board	Modify Water Treatment Plant to support PFAS removal.	\$10,550,000	\$10,550,000
West Union, Town of	Proposed 500 GPM Water Treatment Plant.	\$24,100,000	\$600,000
	TOTAL	\$59,633,200	\$35,333,200

APPENDIX I

SOURCES AND USES CHART (FOR EPA USE ONLY)

West Virginia Drinking Water Treatment Revolving Fund
Intended Use Plan - Sources and Uses of Funds
(for EPA use only)

Cumulative Sources as of December 31, 2023

Capitalization Grants (35)	\$	262,615,782	
State Match	\$	48,609,557	
BIL Capitalization Grants (2)	\$	39,047,000	
BIL State Match	\$	3,904,700	
Emerging Contaminants Grants (2)	\$	15,195,000	
Lead Service Line Grants (2)	\$	57,000,000	
10% Set-Aside State Match	\$	16,714,100	
Repayments (P + I)	\$	102,690,076	
Investment Earnings	\$	6,463,810	
Sources sub-total (a)			<u>\$ 552,240,025</u>

Cumulative Uses as of December 31, 2023

Project Loan Assistance	\$	334,442,890	
Technical Assistance (2%)	\$	4,352,931	
Program Administration (4%)	\$	7,351,571	
Program Management (State & Federal 10%)	\$	20,036,813	
Local Assistance (15%)	\$	27,873,905	
Uses sub-total (b)			<u>\$ 394,058,110</u>

FY2024 Sources of Funds

Available funds from prior IUPs (a - b)	\$	158,181,915	
Base Capitalization Grant (FFY2024 Funds)	\$	4,661,000	
Base State Match	\$	932,200	
BIL Capitalization Grant #3 (FFY 2024 Funds)	\$	22,985,000	
BIL State Match	\$	4,597,000	
Emerging Contaminants Grant	\$	7,640,000	
Lead Service Line Replacement Grant	\$	28,650,000	
Earnings (estimate)	\$	3,071,126	
Repayments (estimate)	\$	10,435,008	
Sources of Funds (c)			<u>\$ 241,153,249</u>

Less

Appendix B Projects	\$	249,641,282	
Loan Closings Between 12/31/2022 - 6/30/23	\$	9,807,540	
Technical Assistance (2%)	\$	1,032,700	
DWTRF Administrative Expenses (4%)	\$	-	
Program Management (State & Federal 10%)	\$	2,328,012	
Local Assistance (15%)	\$	7,979,916	
Total			<u><u>\$ 270,789,450</u></u>